

Tuesday, March 1, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *E. W. S. Gilbert*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act Respecting the Revised Statutes of British Columbia*.

Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*.

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Official Guardian Act*.

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act Respecting Crop Insurance*.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rutland Agricultural Society*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Forest Service for the year ended December 31, 1965.

42 Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

What work has been carried out during the fiscal year 1965/66 to date connected with camp and picnic sites in the South Peace River Electoral District other than the park at the south side of Moberly Lake?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

"Repairs to Kiskatinaw Camp-site and improvements to its water-supply system."

43 Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With respect to the recreation park at the south side of Moberly Lake:—

1. How much money was spent on construction on this park in 1965/66?
2. What is the anticipated over-all cost to complete the presently projected programme?
3. What is the estimated cost of construction of the road to provide access to this park from the present Chetwynd-Hudson Hope Highway?
4. Which department is responsible for the cost of the said road?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. To December 31, 1965, \$13,453.63; outstanding accounts (estimated), \$4,000; total (estimated), \$17,500.

“ 2. \$35,000.

“ 3. Depending on the standard to which the road is finally constructed the cost would range from \$30,000 to \$45,000, without paving.

“ 4. Construction will be supervised by the Department of Highways.”

44 Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following question:—

Was any work carried out on the Alaska Highway on construction of picnic or camp sites during the fiscal year 1965/66 to date?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ During the 1964/65 fiscal year Kiskatinaw Camp-site was renovated following flood damage, Liard River Hot Springs Park was improved to accommodate campers, and a beginning was made in the development of Kledo Creek Camp-site. While no new work was undertaken in this area during the 1965/66 fiscal year, it is expected that facilities at Kledo Creek will be enlarged and completed this year.”

109 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Were any foodstuffs sold which were produced on projects organized by the Department of Agriculture?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the amount of revenue from sales from each project, (b) how much revenue was credited to the cost of each such project, and (c) how much revenue was credited to Consolidated Revenue from each such project?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Poultry Branch, \$18,554, disposal after routine testing of eggs and poultry; Colony Farm, foodstuffs negligible, cash receipts mostly for sale of breeding-stock, produce used by Provincial institutions as shown in Public Accounts; Tranquille Farm, same as Colony Farm; (b) all credits for above units applied to votes, *see* Public Accounts; and (c) no direct credits to revenue section of Consolidated Revenue.”

110 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Were any services of the Department of Agriculture charged against the farmers using them in 1964/65?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the amount of revenue from each service, (b) how much revenue was credited to the cost of each service, and (c) how much revenue was credited to Consolidated Revenue from each service?

3. What additional services, if any, were charged for during the current fiscal year?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Revenue from each service, calendar year 1965: Veterinary laboratory service, \$749.90; meat-inspection service, \$1,736.02; brand inspections, \$40,575.63; *Horned Cattle Purchases Act*, \$17,379; *Beef Cattle Producers' Assistance Act*, \$50,810.03; pullorum testing, \$8,259.23; soil analysis, \$5,249; drainage consultation service, \$387; pesticide analysis, \$187; farm-management programme, \$4,075; (b) *nil*; and (c) all credited to Consolidated Revenue except *Beef Cattle Producers' Assistance Act*, which is held in a trust account.

“ 3. *Nil*.”

135 Mr. *Huhn* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Has any submission been made to the Canadian Government with respect to sharing of costs of construction of camp-sites along that part of the Alaska Highway within British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when was such submission made?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. July 15, 1963.”

149 Mr. *Gargrave* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. Were there any charges laid under the *Pollution-control Act* last year?

2. If the answer is yes, (a) how many, (b) how many convictions, and (c) describe industries charged and convicted?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Not applicable; however, the efforts of the responsible officials dealing with pollution control have been toward encouraging industrial and community installations to abate pollution.”

150 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With respect to vacation trailers:—

1. What was the estimated number of vacation trailers used in British Columbia during the 12-month period ended December 31, 1965?

2. Of these what was the estimated number owned in British Columbia?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Vacation trailers entering British Columbia over the 49th parallel are not registered as separate units by either Canadian or United States authorities. The tourist vehicle permit is issued for the towing vehicle only and no permit is required for the trailer. A rapid increase in the popularity of truck campers has been noted in recent years. Entry figures for this type of vehicle are not compiled by border officials as all are included in statistical information covering trucks or commercial vehicles. An estimate of this type of traffic would not be accurate enough to be of value without some sort of statistics on which to lean. One of the large trailer-park operators in the Vancouver area estimates a figure of above 10,000 trailers and (or) campers per season.

“2. The Deputy Superintendent of Statistics for the Motor-vehicle Branch states that, in the 1965 licence-year, 61,197 licences were issued for utility trailers in the Province. This figure would include open, box, boat, camper, and house trailers. The Deputy Superintendent estimated that between 8,000 and 9,000 of these would be house or vacation trailers.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, March 1, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of the Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fisheries Act*, by leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Calder*, seconded by Mr. *Dowding*, the Rules were suspended and the Bill referred to the Special Committee to Consider Strathcona Park Townsite, Commercial Fisheries Report, and Access and Use of Privately Operated Roads, to hear representations from interested persons and to make recommendations thereon to the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Eddie*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Eddie*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Stupich*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coal Act*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.22 p.m.

Wednesday, March 2, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *M. Henderson*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend the Training-schools Act* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order on the ground that it involved the expenditure of public funds and thereby offended Standing Order 66. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sale of Goods Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fair Employment Practices Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act Respecting Proceedings against the Crown* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order for the reason that, in the hands of a private member, a Bill interfering with Crown prerogatives must have the sanction of the Crown, which sanction had not been obtained. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Provide Legal Aid and Advice* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order on the ground that it involved the expenditure of public funds and thereby offended Standing Order 66. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution-control Act* a debate arose.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* moved that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—27

Messieurs

<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>	

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Eddie</i>			

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>McGeer</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, Order called for “Public Bills and Orders.”

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Recreation and Conservation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961*, a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Gargrave, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Survivorship and Presumption of Death Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Plans Cancellation Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Purchase of Unissued Shares of the Capital Stock of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce) presented the Report of the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce for the year ended December 31, 1965.

151 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Have any studies been initiated to gauge the effect of Libby flooding upon the fish and wildlife in the flooded area and areas adjacent to it?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when were such studies initiated, how extensive is the survey to be, and when will reports be available?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, will full and thorough surveys be made and when will these surveys commence?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. The Fish and Game Branch conducted investigations into the effects of the Libby reservoir on sport fish and wildlife resources, in co-operation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, River Basins Study Group. This work was done in 1961/62, and a detailed report published on February 2, 1965, by the Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, which included the findings of the British Columbia investigations.”

155 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

In regard to that portion of the Columbia River which will be affected by the Arrow Dam:—

1. Have any studies been made to determine the effect the Arrow Dam will have on fish and wildlife in the flooded area and areas adjacent to it?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when were such studies initiated, who made them, and are reports available?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, will a full and thorough survey be made and when will it commence?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. In 1962 and 1963; study made by the Fish and Game Branch and report prepared in March, 1965; a limited number of copies of report are available.”

156 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

In regard to the fish and game surveys being made on Kootenay Lake and in the Lardeau area arising from the Duncan Dam construction:—

1. On what date were these surveys commenced?

2. Who is in charge of the project and how many individuals are working on it?

3. What is the total estimated cost of these surveys?

4. Is British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority making a contribution to these surveys and, if so, how much?

5. Is the Department of Recreation and Conservation making a contribution to these surveys and, if so, how much?

6. Have any reports on these surveys been made; if yes, when were the reports made and, if no, when are the reports expected?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Preliminary surveys were commenced in 1962.
- “ 2. Dr. A. H. Acara is in charge of project with a staff of eight people.
- “ 3. About \$400,000 for studies and surveys. (Does not include costs of spawning-channel, estimated at \$300,000.)
- “ 4. Yes; the total amount.
- “ 5. Department of Recreation and Conservation contributing in supervision and involvement of technical staff.
- “ 6. Report of preliminary surveys only prepared, other reports in process of preparation.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Thursday, March 3, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. *V. Underhill*.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Grant Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*, Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fireworks Regulation Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Seminary of Christ the King* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*.

Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Purchase of Unissued Shares of the Capital Stock of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company*.

Bill (No. 4) intituled *An Act to Amend the Survivorship and Presumption of Death Act*.

Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Plans Cancellation Act*.

Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Supreme Court Act*.

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act*.

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Real Estate Act*.

Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Social Services Tax Act*.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act to Amend the Improvement Districts Assistance Loan Act*.

Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Home-owner Grant Act*.

Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Ferry Authority Act*.

Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*.

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Grant Act*.

Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act Respecting the Revised Statutes of British Columbia*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, the Rules were suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following motion:—

Moved by the Hon. *W. K. Kiernan*, seconded by the Hon. *D. L. Brothers*,—

That the proceedings in relation to the third reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act* be declared null and void, and the said Bill be recommitted in respect of section 3 thereof.

Motion agreed to.

Bill *Ordered* recommitted in respect of section 3. Bill reported complete with amendment. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Official Guardian Act*.

Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*.

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act Respecting Crop Insurance*.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rutland Agricultural Society*.

Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Government Travel Bureau Act*.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act to Amend the Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*.

Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act Respecting the Employment of Women before and after Childbirth*.

Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act*.

Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act Respecting Factories, Shops, Offices, and Elevators*.

19 Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Did any graduate psychiatric nurses resign from the Mental Health Services during the year 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many resigned and (b) what reasons, if any, were given for resignations?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 206; and (b) personal and family, 94; other employment, 33; left British Columbia or area, 35; further education, 18; health reasons, 15; job dissatisfaction, 1; other reasons, 10; total, 206.”

21 Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the nursing staff at The Woodlands School:—

1. What was the total number of nurses employed as at December 31, 1965?

2. (a) How many are graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many are registered nurses?

3. Did any nurses resign during the year 1965?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many were graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many were registered nurses?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“ 1. 279.

“ 2. (a) 259 and (b) 20.

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. (a) 58 and (b) seven.”

23 Mr. Dowding asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

How many male and how many female patients were admitted to The Woodlands School during the calendar year 1965?

The Hon. E. C. F. Martin replied as follows:—

“ Male, 115; female, 96; total, 211.”

26 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. During the year 1965 did any psychiatrists resign from the Mental Health Services?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in what departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what reasons, if any, were given for the resignations in each case?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Six; (b) Riverview Hospital, 3; Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, 2; Mental Health Centre, Victoria, 1; and (c) resigned from full-time employment to undertake part-time appointments and part-time private practice, 3; resigned from part-time appointments in favour of full-time private practice, 3.”

27 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of psychiatric social workers employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1965?

2. Did any psychiatric social workers resign from the Mental Health Services during 1965?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) in what departments did the resignations take place, and (c) what were the reasons, if any, given for resignation?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 82.

“ 2. Yes.

“ 3. (a) 15; (b) Riverview Hospital, 5; The Woodlands School, 4; Mental Health Centre, Burnaby, 6; total, 15; and (c) other employment, 5; further education, 4; personal and family reasons, 2; left British Columbia or area, 4; total, 15.”

28 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) psychiatric nurses, (b) registered nurses, and (c) psychiatric aides by category employed in the Mental Health Services as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“(a) Psychiatric nurses, 983; (b) registered nurses, 124; and (c) psychiatric aides, 1,027.”

69 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any children who are wards of the Superintendent of Child Welfare awaiting entry to The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how are these retarded children cared for, (b) for what length of time have these retarded children been awaiting entry, and (c) how many of these children were awaiting entry at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) In foster homes, (b) March and June, 1965, respectively, and (c) two children.”

87 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to public health advertising:—

1. During the year ended December 31, 1965, were any Government funds expended in a public campaign to urge moderation in the use of spirituous liquors?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) by advertising medium how much was expended and (b) in which areas?

The Hon. *E. C. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Not by the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance. However, through a grant from the Provincial Secretary's Department to the Alcoholism Foundation of British Columbia, an educational approach with respect to moderation in the use of spirituous liquors is made through its monthly bulletin, pamphlets, exhibits, displays, and films. The Department of Education includes in its curriculum for senior secondary schools, studies with respect to hazards of alcoholism, while the Health Branch of the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, through its Division of Public Health Education, has prepared material for experimental use in the spring at the Grade VII level in elementary and at the Grade X level in senior secondary selected schools.

“ 2. (a) The amount of the Government grant expended is left to the discretion of the organization and (b) throughout the Province, wherever bulletins, etc., are circulated.”

121 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of the Provincial Secretary:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ See Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence: ‘ Each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote, if necessary.’ ”

127 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Highways:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“Refer to Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence.”

143 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

When will the reconstruction be undertaken on the Hope–Princeton Highway at Copper Creek?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“No decision has been made.”

144 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

What steps are being taken to correct the very dangerous condition on the big slide on the highway between Lytton and Lillooet?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“Mapping and geological studies have been undertaken.”

145 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

Has the Department of Highways any plans to complete the road between Lillooet and Squamish and, if so, what are the details?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“The Department is working progressively on this road as funds permit, and is presently engaged in completion of the section from Squamish to Pemberton.”

146 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

Has the Department any plan to improve the three access roads into the town of Lytton and, if so, what are the details?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“The Department has completed field surveys for all three entrances into the Village of Lytton, and design is proceeding.”

148 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the Nakusp–Gale Bay Road in the Kaslo–Slocan riding:—

1. Have all surveys for reconstruction of this highway been made?
2. If no, when are the surveys expected to be completed?
3. What sum of money was expended upon this highway in the fiscal year 1965/66?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:—

“ 1. Surveys for the relocation of the Halfway River Bridge only have been completed.

“ 2. Surveys, in the Galena Bay area, of those sections of the road subject to flooding by the Arrow Dam project will be carried out in the spring of 1966. Surveys for the remainder will be conducted as required to complement a programme for reconstruction.

“ 3. \$134,695.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Thursday, March 3, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.52 p.m.

Friday, March 4, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Capt. *A. J. Mowatt*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act to Amend the Regional Parks Act*.

Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Partnership Act*.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Coal Act*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Recreation and Conservation Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

2. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,269 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Premier's Office to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:—

Honourable Members,—On Friday, February 18th, at the hour of 6 p.m., the House was debating the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply. As the hour of 6 o'clock had arrived and my attention was drawn to the clock, I left the chair in accordance with our Standing Order No. 3, and announced that I would return at 8 p.m.

At this time the debate had not been adjourned and, accordingly, it was my opinion that the motion under consideration was superseded and, accordingly, was dropped from the Order Paper.

At the hour of 8 o'clock when I returned to the chair, on the motion of the Honourable *R. W. Bonner*, seconded by the Honourable *W. D. Black*, the Rules were suspended and the debate on the motion “ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ” for the House to go into Committee of Supply was resumed.

I was particularly concerned at the time with the effect of the words “ until disposed of ” as contained in the precedence motion passed on February 10, 1966, and, specifically, as to whether or not these words would prevent that motion from being superseded under the general parliamentary rules relating to superseded motions.

Further study of the matter did not disclose any conclusive solution and as in my view the matter is of considerable importance to the future guidance of the House, the problem was communicated to Sir Barnett Cocks, K.C.B., O.B.E., Clerk of the House of Commons at Westminster. Honourable members will know that Sir Barnett is the learned editor of Erskine May's treatise of the Law, Privileges, Proceedings, and Usage of Parliament, and as such is considered the foremost authority on parliamentary law and procedure.

This day I have received a reply from Sir Barnett indicating that the problem is well known to the House of Commons in England and, in fact, specific provision has been made in the English Standing Orders to avoid this situation whereby their Standing Order No. 17 was adopted in 1948 for the appointment of the Committee of Ways and Means “ for the duration ” of the Session. Our Standing Order No. 60 does not include such a provision.

Upon giving his consideration to the matter Sir Barnett has expressed his opinion as follows:—

“ The effect, accordingly, of the Sessional Order would seem to be to keep the Order for Supply at the head of all business on the paper (apart from the introduction of bills) until all the business of Supply is concluded. Obviously this cannot be done if the Order does not appear on the paper at all, and it is therefore my opinion that your Sessional Order, worded as it is, enjoins you to keep the Order for Supply on the paper either until the business of Supply is concluded or the House specifically resolves otherwise by the rescission of the Sessional Order.”

It would therefore appear that the words “ until disposed of ” contained in our Sessional Order, above referred to, renders inoperative the supersedence rule and, accordingly, the Order of the Day for Friday, February 18, 1966, at 8 p.m., was in error and should have retained the Order “ Adjourned debate on the motion ‘ That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair ’ for the House to go into Committee of Supply.”

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.

113 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Provincial Secretary the following question:—

What was the total number of (a) permanent Civil Servants and (b) non-Civil Service employees on the Provincial payroll as of the following dates in 1965: April 30, May 31, June 30, July 31, August 31, September 30, October 31, November 30, and December 31?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“April 30, 1965, (a) 20,306 permanent and temporary Civil Servants and (b) 22 non-Civil Service employees; July 31, 1965, (a) 23,227 permanent and temporary Civil Servants and (b) 22 non-Civil Service employees; October 31, 1965, (a) 22,003 permanent and temporary Civil Servants and (b) 22 non-Civil Service employees; December 31, 1965, (a) 21,374 permanent and temporary Civil Servants and (b) 22 non-Civil Service employees.

“Complete totals not available for the other months requested.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.50 p.m.

Monday, March 7, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. Canon *G. B. Baker*.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Empower the Government of British Columbia to Engage in the Business of Automobile Accident Insurance* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rehabilitation of Prisoners* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Training-schools Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

107. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,370 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

108. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$165,570 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

109. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$381,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Controlling and Audit Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

110. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$345,264 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Data Processing Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

111. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$879,468 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Consumer Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

112. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$330,752 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Real Property Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

113. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$203,372 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Income Taxation Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

114. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$199,974 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Assessment Equalization Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$356,810 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Purchasing Commission, to 31st March, 1967.

116. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Langford Warehouse, to 31st March, 1967.

117. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,618,952 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Government Agencies, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

118. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Urban Renewal and Slum Clearance, to 31st March, 1967.

119. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Courts of Revision, to 31st March, 1967.

120. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Assessment Appeal Board, to 31st March, 1967.

121. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$65,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Printing Public Accounts, Estimates, Bonds, Revenue Receipts, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

122. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$134,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

123. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$38,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1967.

124. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Civil Service Superannuation Act*—Interest on Superannuation and Retirement Funds, to 31st March, 1967.

125. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Members of Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act*—Interest, to 31st March, 1967.

126. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Dyking Assessments Adjustment Act, 1905*, to 31st March, 1967.

127. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,952,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Grants in Aid of Local Governments and Home-owners' Subsidies, to 31st March, 1967.

128. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Supreme Court Act*—Interest on Suitors' Fund Deposits, to 31st March, 1967.

129. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Salary Contingencies and Adjustments (All Departments), to 31st March, 1967.

130. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Expense Contingencies (All Departments), to 31st March, 1967.

131. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Motor-vehicles and Accessories (All Departments), to 31st March, 1967.

132. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Power Subsidy, to 31st March, 1967.

133. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,556 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, *Nancy Sloan Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

112 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Highways Department patrolmen:—

1. What is the total number of patrolmen employed?
2. What are the names of the patrolmen appointed?
3. In the case of each patrolman, how was he appointed, (a) by Order in Council, (b) by Civil Service examination, or (c) direct by the Department of Highways?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 34.

“ 2. Brown, J.; Buxton, F.; Carruthers, W.; Christian, W.; Cole, R.; Cooper, G.; Cunningham, H.; Dennies, H.; Fricker, F.; Fuoco, J.; Greenwood, P.; Harrison, N.; Hubbs, K.; Hyslop, J.; Jelley, B.; Jensen, H.; Johnston, J.; Kirkland, L.; Laluk, N.; McLaughlin, W.; Maher, P.; Malloy, D.; Maundrell, H.; Moodie, J.; Pickering, A.; Porter, K.; Rowland, G.; Schellenberg, J.; Schulz, C.; Straughan, D.; Taylor, D.; Thomas, H.; Vance, E.; and Weibe, B.

“ 3. (a) No, (b) 12 by Civil Service Commission interviews, and (c) 22 by Department, reviewed by Civil Service Commission.”

147 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the proposed bridge to cross the Columbia River near Fauquier and Needles:—

1. Has the site for the proposed bridge been selected?
2. If so, when will the first contracts for construction be let?
3. Is it expected to complete construction prior to flooding of the Arrow Lakes in the spring of 1969?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Date not set.

“ 3. Date of completion not set.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.42 p.m.

Tuesday, March 8, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. *S. Parsons*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 7, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 7, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto*.

The debate continued.
Motion agreed to.
Bill read a second time.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*.

The debate continued.
The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—29

Messieurs

<i>Calder</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Shelford</i>			

NAYS—19

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Lundell</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Robinson</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

By leave, the House reverted to the Order “Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees.”

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Fifteenth, Sixteenth, Seventeenth, Eighteenth, Nineteenth, and Twentieth Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 15

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported without amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

REPORT No. 16

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act, 1936*, has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported without amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

REPORT No. 17

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the petitioners for a Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Major Life & Casualty Company of Canada* have asked leave to withdraw the Bill. Your Committee recommends that such leave be granted, and further recommends that of the total amount of \$1,000 deposit, \$700 be refunded to the solicitors for the petitioners.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

REPORT No. 18

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

REPORT No. 19

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

REPORT NO. 20

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 8, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 59) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953*, has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported without amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

The reports were read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the reports severally adopted.

13 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following question:—

During the years 1963, 1964, and 1965, how many employees were engaged in (a) primary industries and (b) secondary industries?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“In the primary industries, forestry and mining statistics are published. Comparable statistics for agriculture and fishing are not available presumably because of the large number of self-employed in both of these industries. The following table represents all available statistics on this question:—

Estimates of Employees, by Industry, British Columbia (Monthly Averages)
(In thousands.)

	1963	1964	1965 (Estimated)
Forestry.....	18.6	18.5	19.4
Mining.....	8.7	8.7	9.3
Manufacturing—			
Non-durable goods.....	39.2	40.9	42.2
Durable goods.....	66.8	67.4	71.5
	106.0	108.4	113.5
Construction.....	23.7	27.7	32.2
Transportation, communication, and other utilities ...	62.4	65.2	69.3
Trade.....	80.6	85.3	91.4
Finance, insurance, real estate.....	18.2	19.6	21.3
Service and commercial sector.....	44.6	49.0	55.3
	362.8	382.4	412.2
Total.....	362.8	382.4	412.2

“NOTE.—Not included above are employees in agriculture, fishing, non-commercial service, and public administration and defence. The 1961 Census reported 8,266 employees in agriculture, 1,437 in fishing, and 46,000 in public administration and defence. The estimated agricultural labour force (including self-employed and unemployed) was 22,000 in 1965; the fishing labour force was estimated to number between 10,000 and 15,000.”

55 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With respect to offshore trade missions during the 12-month period ended December 31, 1965:—

1. What was the total cost to the Provincial Government of these missions?
2. Of those participating in the missions on behalf of this Province, (a) how many were businessmen, (b) how many were Government Ministers, (c) how many were public relations officials, (d) how many were economists, and (e) how many were Civil Service personnel from the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“1. During the 12-month period ended December 31, 1965, one offshore trade mission to Europe was organized by the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce. The cost was \$11,516.82.

“2. (a) 13, (b) 1, (c) none, (d) 1, and (e) 1.”

56 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With respect to the Peace River area:—

1. In the year ended December 31, 1965, were any inquiries received with respect to the establishment of power-based industries in the Peace River area of British Columbia?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many companies are committed to establish new industries in the Peace River area?

3. What will be the estimated power-load demand of these new industries?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“1. Yes.

“2. Two.

“3. 80,000 kilowatts (in addition to the normal domestic and commercial load growth for the Peace River area).”

57 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With respect to Industrial Development Bank loans to British Columbia industry:—

1. Has the Department been provided with any statistics to indicate the total amount of money outstanding or committed by the Industrial Development Bank to British Columbia firms as of December 31, 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount of money outstanding or committed and (b) how many British Columbia firms are receiving assistance from the Industrial Development Bank?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“1. No.

“2. See Report of Industrial Development Bank for fiscal year ended September 30, 1965, pages 16 and 17.”

82 Mr. *Carnell* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. Has any progress been made on economic survey for the Peace River District?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when will the report be made available?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Before March 31, 1966.”

89 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With respect to trade with Italy:—

1. During the year ended December 31, 1965, what was the estimated dollar value of British Columbia exports to Italy?

2. During the same period, what was the estimated value of Italian products exported to the Province of British Columbia?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. No full-year statistics available at this date. Exports to Italy through British Columbia customs ports for first 10 months of 1965 total \$15,-634,799 (estimated share of British Columbia origin \$6.8 million). Imports from Italy through British Columbia customs ports for first eight months of 1965 total \$4,279,754.”

126 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) and (b) No amount received.

“ 2. (a) and (b) Answered by No. 1.”

160 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir area behind the Duncan Dam:—

1. What was the cut in merchantable timber for the year ended December 31, 1965?

2. What was the total amount of timber removed from this area to December 31, 1965?

3. What is the estimated cut remaining in this area?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 553,000 cubic feet.

“ 2. 1,805,000 cubic feet.

“ 3. No complete estimate of volume of remaining flood timber available, as this will be determined, finally, by degree of utilization.”

161 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir area behind the Mica Dam:—

1. What was the total cut in merchantable timber for the year ended December 31, 1965?

2. What was the total amount of merchantable timber removed from this area to December 31, 1965?

3. What is the estimated amount of merchantable timber remaining in the reservoir area?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 4,402,000 cubic feet.

“ 2. 15,942,000 cubic feet.

“ 3. 33,577,000 cubic feet, but final volume salvaged will be determined by degree of utilization.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.31 p.m.

Tuesday, March 8, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.37 p.m.

Wednesday, March 9, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. R. B. Salters.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

3. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,160 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

4. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$124,018 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

5. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$89,040 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Markets and Statistics Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

6. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$208,882 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Horticultural Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

7. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,700 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Plant Pathology Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

8. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$56,904 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Entomology Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

9. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,441 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Apiary Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

10. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$582,845 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Live Stock Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

11. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$194,463 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Dairy Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

12. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$108,626 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Poultry Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

13. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,372 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Field Crops and Seed Improvement Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

14. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,060 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Institutes, to 31st March, 1967.

15. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Women's Institutes, to 31st March, 1967.

16. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$127,698 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Soil Survey Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

17. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$297,757 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Development and Extension Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

18. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$82,066 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

19. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$71,260 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, 4-H Clubs, to 31st March, 1967.

20. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$88,312 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Milk Board, to 31st March, 1967.

21. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$115,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

22. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1967.

23. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$73,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1967.

24. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Rebates on Stumping-powder, to 31st March, 1967.

25. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Subsidies on Agricultural Lime, to 31st March, 1967.

26. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farm Labour Service, to 31st March, 1967.

27. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farms, to 31st March, 1967.

28. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$700,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Farmers' Land-clearing and Domestic Water Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

29. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pound District Act, to 31st March, 1967.

30. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Grasshopper-control Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

31. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Natural Products Marketing (B.C.) Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

32. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Pest Control, to 31st March, 1967.

33. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, Aid in Construction of Storage Facilities, Farm Commodities, to 31st March, 1967.

34. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Agriculture, *Agricultural Rehabilitation and Development Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

95 Mr. *Eddie* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

With respect to commercial fishermen applying for workmen's compensation as independent operators during 1965:—

1. Did any apply for compensation and, if so, how many and how many in each month?

2. What was the total number of months where compensation coverage was provided?

3. What were the total payments paid to the Workmen's Compensation Board therefor?

4. How many claims were made for the following: (a) Death claims, (b) claims for temporary total disability, (c) claims for permanent partial disability, and (d) claims for permanent total disability?

5. How many of the claims were approved and how many were rejected?

6. What was the total amount of money paid out by the Workmen's Compensation Board pursuant thereto?

7. Were any of the claims rejected solely on the grounds that the fishermen concerned had not paid a premium for coverage?

8. Did any suffer fatal accidents?

9. If the answer to No. 8 is yes, how many were covered by workmen's compensation under (a) the independent operators' plan and (b) those sections of the Act which define certain categories of fishermen as workmen?

10. Did any commercial fishermen suffer either temporary total disability, permanent partial disability, or permanent total disability and, if so, how many of these were covered by workmen's compensation (a) as workmen under the Act or (b) as independent operators?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes, 1,965, as follows: January, 133; February, 59; March, 245; April, 664; May, 332; June, 330; July, 142; August, 35; September, 8; October, 9; November, 8; and December, *nil*.

" 2. 10,013.

" 3. \$80,240.72.

" 4. (a) 6, (b) 64, (c) 4, and (d) none.

" 5. Sixty-one were approved and 13 were disallowed.

" 6. \$108,177.83. This amount does not include any provision for amounts still to be paid on unfinalled claims or any provision for administration charges or other special levies to the class funds.

“ 7. No.

“ 8. Yes.

“ 9. (a) Four and (b) eight.

“ 10. Yes. (a) 135 and (b) 57.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.49 p.m.

Thursday, March 10, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 78) intituled *The Sickness and Injury Benefit Investigation Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the introduction, without notice, of a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act* at the next sitting of the House.

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* (Minister of Public Works) presented the Annual Report of the Department of Public Works for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1965.

12 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

With reference to construction at the George Pearson Hospital to be completed by August 31, 1965, and the Minister's answer to Question No. 159 recorded in the Journals for 1965:—

1. Has this construction been completed?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total cost of this construction?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. \$257,519.”

133 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Agriculture:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. The estimated amount recoverable from the Federal Government under various votes: 4-H Clubs, \$9,000; lime subsidies, \$70,000; farm labour, \$11,000; ARDA, \$1,550,000; total, \$1,640,000; and from municipalities, *nil.*”

165 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With respect to land-clearing costs in the Province:—

1. What is the estimated cost of clearing land in the various regions of British Columbia as outlined by your Department?

2. What degree of clearing do the above costs cover?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“1. The Department of Agriculture does not attempt to estimate the cost of clearing by areas, recognizing that cost is related to cover and terrain in individual situations. Average costs on an annual basis are determined from actual jobs completed in the year under report. For the 1965 operating season these were: Peace River North, \$17.90; Peace River South, \$21.50; Prince George, \$36.30; McBride, \$62; Vanderhoof, \$20.30; Smithers, \$34.20; Quesnel, \$52; Williams Lake, \$49.40; Kamloops, \$42; Okanagan, \$59; Kootenays, \$38.10; Lower Mainland, \$148; Pemberton, \$70; and Vancouver Island, \$122.

“2. The above costs cover only the machine costs involved in removing the standing timber and placing it in either piles or windrows.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

Thursday, March 10, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

35. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,664 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, Attorney-General's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

36. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$251,598 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1967.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$476,602 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Supreme and County Courts, to 31st March, 1967.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$610,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Administration of Justice, to 31st March, 1967.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Constitutional Litigation and General Law Costs, to 31st March, 1967.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Coroners' Inquests and Inquiries, to 31st March, 1967.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$281,514 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March, 1967.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$282,552 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Official Court Reporters, to 31st March, 1967.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Promotion of Uniformity Legislation, to 31st March, 1967.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Coroners' Conference, to 31st March, 1967.

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Magistrates' Conference, to 31st March, 1967.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Prosecutors' Conference, to 31st March, 1967.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Court of Appeal Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Supreme Court Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *County Courts Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$240,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Magistrates Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,118,965 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Land Registry Offices, to 31st March, 1967.

53. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$136,814 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Companies Office, to 31st March, 1967.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,795,239 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Motor-vehicle Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$165,348 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Trustees, Public Trustee's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$106,862 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Insurance and Real Estate Office, to 31st March, 1967.

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$66,972 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Securities Commission Office, to 31st March, 1967.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$63,018 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Credit Unions Office, to 31st March, 1967.

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$219,214 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Fire Marshal's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

60. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,526 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Censor of Moving Pictures Office, to 31st March, 1967.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$67,120 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Racing Commission, to 31st March, 1967.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,771,002 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Policing by Royal Canadian Mounted Police, to 31st March, 1967.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$101,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Sundry Incidental Police Expenses, to 31st March, 1967.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Grant *re* Police Academy, to 31st March, 1967.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$236,711 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Corrections Office, to 31st March, 1967.

66. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,151,719 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Probation Offices, to 31st March, 1967.

67. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,036 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Parole Board, to 31st March, 1967.

68. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$389,670 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Alouette River Unit, to 31st March, 1967.

69. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$78,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Narcotic Drug Treatment, to 31st March, 1967.

70. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,410,170 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Oakalla Prison Farm, to 31st March, 1967.

71. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$476,345 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Women's Gaol and Camp, to 31st March, 1967.

72. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$568,352 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Chilliwack Forest Camps, to 31st March, 1967.

73. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$554,339 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Prince George Gaol and Forest Camp, to 31st March, 1967.

74. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$526,906 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Kamloops Gaol and Forest Camps, to 31st March, 1967.

75. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,319,578 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Haney Correctional Institution (Including Forest Camps and New Haven), to 31st March, 1967.

76. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$634,928 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Vancouver Island Unit and Camps, to 31st March, 1967.

77. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

78. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$85,362 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

79. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$92,146 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

80. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$535,050 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Weigh-scale Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

18 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Did the Government burn any Provincial or Provincial-guaranteed bonds during 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) on what date or dates were they burned, (b) what were the series numbers of the bonds, (c) in what amounts and for what purposes were the moneys raised when the bonds were issued, (d) on what dates were the moneys borrowed, (e) what was the due date for repayment of these moneys, (f) did any part of the moneys used to retire these bonds come, directly or indirectly, from the general revenues of the Province and, if so, in what amounts and in what years were these amounts paid to the Crown corporation, and (h) what was the total value of the bonds?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) August 1, 1964; (c) \$50,204,600, to repay outstanding temporary borrowings of the British Columbia Toll Highways and Bridges Authority; (d) August 1, 1961; (e) August 1, 1964; (f) yes, *see* Public Accounts, 1962/63, page 64, Public Accounts, 1963/64, pages E 25 and 64, and Public Accounts, 1964/65, page E 25; and (h) all cancelled bonds.”

The Hon. the Minister of Finance stated that, in his opinion, the reply to Question No. 18, 2 (b), should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

36 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. Were any printed copies of the Budget Speech for 1965 distributed to other than elected members?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many were so distributed and (b) what was the total cost to the Government of the copies so distributed?

3. Did any source, other than the Government, pay for the printing and (or) distribution of the copies referred to in No. 2?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) who were the organizations and (or) persons concerned and (b) what was the total cost to them of printing and (or) distribution?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 55,000 and (b) \$16,650 (30 cents per copy).

“ 3. Printing, no; distribution, not known as copies sent to individuals and organizations as requested.

“ 4. Not applicable.”

54 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway:—

1. In the year ended December 31, 1965, did the Province of British Columbia make any formal written request to the Federal Government for financial aid to this railway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much aid was requested?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. \$17,334,000, for miles constructed and in use.”

59 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to commemorative medallions to mark Provincial Government construction projects:—

1. Since 1953, how many medallions have been minted?

2. How many medallions have been distributed (a) to individuals, (b) to coin dealers, and (c) to other business firms?

3. What has been the cost to the Government of issuing these medallions?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Not known.

“ 2. (a), (b), and (c) Not known.

“ 3. \$1,141.88 for ‘ last toll ’ medallions. All others paid for by contractors.”

88 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to the 1965 Financial and Economic Review:—

1. How many copies were printed?

2. What was the cost of printing and distribution?

3. How many copies were distributed (a) in British Columbia, (b) in other Provinces of Canada, (c) in Great Britain, and (d) in Europe?

4. How many copies were distributed to (a) the schools and universities of British Columbia, (b) to members of the business community, and (c) through the Young Social Credit booth at the Pacific National Exhibition?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 50,000.

“ 2. \$16,000.

“ 3. Not known.

“ 4. Not known.”

91 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to land acquisition necessitated by Columbia Treaty requirements:—

1. As of December 31, 1965, how many parcels of land had been acquired by B.C. Hydro?

2. What was the cost to the Government of this land acquisition?

3. What is the total number of land parcels sought by B.C. Hydro?

4. Have any properties been acquired under expropriation procedures?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many were acquired at an ultimate cost (i) lower than the original B.C. Hydro offer, (ii) at the same cost as the original offer by B.C. Hydro, (iii) at a cost higher than the original B.C. Hydro offer?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 1,138.
- “ 2. *Nil*.
- “ 3. Presently estimated at 4,979.
- “ 4. Yes.
- “ 5. (a) Five and (b) (i) one, (ii) three, (iii) one.”

93 Mr. *Turner* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With respect to the Provincial Government share of the administration of the Federal *Municipal Development and Loan Act* (chapter 13 of the Statutes of Canada, 1963):—

- 1. How many municipalities or other bodies have, since the proclamation of the Act, applied for loans?
- 2. How many of the applications were approved?
- 3. How many projects have been completed within the time limits allowed?
- 4. How many projects are still in process of construction and give amounts of loans and other information by dates, municipalities, and other bodies as requested by Nos. 1, 2, 3, and 4?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 173.
- “ 2. 150; 18 of the applications which were not approved were received after the funds allocated to the Province were fully used up by approved loans.
- “ 3. The time limit is March 31, 1966. It is anticipated that almost all projects will be completed by that date.
- “ 4. The administration concerned with project construction, actual costs, processing of loan financing, etc., is under the jurisdiction of the Federal authority. No information is available on the status of projects in respect of stage of construction.”

The Hon. the Minister of Finance stated that, in his opinion, the tabulation of loans approved should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

108 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following question:—

With reference to the Return concerning British Columbia Hydro depreciation rates tabled in answer to Question 167, 1965:—

What was the original balance of the “Utility Plant Acquisition Adjustment” account on (a) electric service, (b) gas service (Mainland and Island), (c) urban transportation service (Mainland and Island), (d) rail freight service, and (e) interurban bus service?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“(a) \$22,953,168, (b) \$3,802,175, (c) \$5,108,321, (d) \$3,185,310, and (e) \$375,224.”

129 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Finance:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) *Nil* and (b) \$18,000.

“ 2. (a) *Nil* and (b) Courts of Revision, \$18,000.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.30 p.m.

Friday, March 11, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *John Harvey*.

The following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

On the motion of the Hon. *R. G. Williston*, Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the introduction, without notice, of Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act Respecting the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals*. Bill introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the committal of Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto* in an amended form.

Bill in amended form *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Turner*, Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Establish a Human Rights Commission* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* was committed, progress reported, Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trustee Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Perrault*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 4.58 p.m.

Monday, March 14, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Major *B. McIntyre*.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* (Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Lands Service for the year ended December 31, 1965.

162 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Fort Steele Bridge:—

1. Who supplied the piling for the bridge?
2. Was this piling contract let on a tender basis; if yes, when were the tenders called and how many bids were received, and, if no, on what basis was the contract let?
3. What were the lengths of the piling used and how many of each length were supplied?
4. What was the total cost of this piling contract?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) Treated piling supplied by the Canada Creosoting Company Limited and (b) untreated piling supplied by Arnott-Smith Export Limited.

“ 2. Both the above purchase orders were awarded after invitations to quote had been issued by the British Columbia Purchasing Commission. The invitations to quote for 1 (a) above were sent out on September 29th with a closing date of October 9th. The following firms were invited to quote: B.C. Clearwood, Arnott-Smith, Canada Creosoting, Timber Preservers, Cooper Widman, and Galloway Lumber. Only three firms replied: Canada Creosoting, Timber Preservers, and Arnott-Smith. The low bid with the most satisfactory delivery date from Canada Creosoting was accepted. The invitations to quote for 1 (b) above were sent out on September 30th with a closing date of October 12th. The following firms were invited to quote: Cooper Widman, Arnott-Smith, Kootenay Forest Products, MacMillan, Bloedel and Powell River, Crown Zellerbach, W. H. Steel Lumber,

Galloway Lumber, Crestbrook Lumber, Kenelley Lumber, and East Kootenay Lumber. Only two replies were received: One from Crestbrook Lumber, unable to quote; the successful bid from Arnott-Smith Export Limited.

" 3. 60 feet, 301 piles.

" 4. \$16,322."

167 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Cape Horn Bluffs on Highway No. 6, directly north of Slocan:—

1. Have surveys for a final route on this section been completed by the Highways Department?

2. When were these surveys made?

3. Why has construction on this section of Highway No. 6 been held up?

4. Is any work planned for this section in 1966/67 and, if so, what is planned and what will it cost?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes.

" 2. 1961/62.

" 3. Project is very expensive, proceeding as planned.

" 4. Information not available at this time."

173 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With reference to the Alaska-Yukon-British Columbia Conference:—

1. When and where was the last conference held?

2. Who attended the conference?

3. What were the mutual economic interests considered?

4. What were the agreements reached?

5. When and where will the next conference be held?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. September 14, 15, and 16, 1964; Whitehorse, Yukon Territory.

" 2. The Hon. *W. A. Egan*, Governor of the State of Alaska, and his officials; the United States Consul-General in Vancouver; Mr. *Gordon R. Cameron*, Commissioner of Yukon Territory, and his officials; the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, Premier of British Columbia, and his officials, including four Cabinet Ministers.

" 3. Power development; motor-vehicle regulations and licensing; transportation; tourist development; industrial and economic development.

" 4. Agreements were reached to set up certain continuing committees to discuss matters of mutual interest in various fields.

" 5. The next conference will be held at a date suitable to the three jurisdictions concerned, in British Columbia."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Monday, March 14, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.15 p.m.

Tuesday, March 15, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Rev. A. Calder.

The Hon. W. D. Black presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 15, 1966.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2:

Amend by striking out the word "fifty-four" where it appears in the third and fifth lines and substituting in each case the word "fifty-five".

Section 3:

Amend by striking out the word "east" from the twenty-eighth line of the description of the boundaries of Boundary-Similkameen Electoral District and substituting the word "west".

Amend by striking out the description of the boundaries of Columbia River Electoral District and substituting the following description:—

“Commencing at the point of intersection of the easterly boundary of the Province with the southerly boundary of the watershed of Hugh Allan Creek; thence westerly along said boundary to and along the southerly boundary of the watershed of Baker Creek produced to the middle line of Canoe River; thence southerly along said middle line produced to the right bank of Columbia River; thence southerly to and along the divide separating the waters flowing easterly into the Columbia River from those flowing westerly thereinto to the northerly boundary of the watershed of Duncan River; thence easterly and southerly along the northerly and easterly boundaries of the watershed of said river and the easterly boundary of the watershed of Kootenay Lake to the northerly boundary of the watershed of St. Mary River; thence easterly along said boundary to the northerly boundary of the watershed of Mather Creek; thence easterly and southerly along the northerly and easterly boundaries of said creek to a point thereon due west of the south-west corner of Lot 11069, Kootenay Land District; thence east to and easterly along the southerly boundary of said lot to the westerly boundary of Lot 342; thence southerly and easterly along the westerly and southerly boundaries of said lot and of Lot 15 respectively to the south-west corner of Lot 7003; thence easterly, northerly, and easterly along the southerly boundary of Lot 7003 and that of Lot 7211 to the north-east corner of Lot 14; thence southerly along the easterly boundary of said lot to the south-east corner thereof; thence due east to the southerly boundary of the watershed of Wolf Creek; thence easterly along said boundary to and along the southerly boundary of the watershed of Lussier River to the southerly boundary of the watershed of White River; thence northerly along the southerly and easterly boundaries of said river to the easterly boundary of the Province; thence north-westerly along the easterly boundary of the Province to the point of commencement—all the lots herein above mentioned being in Kootenay Land District, shall constitute one electoral district, to be designated as ‘Columbia River Electoral District,’ and return one member.”

Amend by inserting, immediately preceding the heading “Richmond Electoral District,” on page 25 the following:—

“REVELSTOKE-SLOCAN ELECTORAL DISTRICT

“All that portion of the Province of British Columbia which is contained within the following boundaries:—

“Commencing at the north-west corner of Sub-lot 71 of Lot 4595, Kootenay Land District, being a point on the easterly shore of Kootenay Lake; thence easterly along the northerly boundary of said sub-lot to the north-east corner thereof; thence due east to the westerly boundary of the watershed of St. Mary River; thence northerly and easterly along the westerly and northerly boundaries of the watershed of said river to the easterly boundary of the watershed of Kootenay Lake; thence northerly along said boundary and that of Duncan River to the divide separating the waters flowing easterly into Columbia River from those flowing westerly thereinto; thence north-westerly along said divide to the southerly prolongation of the middle line of Canoe River; thence north to and northerly along said middle line to a point due east of the right bank of Foster Creek; thence west to said bank; thence south-westerly along the southerly boundary of the watershed of Foster Creek to the westerly boundary of the watershed of Columbia River; thence southerly along said boundary to a point thereon due north of the north-east corner of Lot 2151, Osoyoos Division of Yale Land District; thence south to said corner; thence southerly along the easterly boundary of Lot 2151 produced to a point due east of the south-east corner of Lot 4248; thence west to said corner; thence westerly along the southerly boundaries of Lots 4248 and 4251 to the westerly boundary of the watershed of Lower Arrow Lake—all the lots herein above mentioned, beginning with Lot 2151, being in Osoyoos Division of Yale Land District; thence southerly along said boundary to the point thereon due west of the south-west corner of Sub-lot 17 of Lot 7159, Kootenay Land District; thence east to said corner; thence easterly along the southerly boundary of said sub-lot to the westerly shore of Lower Arrow Lake; thence south-easterly by a straight line across Lower Arrow Lake to the south-west corner of Lot 12897; thence easterly along the southerly boundary of said lot to the south-east corner thereof; thence due east to the southerly boundary of the watershed of Octopus Creek; thence south-easterly along said boundary to the northerly boundary of the watershed of Lower Arrow Lake; thence southerly along said boundary to the northerly boundary of the watershed of Norns Creek; thence easterly along said boundary and the southerly boundary of the watershed of Airy Creek to a point thereon due west of the south-west corner of Lot 9303; thence easterly along the southerly boundary of said lot produced to the middle line of Slocan River; thence northerly along said middle line to a point due west of the southerly boundary of Lot 3820; thence easterly to and along the southerly boundaries of Lots 3820, 8429, 13470, and the northerly boundary of Lot 7065 produced to the northerly

boundary of the watershed of Kootenay River; thence easterly along said boundary to the headwaters of the most northerly fork of Coffee Creek; thence easterly along the middle line of Coffee Creek produced to the middle line of Kootenay Lake; thence northerly along said middle line to a point due west of the north-west corner of Sub-lot 71 of Lot 4595; thence east to the point of commencement—all the lots herein above mentioned, beginning with Sub-lot 17 of Lot 7159, being in Kootenay Land District, shall constitute one electoral district, to be designated as 'Revelstoke-Slocan Electoral District,' and return one member."

Amend by striking out the word "Yale" from the heading "Yale Electoral District" on page 36 and substituting the word "Yale-Lillooet".

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 32).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Power Development*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act Respecting Power Development*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 86) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 15, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

81. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

82. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$171,960 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

83. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$96,130 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Curriculum Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

84. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$127,464 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Tests, Standards, and Research Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

85. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$169,016 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Post Secondary and Adult Education Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

86. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$458,261 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Secondary Correspondence School, to 31st March, 1967.

87. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$91,244 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Elementary Correspondence School, to 31st March, 1967.

88. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Textbook Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

89. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,183,356 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Local Educational Services, Inspection of Schools and School Services, to 31st March, 1967.

90. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$556,573 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Special Educational Services, Jericho Hill School, to 31st March, 1967.

91. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$415,190 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Teacher Registration and Examinations, Teacher Registration and Examinations, to 31st March, 1967.

92. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$84,108 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Finance Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

93. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,110,576 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Technical and Vocational Training Programmes, to 31st March, 1967.

94. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$177,295 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Other Training Programmes, to 31st March, 1967.

95. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$195,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Community Programmes Grants, to 31st March, 1967.

96. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$86,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Grants to School Districts, to 31st March, 1967.

97. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,580,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Teachers' Superannuation Fund, to 31st March, 1967.

98. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$165,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Night-school Grants, to 31st March, 1967.

99. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children and Expenses, to 31st March, 1967.

100. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$850,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Free Textbooks, Maps, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

101. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$130,588 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Fire Protection and Services Grant *re* University of British Columbia, to 31st March, 1967.

102. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Grants to Universities, to 31st March, 1967.

103. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,900 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Incidentals, Grants, and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1967.

104. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$45,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

105. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Student-aid and Teacher-training Scholarships and Bursaries, to 31st March, 1967.

106. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Advances *re* Rural School and Library Taxes, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

Tuesday, March 15, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. N. Chant* the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Act*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gas Act*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.40 p.m.

Wednesday, March 16, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Very Rev. *W. H. Bullock*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, the following motion standing on the Order Paper in his name was withdrawn:—

Resolved, That the Standing Committee on Mining and Railways investigate and report to this Legislature on working conditions, adherence to safety procedures, disciplinary measures, personnel relations, financial policy, and labour relations of the Pacific Great Eastern Railway, with power to call witnesses and order production of books, papers, and things.

On the motion of Mr. *Turner*, Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported that the Committee recommended that the division in the Committee on the motion that Vote No. 176 be tabled until the Government brings in an amendment to the *Workmen's Compensation Act* be entered in the Journals of the House.

Mr. Speaker stated that he was of the opinion that such a motion was out of order and the question thereon should not have been put. Beauschesne, 16th edition, page 203, section 242, in considering votes and Committee of Supply, says:

“Once it (a vote) is moved a motion to postpone it cannot be entertained . . .”

And again:

“Each resolution can only be dealt with by being agreed to, reduced, negatived, superseded, or, by leave, withdrawn . . . It is not allowable to attach a condition or an expression of opinion to a vote or to change the destination of a grant.

“A proposed resolution may be allowed to stand over with general consent until another occasion, but if it has been regularly proposed from the Chair and discussed, no motion for its postponement is regular because there is no period to which it can be postponed. B. 426.”

Mr. Speaker further stated that the responsibility for what is entered in the Journals of the House is his, and to put a question such as the one recommended or to enter the matter in the Journals would, for the reasons given, be out of order.

66 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Girls' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of girls held as at December 31, 1965?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1965?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 82.

“ 2. 50.

“ 3. Three, plus six students from the master's degree class, School of Social Work at U.B.C., and their supervisor, two days a week.”

68 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to the Boys' Industrial School:—

1. What was the total number of boys held as at December 31, 1965?
2. What was the total number of staff employed as at December 31, 1965?
3. What was the total number of social workers employed as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 144.

“ 2. 69, plus 10 under the Department of Public Works.

“ 3. Three.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Wednesday, March 16, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported to Mr. Speaker that at 9.07 p.m., during the debate on Vote No. 176 in Committee, the Leader of the Opposition moved that the Committee rise, report progress, and ask leave to sit again and that he, the Chairman, had ruled the motion out of order in accordance with Standing Order 44 on the ground that the motion was an abuse of the rules and privileges of the House. He further reported that his decision had been appealed to the House.

Mr. Speaker put the motion " Shall the Chairman's ruling be sustained? "

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—28

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>

NAYS—16

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Turner</i>

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>
<i>Gaglardi</i>	<i>Perrault</i>
<i>Richter</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

The House continued to sit after midnight.

THURSDAY, MARCH 17.

176. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,068 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

177. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$411,574 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Labour Standards Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

178. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,685 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Factories Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

179. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,983,284 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Apprenticeship and Industrial Training Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

180. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$204,862 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Labour Relations Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

181. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Government Grants to Increase Workmen's Compensation Pensions, to 31st March, 1967.

182. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1967.

183. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Chairman further reported a division on a motion to reduce Vote No. 176 by \$1, and that the Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded in the Journals of the House, as follows:—

YEAS—16

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Turner</i>

NAYS—27

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>LeCours</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Richter</i>

The Chairman further reported a division on Vote No. 181, and that the Committee recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded in the Journals of the House, as follows:—

YEAS—38

Messieurs

Gibson
Stupich
Calder
Hartley
Eddie
Dowding
Barrett
Macfarlane
Nimsick
Hagen, Mrs.

Squire
Harding
Strachan
Lundell
Smith
Robinson
Tisdalle
Bruch
Shelford
Price

Brothers
Kiernan
Williston
Bennett
Bonner
Black
Vogel
MacSorley
Chabot

Skillings
Little
Jefcoat
Huhn
Loffmark
Campbell
Chant
Peterson
Martin

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Gargrave
Perrault
Macdonald

LeCours
Gaglardi
Richter

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.12 a.m.

Thursday, March 17, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. R. A. Hoult.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

172 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

1. How many mineral claims were staked in the Kaslo-Slocan riding in the year 1965?
2. How many certificates of work were filed in the Kaslo-Slocan riding for the year 1965?
3. What was the total amount spent in the Province on mining roads and trails by the Department for the year 1965?
4. What was the total amount spent on mining roads and trails in the Kaslo-Slocan riding for the year 1965?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The boundaries of the Kaslo-Slocan Electoral District and the Slocan Mining Division are not precisely the same. However, approximately 664 mineral claims were staked in the Slocan Mining Division in the year 1965.

“ 2. Approximately 1,247 certificates of work were recorded in the Slocan Mining Division for the year 1965.

“ 3. Total amount spent in the Province to date on ‘ Grants in Aid of Mining Roads and Trails,’ Vote No. 235, for fiscal year 1965/66, was \$305,801.63. Additional accounts are yet to be received.

“ 4. Total amount spent on mining roads and trails in the Kaslo-Slocan riding for fiscal year 1965/66 was \$31,889.39.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, March 17, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 16, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

134. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,362 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolution.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

158 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Has any survey been made to gauge the effect of Duncan flooding upon the wildlife in the flooded area and areas adjacent to it?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when were such surveys initiated, how extensive is the survey, who is in charge of the survey, when will reports be available, and what is the cost?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, will a full and thorough survey be made and when will it commence?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. In the spring and fall of 1962 and spring of 1963 a preliminary assessment of wildlife resources in the Duncan reservoir area was made by the Fish and Game Branch regional game biologist. A report on the effects of the Duncan reservoir on fish and wildlife resources was prepared, in limited quantity, by the Fish and Game Branch in 1965. The cost of the wildlife portion of the study in the Duncan reservoir area approximated \$1,000.”

159 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Have any studies been initiated to gauge the effect of Mica flooding upon the fish and wildlife in the flooded area and areas adjacent to it?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when were such studies initiated, how long did the survey take, are reports of the survey available, who made the survey, what was the cost of this survey, are other or any studies planned and, if so, when will they commence, and what is the estimated cost of any further study?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Studies of the impact of the Mica reservoir on fish and wildlife resources were initiated in 1954, and were continued at intervals during 1962, 1963, and 1964. A report on the effect of the Mica reservoir on fish and wildlife was prepared, in limited quantity, by the Fish and Game Branch in 1965. Fish and wildlife studies in the Mica basin were done by Fish and Game Branch staff at a cost of approximately \$10,000. Further studies by the Fish and Game Branch are not planned at this time.”

164 *Mr. Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Are there any timber permits in good standing within the boundaries of Provincial parks?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, who are the owners and what is the location of each permit?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. In Strathcona Park: T.L. 10965, T.L. 10967, T.L. 10964, T.L. 631, T.L. 3571, T.L. 3577, T.L. 3570, T.L. 3569, T.L. 3568, T.L. 3567, T.L. 3566, T.L. 3854, T.L. 3565, Lot 1011, Lot 1003, T.L. 3576, T.L. 3575, T.L. 3574, T.L. 3573, and T.L. 3572, all owned by MacMillan, Bloedel and Powell River Company Limited.

“ In Garibaldi Park: T.B. 344 and 633, British Columbia Forest Products; T.B. 376, B.C. Hydro; T.B. 388, Cattermole & Tretheway; and T.B. 403, Perry C. McGillivray.

“ In Tweedsmuir Park: Pulp Leases Nos. 2 and 3, Crown Zellerbach Company Limited.

“ In Manning Park: T.L. 12855, T.L. 12856, T.L. 12861, T.L. 12862, and T.L. 12863, all owned by Formac Timber and Construction Company.”

166 *Mr. Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With respect to the reservoir area behind the Peace River dam:—

1. Have any surveys been made of the reservoir basin and areas adjacent to it to gauge the effect of the flooding upon the fish and game?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when were the surveys made, are reports available, and what was the cost of the surveys?
3. Are further surveys of this region contemplated and, if so, when will they commence?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

“ Wildlife:

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. A cursory examination of the Peace reservoir effect on wildlife was made in March of 1964, at a cost of approximately \$500. No report on this survey has been prepared other than a working copy of information obtained.

“ 3. Further surveys will be undertaken as required.

“ Fisheries:

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. 1959 and 1963: Report, ‘ Fisheries Problems Associated with Development of the Peace River and Its Upper Tributaries for Hydro Electric Purposes,’ cost, about \$10,000.

“ 3. A fisheries survey will consist of observing changes with the filling of the reservoir and recommending a management programme. This programme will be based on initial surveys aimed at species management.”

174 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

With reference to Rocky Mountain sheep in Game Management Area No. 11:—

1. What was the estimated number during 1962, 1963, 1964, 1965, and 1966?
2. Has there been an outbreak of disease among the sheep in this area?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what is the name of the disease, (b) what is the estimated number affected, (c) what is the estimated number of deaths, and (d) is anything being done to bring this epidemic under control and, if so, (i) what is the nature of their efforts, (ii) what success is being attained, and (iii) what has been the cost of this investigation to date?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

"1. No estimate for 1966 is available at this time. In 1962, 1963, 1964, and 1965, estimates of sheep in Game Management Area No. 11 ranged between 1,500 and 3,000. (There is no accurate estimate of the numbers of Rocky Mountain Bighorn Sheep in Game Management Area No. 11 based on counts aimed specifically at deriving this information. Winter classified counts, sheep harvest data, and the continuing accumulation of information regarding the distribution of sheep in Game Management Area No. 11 indicates a minimum population in the order of 1,500 animals, and a probability that the population may approximate 3,000).

"2. Yes.

"3. (a) Lungworm-pneumonia complex. (Losses to date have been due to two separate causes. The first observed losses at Bull River, where the disease outbreak appeared to have its origin, were precipitated by malnutrition due to a combination of more than normal snow-depth and poor range conditions. At this time a number of sheep consumed hay which they could not digest, causing their death. Several weeks after this event a number of sheep were found in an emaciated condition and suffering what appeared to be a respiratory ailment. Laboratory examinations indicated that the diseased animals suffered a lungworm-pneumonia complex which developed epidemic attributes. The causative agents have been identified as the lungworm *Protostrongylus* and a pathogenic bacterium of the genus *Pasturella*. Other bacterium of pathogenic nature were found in the diseased sheep, but their significance in the epidemic is not known at this time).

"(b) The actual number of sheep affected cannot at this time be estimated. (Present information indicates that most sheep populations in Game Management Area No. 11, south of the Kootenay River, west of the Elk River, and in the Wigwam River drainage have been affected in varying degrees by the disease complex).

"(c) No estimates of the number of deaths is possible at this time. (About 95 per cent of the Bull River sheep population was lost, and a large proportion of other populations in the area affected show symptoms of the disease complex).

"(d) Yes. (i) An extensive investigation of sheep populations in Game Management Area No. 11 has been initiated. (The investigation is designed to determine the distribution and extent of losses; the causative agents of the disease outbreak; possible methods of treatment; and the ecological needs of sheep populations as a basis for the prevention of future outbreaks; and the improved management of this species.) (ii) It is too early to anticipate the outcome or success of the various aspects of the investigation now being done. (It is expected that much can be done to improve the ecological situation of sheep in the East Kootenay and render the population less susceptible to the outbreak of epidemic disease.) (iii) The cost of the die-off investigation to date has been approximately \$11,000."

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Strachan*:—

163 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

1. Are there any mining claims or leases in good standing within the boundaries of Provincial parks?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, who are the owners and what is the location of each claim or lease?

The Hon. Minister of Recreation and Conservation stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.10 p.m.

Friday, March 18, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *M. Fowler*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act to Amend the Trustee Act* was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.28 p.m.

Monday, March 21, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. Sandys-Wunsch*.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, Bill (No. 91) intituled *An Act to Amend the Annual Holidays Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Stupich*, Bill (No. 92) intituled *An Act Respecting Agreements for Sale of Farm Machinery and Parts* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 93) intituled *An Act to Amend the Petroleum Sales Act*.

Bill (No. 94) intituled *An Act Respecting the Sale of Goods under Time Sale Agreements*.

On the motion of Mr. *McGeer*, Bill (No. 95) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gas Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Strachan, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Act*.

Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act*.

Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Seminary of Christ the King*.

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*.

Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*.

Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act*.

Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act Respecting the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals* was read a second time, and *Ordered* referred to the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills for study and report.

By leave, the House reverted to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Twenty-first, Twenty-second, Twenty-third, Twenty-fourth, Twenty-fifth, Twenty-sixth, and Twenty-seventh Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 21

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company has been proved*, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

REPORT NO. 22

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 58) intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*, has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

REPORT NO. 23

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 60) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Prince George College of Prince George* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

Your Committee further recommends that the additional deposit of \$300 paid by the petitioners be refunded.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

REPORT No. 24

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

Pursuant to Standing Order 113, your Committee directs attention to section 19 of the Bill which was not contemplated in the notice as reported.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

REPORT No. 25

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Professional Engineering* has not been proved to the satisfaction of your Committee, which recommends that the Bill not be further proceeded with.

In the opinion of your Committee the petitioners did not have sufficient support from the engineering profession as a whole and from other allied professions.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

REPORT No. 26

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 57) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* has not been proved to the satisfaction of your Committee, which recommends that the Bill not be further proceeded with.

Your Committee is satisfied that present appeal procedures are adequate, provided there is a right of representation by counsel.

Therefore, your Committee recommends that the Vancouver Board of Police Commissioners provide, by way of regulation, that police officers be permitted representation by counsel at disciplinary hearings and appeals.

Your Committee further recommends that the additional deposit of \$300 paid by the petitioners be refunded.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

REPORT NO. 27

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act Respecting the Practice of Radiological Technique and Respecting Radiological Technicians* has not been proved to the satisfaction of your Committee, which recommends that the Bill not be further proceeded with.

Your Committee is of the opinion that legislation of this nature should be applicable to all para-medical groups.

Therefore, your Committee recommends that the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance take under advisement the institution of standards of training and competency of para-medical personnel.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The reports were read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the reports severally adopted.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Twenty-eighth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 28

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 21, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*, has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

Mr. *Matthew* moved that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving of a motion that the report be adopted.

Upon objection being taken, Mr. Speaker ruled that the motion required notice and could not be moved without unanimous consent of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Monday, March 21, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Muriel J. McLeod*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 21, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Muriel J. McLeod*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 21, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 12) intituled *An Act to Facilitate the Subdivision of Land in Strata and the Disposition of Title Thereto* was read a third time and passed.

On the motion that Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* be read a third time now, Mr. *Strachan* moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. *Turner*,—

"That Bill (No. 32) be not now read a third time but be referred to the members of the Commission on Redistribution appointed under Order in Council No. 2233 (1965) for their consideration and further recommendations along with the request that such recommendations be returned to the House within thirty days."

Motion negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—13

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>McKay</i>			

NAYS—26

Messieurs

<i>Calder</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>		

PAIR:

Messieurs

<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>
---------------	---------------

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—26

Messieurs

<i>Calder</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>		

NAYS—15

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>	

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Williston</i>	<i>Eddie</i>
<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>
<i>Gaglardi</i>	<i>Barrett</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Conservation of Wildlife* was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Milk Industry Act* was committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*.

Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act Respecting Power Development*.

Bill (No. 86) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company*.

Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act*.

Bill (No. 58) intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

135. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$211,084 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1967.

136. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,080,933 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Services, to 31st March, 1967.

137. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$514,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants *re* Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1967.

138. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$565,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Rehabilitation Services, Including Grants for Related Health Agencies, to 31st March, 1967.

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$690,419 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Cancer Control (Including Grants, etc.), to 31st March, 1967.

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Public Health Research, to 31st March, 1967.

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,752,761 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1967.

142. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$505,696 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Laboratories, to 31st March, 1967.

143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$451,244 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Vital Statistics, to 31st March, 1967.

144. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$168,775 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Venereal Disease Control, to 31st March, 1967.

145. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$170,904 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, Divisional Headquarters, to 31st March, 1967.

146. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$565,523 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, Out-patient Care, to 31st March, 1967.

147. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,713,854 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Premier) presented the Twenty-seventh Annual Report of the Public Utilities Commission under the *Public Utilities Act* for the year ended December 31, 1965, and a report of activities pursuant to the *Cemeteries Act* and the *Prearranged Funeral Services Act*.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented the following:—

Forty-ninth Annual Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board for the year ended December 31, 1965.

The Annual Report of the Department of Labour for the year ended December 31, 1965.

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mrs. *Haggen*:—

5 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. With reference to school operating costs, did the Provincial Government pay less than 50 per cent of such costs in any school districts in the calendar year 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what percentages were paid in the relevant school districts in the calendar year 1965?

The Hon. the Minister of Education stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

6 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to expenditure made in the Province for education in the year 1965:—

1. What was the total sum?

2. What portion of the total sum was expended on (a) ordinary expenditure and (b) capital expenditure?

3. What portion of the total sum was contributed by (a) the Provincial Government, (b) the city and district municipalities, and (c) by the Provincial Government on behalf of rural areas of any school district?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$247,140,472 (exclusive of by-law, trust, etc., funds; school districts for the calendar year 1965, other services for the fiscal year 1964/65).

“ 2. (a) \$202,042,007 and (b) \$45,098,465.

“ 3. Exclusive of the application of the home-owner grants: (a) \$111,656,517; (b) \$77,787,350, inclusive of towns and villages; and (c) \$24,019,336.”

104 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Are any permanent total disability pensions which were granted between December 31, 1942, and March 31, 1952, paid by the Workmen's Compensation Board?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what is the total cost per month?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 90 and (b) \$11,599.68.”

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Nimsick*:—

105 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

1. Are the assessments to the Workmen's Compensation Board based on a percentage of the payroll for all employers and (a), if not, on what other methods are assessments based and (b) what are the names of the employers under these special assessments?

2. Do any employers in the Province carry the full cost of compensation for accidents to employees in their employ and (a), if so, what are the names of these employers and what contribution did each one make to the Compensation Board during the years of 1963, 1964, and 1965 respectively, and (b) what was the payroll of each of these employers during 1963, 1964, and 1965 respectively?

The Hon. the Minister of Labour stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.15 p.m.

Tuesday, March 22, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *D. Just.*

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented The Royal Commission on Gasoline Price Structure, Report of the Commissioner, His Honour Judge Charles William Morrow.

On the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 97) intituled *An Act to Establish the Office of Commissioner for Grievances.*

Bill (No. 98) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Elections Act.*

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act Respecting Firearms* was read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act.*

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Boiler and Pressure Vessel Act.*

Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act.*

Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Superannuation Act.*

Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Civil Service Superannuation Act.*

Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Teachers' Pensions Act, 1961.*

Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act Respecting an Agreement between Canada and British Columbia Regarding the Canada Pension Plan.*

Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act.*

Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Seminary of Christ the King.*

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*.

Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act*.

Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Department of Commercial Transport Act*.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act Respecting Power Development* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 86) intituled *An Act to Amend the Medical Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gas Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Muriel J. McLeod* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Squire, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 50) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Fraser Valley Mutual Insurance Company*.

Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Victoria Foundation Act*.

Bill (No. 58) intituled *The Granby Mining Company Limited Act Amendment Act, 1966*.

The following Bills were read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 59) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953*.

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

51 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the British Columbia Government ferry system, as of December 31, 1965, what contributions had been made by the Government of Canada toward the capital construction cost of British Columbia Government ferries?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"The Government of Canada ship-construction subsidy was latterly paid to the ship-builders. It was introduced by Prime Minister Diefenbaker in 1961 to help Canadian shipyards compete against the lower construction costs that prevail in other countries. This subsidy has now been cancelled in connection with ship construction involving the Province or Provincial Crown agencies."

90 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the Yukon Territory, during the year ended December 31, 1965, were any conversations or negotiations undertaken with the Federal Government with respect to the ultimate union of the Province of British Columbia with the Yukon?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"No."

136 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding British Columbia Hydro activity in the Duncan Dam area:—

1. How much money was spent by British Columbia Hydro on the Lardeau-Duncan Dam Road in 1965?
2. What is the total amount spent on this road to date?
3. What is the allocation, if any, for the year 1966?
4. How much money was spent by British Columbia Hydro on the Kaslo-Lardeau Road in 1965?
5. What is the total amount spent by British Columbia Hydro on the Kaslo-Lardeau Road to date?
6. What is the allocation, if any, for the Kaslo-Lardeau Road for the year 1966?
7. What is the total amount spent to December 31, 1965, by British Columbia Hydro for road improvements in the Kaslo-Duncan Dam area?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$363.
- “ 2. \$476,714.
- “ 3. *Nil*.
- “ 4. \$311,416.
- “ 5. \$311,416.
- “ 6. *Nil*.
- “ 7. \$788,130.”

138 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. How many acres in the Arrow reservoir basin will be flooded?
2. Have any Arrow reservoir clearing contracts been let to date?
3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many clearing contracts have been let, what is the acreage involved in each contract, what is the cost of each awarded contract, and who was the successful bidder for each contract?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 24,700 acres of land (estimated).
- “ 2. Yes.
- “ 3. Twenty, as follows:—

Area No.	Size, Acres	Contractor	Cost
A	220	Evin's Contracting Ltd.	\$176,000
B	465	Lodon & MacArthur	543,750
C	395	Lodon & MacArthur	382,500
F	230	H. and K. Zirnitis	134,244
G	195	H. and K. Zirnitis	77,406
H	385	McPhail's Construction Co. Ltd.	154,997
J2	305	A. C. McEachern Ltd.	83,980
J4	580	A. C. McEachern Ltd.	132,840
J6	80	Brazeau River Constructors Ltd.	26,777
J7	290	Danmyra Construction Co. Ltd.	45,080
J8	165	Brazeau River Constructors Ltd.	33,777
J9	400	Alpine Clearing Contractors Ltd.	135,750
J10	315	Alpine Clearing Contractors Ltd.	108,750
J14	480	H. and K. Zirnitis	239,520
J15	345	H. and K. Zirnitis	131,670
J16	340	Oliver Equipment Co. Ltd.	98,600
L1	165	Lodon & MacArthur	53,955
L2	365	Lodon & MacArthur	127,750
L4	80	Lodon & MacArthur	22,250
L7	250	Lodon & MacArthur	130,500
	6,050		\$2,840,096 "

139 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What is the estimated cost to British Columbia Hydro of clearing and beautifying the reservoir area behind the Duncan Dam?

2. How many acres in the Duncan basin will be flooded?

3. How many acres in the Duncan basin will be cleared prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?

4. Have Duncan reservoir clearing contracts, if any, been let to date?

5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, how many clearing contracts have been let, what is the total acreage involved in each contract, what is the cost involved for each contract, and who was the successful bidder for each contract?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$1,194,055, prior to flooding. Some additional work may be necessary after flooding, as required over a period of years.

“ 2. 10,400 acres of land (estimated).

“ 3. 1,887 acres.

“ 4. Yes.

“ 5. Four, as follows:—

Area No.	Size. Acres	Contractor	Cost
A	748	A. O. Farstad.....	\$534,820
B	444	A. O. Farstad.....	228,660
C	478	A. O. Farstad.....	210,320
D	217	A. O. Farstad.....	220,255
			\$1,194,055 "

140 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Have the clearing specifications in regard to the Mica reservoir area been issued as yet?

2. How many acres in the Mica basin will be flooded?

3. Will the entire basin be cleared of debris prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, how many acres will be cleared prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?

5. If the answer to No. 3 is no, what are the reasons?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. 86,000 acres of land (estimated).

“ 3. See answer to No. 1.

“ 4. See answer to No. 1.

“ 5. See answer to No. 1.”

141 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority construct a water-supply system for the Celgar Corporation near Castlegar?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total cost of installing this system, what is the capacity of the system, and is it meeting with satisfaction?

3. Have other water-supply systems, if any, been provided by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority other than at Celgar and in the Robson area and, if so, where, what are the individual costs and what amount of flow is provided, and are these supplies satisfactory?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Cost is \$3,213,392. Initial capacity is 30,000 U.S.g.p.m. It is meeting with satisfaction.

“ 3. Water-supply systems have been provided as follows: (a) Kinnaird, maximum capacity is 1,390 U.S.g.p.m.; Trail, maximum capacity is 3,470 U.S.g.p.m.; costs not separable; total cost, \$427,244; and (b) Tadanac, maximum capacity is 450 U.S.g.p.m.; Warfield, maximum capacity is 900 U.S.g.p.m.; costs not separable; total cost, \$794,836. Supplies have met demands during the periods when the Authority's operations interfered with the communities' regular use of the Columbia River for domestic purposes.”

142 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority construct a water-supply system in the Robson area?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many residents were served by this water system and what was the total cost of installing this water system?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many feet of main pipe were required for the system and what was the size of pipe used, what is the source of water supply and what is the estimated available flow per day, and is it meeting with satisfaction?

4. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, were contract bids called and, if not, how was the work awarded and to whom?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. About 150 people were served by the system. Total cost was \$100,700.

“ 3. About 10,000 feet of 6-inch-diameter main were required. Water is supplied from a well with a maximum capacity of 100 U.S.g.p.m. It is meeting with satisfaction.

“ 4. Tenders were called.”

152 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What is the total acreage in British Columbia to be flooded in the reservoir area behind the Libby Dam?

2. Have the clearing specifications in regard to the Libby reservoir been issued as yet?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, how many acres are to be cleared?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 14,300 acres of land (estimated).

“ 2. No.

“ 3. Not applicable.”

153 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. On what date did the ceremonies marking the official start of construction on the Duncan and Arrow Dams take place?

2. Who was responsible for preparing the official guest list?

3. What was the total number of guests invited to the official opening by the Authority?

4. What was the total cost to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority for the ceremonies in connection with the above openings?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. May 19 and 20, 1965.

“ 2. Guest list prepared by staff and management of B.C. Hydro. Consultation and advice concerning guest list from Federal Government, Provincial Government, United States Columbia Treaty Entity, Columbia Storage Power Exchange, and Northwest Public Power Association.

“ 3. 224 guests were invited. The ceremonies were also open to the public.

“ 4. \$14,323.”

154 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to land and property acquisition necessitated by the Columbia Treaty requirements:—

1. How many arbitration hearings, if any, are presently in the process of being set up?

2. Have itemized appraisals been made of the subject properties?

3. Will these itemized appraisals, showing the basis of appraisal, be disclosed to the property-owners prior to the hearings?

4. Who are the owners of the subject properties involved?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. None.

“ 2. Not applicable.

“ 3. Not applicable.

“ 4. Not applicable.”

157 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Does British Columbia Hydro require deposits from the customer on new business accounts?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what is the total amount now being held by British Columbia Hydro as deposits and (b) what per cent of interest, if any, is being paid to the customers on this money?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, if satisfactory credit rating has not been established.

“ 2. (a) As at January 31, 1966, \$664,109, and (b) deposits bearing interest at 6 per cent total approximately \$200,000, deposits bearing interest at 3 per cent total approximately \$9,500, balance of deposits bear no interest.”

169 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the “ Utility Plant Acquisition Adjustment ” included in the list of depreciable assets of the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, as filed in the form of a Return on March 19, 1965:—

1. Was this account included in any amount in the list of assets of the British Columbia Electric Company Limited?

2. To what extent does the original balance of this account, as it was initially recorded in the accounts of British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, represent the excess paid for British Columbia Electric Company Limited shares over the book value thereof?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. None.”

170 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Premier the following question:—

With respect to the assets included on the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Balance-sheet as at March 31, 1965, under the heading "Unfinished Construction, Columbia River Development" in the amount of \$24,684,435, how much of this amount represented interest during construction?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

"\$1,500,443."

171 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Did the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority build a power-line from the Kaslo area to the Duncan Dam area in 1965?
2. If yes, how many customers are served by B.C. Hydro in this area?
3. What is the source of the hydro supply?
4. What was the total cost to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority of the above construction?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- "1. Yes. Energized early in 1966.
- "2. Presently 138.
- "3. West Kootenay Power & Light Company.
- "4. \$430,000, which includes provision for 60-kilovolt construction standards."

177 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Recreation and Conservation the following questions:—

In regard to that portion of the Columbia River which will be affected by the Arrow Dam:—

1. Does your Department plan on further extensive and thorough surveys *re* the effect of flooding on the fish and wildlife in the reservoir area and areas adjacent to it and, if yes, when will it commence?
2. What was the total cost of the surveys made by your Department in 1962 and 1963?

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* replied as follows:—

"1. Additional studies will be carried out this year in respect to the effect of the Arrow Dam on fish and wildlife. The extent to which these are undertaken will depend upon a further review and analysis of information collected during earlier surveys.

"2. Approximately \$10,000."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, March 22, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The House continued to sit after midnight.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 23.

148. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$586,508 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

149. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,029,708 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Division of Nursing Education, to 31st March, 1967.

150. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,413,187 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Community Services, to 31st March, 1967.

151. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,882,673 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1967.

152. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$90,364,690 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Hospital Insurance Service, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.26 a.m.

Wednesday, March 23, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *C. B. Murphy*.

On the motion of Mr. *Eddie*, Bill (No. 99) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of the following motion:—

That whereas *William Leonard Hartley*, member for Yale, has been unable to attend all the meetings of the present Session:

And whereas Mr. *Hartley* is a member of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia and it is just and reasonable that he should be paid the full sessional allowance and expenses:

Therefore be it Resolved, That the Clerk of the House be directed to pay to *William Leonard Hartley* the full allowance and expenses to which he would have been entitled had he attended all sittings of the Session.

Motion moved and agreed to.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

178 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. How many acres of land were cleared by your Department in the Kootenays for the 1965 operating season?

2. Where did this clearing take place and what were the individual acreages and costs involved?

3. What was the total clearing cost for the Kootenays for the 1965 operating season?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 228 acres.

“ 2. Salmo, 40 acres, \$2,000; Wycliffe, 30 acres, \$1,000; Cranbrook, 21 acres, \$900; Osoyoos, 35 acres, \$1,999; Oliver, 30 acres, \$998; Oliver, 50 acres, \$996; and Beaverdale, 22 acres, \$884.

“ 3. \$8,777.”

184 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

1. Has your Department advertised in the Nakusp area for work to be done under the *Farmers' Land-clearing Assistance Act*, and for contractors to submit tenders on same?

2. If yes, what is the closing date for application *re* the above?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many individuals have applied for this assistance and what is the total acreage involved?

4. How many contractors have applied for the above work?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, in part. Contractors' tenders are submitted on an hourly basis for the supply of suitable equipment and not on the basis of specific jobs.

“ 2. Applications, March 15, 1966, for priority of consideration. Contractors' tenders, March 15, 1966.

“ 3. Applications are being processed. Receipts in Victoria to date do not include any applications from Nakusp.

“ 4. No contractors have tendered specifically for the Nakusp area. Three contractors' tenders have been received for the Nelson, Kaslo, and Salmo areas.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.02 p.m.

Wednesday, March 23, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

153. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,860 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

154. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,374,034 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

155. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, Ferries, Wharves, and Tunnels—Maintenance and Operation, Repairs, Snow and Ice Removal, to 31st March, 1967.

156. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Trans-Canada Highway—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1967.

157. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$52,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, and Ferries—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1967.

158. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Hydro-development Highways—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1967.

159. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highways within Municipal Limits, to 31st March, 1967.

160. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Vehicle Damage Claims, to 31st March, 1967.

161. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$550,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highway Signs, Signals, Traffic Control, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

162. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,775 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1967.

163. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Purchase of New Equipment, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.28 p.m.

Thursday, March 24, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. M. Alexander*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, March 24, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

292. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,870 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolution.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.30 p.m.

Friday, March 25, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. A. Roberts*.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 24, 1966.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2 (g): To amend by adding to the proposed section 75D of the Act the following words and figures: “, provided that the Corporation shall not enter upon, break up, or enter into possession or use the said lands until it has paid or tendered to the owners thereof the sum of eighteen thousand seven hundred dollars in the case of the said Lot 3 and twenty-three thousand one hundred dollars in the case of the said part of said Lot 18 as interim compensation, which sums, if paid, shall be set off against the compensation finally determined pursuant to the said Division (4), and in each case such sum may be accepted by the person or persons entitled thereto without prejudice to the rights of them or any of them to claim a further or greater sum by way of compensation pursuant to the said Division (4). Upon the application of the Corporation, the Registrar of Titles shall note upon the titles of the lands concerned any such payment of interim compensation.”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 84).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

On the motion of Mr. *Turner*, Bill (No. 101) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Administration Act*.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Gas Act* was committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that upon consideration of section 3 of Bill (No. 87) a debate arose, the Committee divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded as follows:—

YEAS—47

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Chabot</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	

NAYS—1

Mr. *Gargrave*

Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Payment of a Grant to Muriel J. McLeod* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

293. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$98,908 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

294. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$223,327 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Provincial Museum, to 31st March, 1967.

295. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$32,872 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Commercial Fisheries Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

296. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,614,388 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Fish and Game Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

297. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,396,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, Travel Division, to 31st March, 1967.

298. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$49,819 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, California Office, to 31st March, 1967.

299. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$193,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, Photographic Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

300. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,354,748 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Parks Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

301. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$325,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Grants in Aid of Regional Parks Development, to 31st March, 1967.

302. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Youth Training Programme, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Shelford* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 25, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries begs leave to report as follows:—

Pursuant to motion of February 2, 1966, your Committee was ordered convened to study the following matter:—

Resolved, That the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries be convened to receive the listed reports requested last Session from the Forest Service as follows:—

- (a) A report on the operation of the 30–50-per-cent contract clause in tree-farm licence documents;
- (b) A report on the additional management requirements for those quota-holders in public sustained-yield units where cutting rights are confined to a small number of operators;
- (c) A report on the effects of quota exchanges relative to costs of production, community development, and use of manpower;
- (d) A report on the steps taken to initiate sustained-yield management in the region north of the Fraser River and east of Howe Sound;
- (e) A report on the problem of management of temporary tenures;
- (f) A report on the steps taken to initiate a programme of close utilization of Crown timber in public sustained-yield units.

The Committee shall also be asked to study

- (a) the proposed regulations governing the close utilization of the timber resources both on the Coast and in the Interior;
- (b) the problems associated with the efficient salvage of driftwood from the waters and beaches along the Coast, to determine whether any changes are required in either legislation or regulations to assist in securing more complete use of such wood.

Sixteen meetings were held and representations were heard from the following:—

Forestry officials, Mr. Swannell, Mr. McKinnon, Mr. Stokes, and Mr. McLeod. Mr. Birrell on behalf of Vancouver Island Chip Supply.

Mr. J. J. Sundy.

Mr. Haleta on behalf of Haleta Products Limited.

Mr. B. B. Greenlee of Anaconda Company Limited.

— Mr. Brennan of Independent Squamish Loggers Limited.

— Mr. Bush of Cariboo Lumber Manufacturers' Association.

Mr. McMaster of Gulf Log Salvage Co-operative.

— Mr. P. Heller of Independent Timber Converters.

Mr. B. W. Irvine of Canadian Wood Reclamation.

Mr. Fisher of Beachwood Recovery Company Limited.

— Mr. B. E. Welch of Truck Loggers' Association.

— Mr. B. M. Hoffmeister of Council of Forest Industries.

— Mr. McBien of MacMillan, Bloedel and Powell River Company.

— Mr. Dunham of Canadian Institute of Forestry.

Mr. A. P. Lloyd of Federated Co-operatives Limited.

— Mr. I. H. McDiarmid of Interior Lumber Manufacturers' Association.

— Mr. Kane of Northern Interior Lumber Manufacturers' Association.

The Forestry Committee appreciates the manner in which the Forestry Department collected and presented six reports which had been requested by the Committee last year in regard to

- (a) a report on the operation of the 30–50-per-cent contract clause in tree-farm licence documents;

- (b) a report on the additional management requirements for those quota-holders in public sustained-yield units where cutting rights are confined to a small number of operators;
- (c) a report on the effects of quota exchanges relative to costs of production, community development, and use of manpower;
- (d) a report on the steps taken to initiate sustained-yield management in the region north of the Fraser River and east of Howe Sound;
- (e) a report on the problem of management of temporary tenures;
- (f) a report on the steps taken to initiate a programme of close utilization of Crown timber in public sustained-yield units.

1. Your Committee recommends that observance of the 30-50-per-cent cutting clause involving contractors in tree-farm licences should be reported every third year. Most companies adhere to the provisions of this clause and a great deal of work is required to collect this information. It appears that a report every third year would be sufficient to ensure compliance with requirements.

2. *Salvage Wood*.—Your Committee is of the opinion that it would be desirable to salvage the driftwood from the Coastal beaches and from the flood areas of the Interior reservoirs. To ensure economic operations it is recommended the *Forest Act* be amended so that

- (a) it be made lawful to process the salvaged wood;
- (b) it be lawful to make a profit in converting such salvage material;
- (c) the original log-owners be given a specified period of time to retrieve logs which have been accumulated along shorelines. When the advertised time expires, the logs should revert to the Crown for purposes of resale. Strict regulations should be drawn by the Forest Service to prevent log theft.

3. (a) *Smallwood*.—Your Committee recommends that there be no pulp-timber sales on the Coastal areas until there is a market for the smallwood presently being left in the public sustained-yield units or tree-farm licences. The key to smallwood utilization is in the expansion of the present pulp-mill capacities or by constructing new plants along the Coastal area. Integrated companies should be encouraged to use as much smallwood as possible in making pulp rather than using sawlogs as at present which could be converted into more valuable products.

(b) *Coast and Interior*.—All companies should be encouraged to work toward close utilization. There should be a reasonable stumpage rate on salvage wood, whether it be taken out by pre-logging, primary logging, or salvage logging. Removal of this type of salvage material should not be charged against sawlog quotas.

(c) Your Committee feels it advisable that the Forest Service should carry out studies in certain areas close to existing pulp-mills to determine whether close utilization be made compulsory rather than voluntary. The present smallwood policy has not been in operation long enough to properly assess the situation, and for this reason it should be reviewed thoroughly at the next Session. At this time many companies are expected to be operating on timber sales granted under the close utilization regulations.

4. The question of export permits was discussed at length before the Committee, and it is recommended export of Coast smallwood should be considered on an extended scale as complaints have been received about undue delays being experienced in receiving export permits. It is suggested that ways be implemented within the framework of present regulations to speed up the process of log export to avoid economic loss through log deterioration. This should only be done until such time as new pulp-mill expansions have come in line. The increased plant capacities should utilize a great deal of this material.

5. If considered to be in the public interest, the area north of the Fraser River from Harrison Lake to the Gulf of Georgia could be placed in a new public sustained-yield unit. The Fury Creek drainage should be excluded for the time being. Eventually this drainage could be added to the Soo Sustained-yield Unit, since it is located right on the south boundary.

6. Your Committee was pleased to observe that more money is to be spent on reforestation in public sustained-yield units, but recommends that this programme be accelerated to bring acres that are not sufficiently stocked into a growing rotation. The results of this can be immediate as far as revenue to the Crown is concerned, since the annual acreage cut is based on the acreage of productive forest land. Encouragement should be given to operators to take out decadent stands at an early date so that a new growth is started.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

CYRIL M. SHELFORD, *Chairman.*

The report was taken as read and received.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.45 p.m.

Monday, March 28, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. V. *Underhill.*

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act.*

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 59) intituled *An Act to Amend the Seaboard Assurance Company Act, 1953.*

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Incorporate Westco Insurance Company.*

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*.

Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation*.

Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited*.

Bill (No. 60) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Prince George College of Prince George*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

164. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,530 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

165. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,680 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

166. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$158,326 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Agent-General's Office and British Columbia House, London, England, to 31st March, 1967.

167. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$256,970 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Bureau of Economics and Statistics, to 31st March, 1967.

168. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$631,677 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Data Processing Division, to 31st March, 1967.

169. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$69,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Industrial and Trade Office, to 31st March, 1967.

170. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$43,635 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, British Columbia House, San Francisco, to 31st March, 1967.

171. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

172. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Advertising and Publicity, to 31st March, 1967.

173. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$131,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Trade Promotion, to 31st March, 1967.

174. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Provincial Exhibits, British Columbia Building, to 31st March, 1967.

175. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$275,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Grant to British Columbia Research Council, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

125 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Labour the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Labour:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) \$1,385,000 (estimated) and (b) *nil*.

“ 2. Vote 179, Apprenticeship and Industrial Training Branch; (a) \$1,385,000 (estimated) and (b) *nil*; training apprentices and pre-apprentices under the Federal-Provincial Apprenticeship Training Programme.”

168 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Has the Highways Department definitely settled upon the route to be followed to connect Highway No. 6 to Highway No. 3, southward from Fauquier?

2. Have surveys for the proposed route been made by the Department?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is no, when will surveys be made and when is construction expected to commence?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1, 2, and 3. Reconnaissance will be done this year.”

179 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

Regarding the proposed new highway between Nakusp and Fauquier:—

1. Have the surveys of the above highway route been completed?

2. If no, when will these surveys be completed?

3. Will construction of this highway commence in 1966 and, if so, when is construction expected to start?

4. Has the highway entrance to the Village of Nakusp been surveyed and approved?

5. Has the bridge crossing of Cariboo Creek (near Burton) been selected?

6. Will British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority pay part of the construction costs and, if yes, what percentage will they pay?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Answered by No. 1.
- “ 3. Proposed to commence in 1966.
- “ 4. Surveyed, not yet approved.
- “ 5. Yes.
- “ 6. Yes, percentage not yet computed.”

180 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

- 1. Does the Department plan on replacing the bridge over Carpenter Creek in the New Denver area and, if so, when?
- 2. Does the Department plan on replacing the bridge in the Rosebery area (over Wilson Creek) and, if so, when?
- 3. Does the Department plan on replacing the bridge over Enterprise Creek and, if so, when?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1 and 2. Yes, but no definite date.
- “ 3. Yes, within two years.”

181 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Fort Steele Bridge and answers to Question No. 162 in Votes and Proceedings of March 14, 1966:—

- 1. How many pieces of treated piling were supplied by Canada Creosoting Company Limited?
- 2. What was the total cost of this order?
- 3. How many pieces of untreated piling were supplied by Arnott-Smith Export Limited?
- 4. What was the total cost of this order?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 45.
- “ 2. \$3,742.20.
- “ 3. 256.
- “ 4. \$12,579.84.”

186 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the maintenance of the Stewart-Cassiar Road:—

- 1. Is it the intention of the Department of Highways to establish a highway-maintenance camp in the vicinity of Dease Lake?
- 2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, when will the construction of the camp begin?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Work commenced last fall.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Monday, March 28, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act* was read a third time and passed.

On the motion for the consideration of the report on Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* moved that the Bill be recommitted.

Motion agreed to.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Without the Committee rising, the Committee reported that upon consideration of section 18A of Bill (No. 87) Mr. *Dowding* proposed the following amendment:—

Section 23A: To amend by renumbering the proposed section of 23A as subsection (1) and adding as subsection (2) the following:—

"(2) When *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* comes into force and effect the Registrar of Voters shall compile a new list of voters throughout the Province by a complete house-to-house visitation forthwith."

The Chairman ruled the amendment out of order on the ground that it involved the expenditure of public funds.

The Chairman's ruling was appealed to the House.

The Chairman's ruling was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—27

Messieurs

<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gagardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>	

NAYS—18

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Hagen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>		

PAIR:

Messieurs

Kiernan *Strachan*

The Chairman reported the Bill complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The Committee further reported that upon consideration of section 18B of Bill (No. 87) a debate arose, the House divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded as follows:—

YEAS—28

Messieurs

<i>Smith</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—18

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>		

PAIR:

Messieurs

Kiernan *Gibson*

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

184. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,550 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolution.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (on behalf of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, Provincial Secretary) presented the Sixteenth Annual Report of the British Columbia Indian Advisory Committee and the Director, Indian Advisory Act, for the year ended December 31, 1965.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.49 p.m.

Tuesday, March 29, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Lloyd Mackey*.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Reimburse Cyril Morley Shelford for Certain Expenses*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 29, 1966.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 102) intituled *An Act to Reimburse Cyril Morley Shelford for Certain Expenses*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

185. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$109,774 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

186. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Grant to British Columbia Natural Resources Conference, to 31st March, 1967.

187. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$73,776 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1967.

188. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,180 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Land Settlement Board, to 31st March, 1967.

189. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Investigation of Doukhobor Lands, to 31st March, 1967.

190. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$225,540 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

191. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Pre-servicing Crown Lands Proposed for Sale or Lease, to 31st March, 1967.

192. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$380,014 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Inspection Division, to 31st March, 1967.

193. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,512 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

194. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Special Commitments, to 31st March, 1967.

195. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$506,487 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Legal Surveys Division, to 31st March, 1967.

196. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$182,047 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Geographic Division, to 31st March, 1967.

197. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$507,856 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Topographic Division, to 31st March, 1967.

198. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$328,176 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Air Division, to 31st March, 1967.

199. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, *University Endowment Lands Administration Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

200. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,433,972 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, General Administration, Protection, and Management of Forests, to 31st March, 1967.

201. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$859,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reforestation and Forest Nursery, to 31st March, 1967.

202. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$13,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forestry and Correction Camp Programme, to 31st March, 1967.

203. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$84,723 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Research, to 31st March, 1967.

204. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,058 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Public Information and Education, to 31st March, 1967.

205. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$127,230 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Service Training-school, to 31st March, 1967.

206. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grant to Canadian Forestry Association, to 31st March, 1967.

207. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,987,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Engineering Services and Forest-development Roads, to 31st March, 1967.

208. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,688,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Fire Suppression, to 31st March, 1967.

209. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$972,598 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Surveys, to 31st March, 1967.

210. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Scaling Fund, to 31st March, 1967.

211. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,266,050 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Silviculture, to 31st March, 1967.

212. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grazing Range Improvement Fund, to 31st March, 1967.

213. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Community Pastures, to 31st March, 1967.

214. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Power Timber Salvage, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Twenty-ninth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 29

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 29, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee recommends that Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act Respecting the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals* not be proceeded with.

Your Committee is of the opinion that the said Bill requires further study and investigation of the provisions therein contained.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Spere* presented the First Report of the Special Committee to Consider Strathcona Park Townsite, Commercial Fisheries Report, and Access and Use of Privately Operated Roads, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 1

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 29, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Special Committee appointed to examine and report on the recommendations to the Legislature on:—

- (1) The application of Western Mines Limited for a townsite within the boundaries of Strathcona Provincial Park;
- (2) To receive, review, and report on a Special Report on Fisheries, concerning the Jurisdictional and Administrative Management of the Commercial Fisheries of British Columbia and the Major Problems Associated with the Management of the Resource;
- (3) To review the progress made to date in relation to public access and use of privately operated roads,—

begs leave to report as follows:—

On the question of Western Mines Limited application, your Committee held eight meetings and heard submissions from individuals representing the following organizations:—

Mr. McWilliams, Director, Parks Branch.

Mr. C. M. Campbell, general manager, Western Mines Limited.

Mr. A. King, representing Mine Mill Union.

Dr. Campbell, advising geologist, Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce.

Mr. Howard Paish, British Columbia Wildlife Federation.

Mr. Bob Peterson, chairman, parks division, B.C. Wildlife Organization.

Mr. Gray, former chief metallurgist with Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company Limited.

Mr. Withler, Provincial Fish and Game Department.

Mr. Paget, Pollution-control Board.

Mr. K. Marton.

Mr. E. Mankelow.

Mr. Robert Hurst, University of Victoria (biology group).

Mrs. Eve Howden.

Mrs. Ruth Masters, Comox Mountaineering Club.

Your Committee recognizes the difficulty of administering a Provincial park policy which, in the past, permitted indiscriminate staking of mineral claims and allowance of timber berths within park boundaries. It concurs with the Government policy which introduces a new concept preventing any further alienation of Class A park lands and which establishes totally inviolate conservancy areas.

With specific reference to the application of Western Mines Limited for a permit to establish a townsite within close proximity to actual mining operations, your Committee notes the original claims were staked prior to presently existing Provincial parks legislation. It was also noted that in order to more properly delineate and confine the location of the previously existing mineral claims and timber berths, the region comprising Strathcona Park was divided into three conservancy areas of approximately 215,000 acres, 58,010 acres, and 29,784 acres respectively, in which there are no alienations, and the remainder of the Strathcona Park area was designated as Class B park land since it did not meet Class A requirements. It recognizes that the principals have a previously existing legal right to continue the proposed mining operation.

In view of these circumstances, your Committee recommends

- (1) that Western Mines Limited be permitted to establish a townsite within Class B area of Strathcona Park;
- (2) that the townsite be situated as close to the mine-site as practical; and
- (3) that the site and conditions under which the townsite is built and maintained be within rigid control as determined by the Minister of Recreation and Conservation.

Your Committee further recommends that it must be the responsibility of Western Mines Limited to prevent any pollution of waters of Buttle Lake as a consequence of any operation connected with the mine or townsite, and that the Pollution-control Board exercise most stringent pollution-control requirements with a continuing survey and inspection of Buttle Lake waters.

Your Committee also recommends that the Park Use Permit setting out the conditions of the townsite and mine operation should include provision for removal of the townsite and mine buildings and restoration of all properties to the approval of the Minister of Recreation and Conservation upon termination of the operation of the mine.

The Committee's recommendations are not intended to be interpreted as a precedent for future Government policy in the multi-use concept of park lands.

Your Committee further recommends that the Government be encouraged to negotiate for the securing of existing alienations within Class A park boundaries where it is practical to do so, and urges that action be taken to secure additional recreational area in the Strathcona Park region by the acquisition of Forbidden Plateau lands.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

WILLIAM SPEARE, *Chairman.*

On the motion that the report be read and received, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—32

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—10

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>		

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>
<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Gibson</i>

The report was read and received.

Mr. *Speare* presented the Second Report of the Special Committee to Consider Strathcona Park Townsite, Commercial Fisheries Report, and Access and Use of Privately Operated Roads, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 2

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 28, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Special Committee appointed to examine and report on the recommendations to the Legislature on:—

- (1) The application of Western Mines Limited for a townsite within the boundaries of Strathcona Provincial Park:
- (2) To receive, review, and report on a Special Report on Fisheries, concerning the Jurisdictional and Administrative Management of the Commercial Fisheries of British Columbia and the Major Problems Associated with the Management of the Resource:
- (3) To review the progress made to date in relation to public access and use of privately operated roads,—

begs leave to report as follows:—

On the question of the Special Report on Access, your Committee held two meetings and heard submissions from organizations including British Columbia Forest Industries, Tahsis Company Limited, Crown Zellerbach Company, Timberland Company, Canadian Forest Products, Columbia Cellulose, Rayonier Canada (B.C.) Limited, Elk River Timber, MacMillan, Bloedel and Powell River Company Limited, and B.C. Wildlife Federation.

Your Committee noted that changes to existing legislation as recommended by a previous Legislative Committee have enabled industry to develop access programmes on a voluntary basis.

Your Committee further noted that a spirit of co-operation exists between industry, recreation groups, and Government.

Your Committee recommends that present voluntary access programmes be encouraged to continue, and that a further review by a Legislative Committee take place in two years time, with specific reference to access problems in the Interior.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

WILLIAM SPEARE, *Chairman.*

By leave of the House, the report was taken as read and received.

Mr. *Speare* presented the Third Report of the Special Committee to Consider Strathcona Park Townsite, Commercial Fisheries Report, and Access and Use of Privately Operated Roads, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 3

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 28, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Special Committee appointed to examine and report on the recommendations to the Legislature on:—

- (1) The application of Western Mines Limited for a townsite within the boundaries of Strathcona Provincial Park:
- (2) To receive, review, and report on a Special Report on Fisheries, concerning the Jurisdictional and Administrative Management of the Commercial Fisheries of British Columbia and the Major Problems Associated with the Management of the Resource:
- (3) To review the progress made to date in relation to public access and use of privately operated roads,—

begs leave to report as follows:—

On the question of the Special Report on Fisheries, your Committee held six meetings and heard submissions from individuals representing the following organizations:—

- Mr. R. Stanton, Pacific Trollers' Association.
- Mr. Williams.
- Mr. Harrison and Mr. Miller, Fisheries Association of British Columbia.
- Mr. R. Payne.
- Mr. Homer Stevens and Mr. Nicol, United Fishermen and Allied Workers.
- Mr. H. Corbett.
- Mr. Burnell, Fort Langley.
- Mr. R. Larson, independent fisherman.
- Mr. Paish, B.C. Wildlife Federation.
- Mr. English, member Federal Advisory Committee.
- Mr. Mooney and Mr. Stroyan, B.C. Forest Council.
- Mr. Bob Wright, Amalgamated Conservation Society.
- Mr. F. Buble, Fishing Vessels Owners' Association of British Columbia.
- Mr. Hourston, Federal Fisheries Area Director.
- Mr. McMynn, B.C. Fish Biologist.
- Prince Rupert Co-operative.

Your Committee expresses commendation of the work accomplished by Mr. R. G. McMynn in completing the Special Report. The material compiled was regarded as constructive and factual by all groups appearing before the Committee.

Your Committee noted that matters of jurisdiction and regulation in the important British Columbia fishing industry are confused by lack of clear-cut understanding between Federal and Provincial authorities.

Your Committee recommends that due to the interlocking relationship between resources solely under Provincial jurisdiction and that of fisheries, an agreement should be concluded with the Federal Government providing greater jurisdiction to the Province since it is unreasonable to expect the Province to accept greater responsibility unless it has concomitant authority.

Your Committee recommends that there be a Provincial participation on the Federal Fisheries Board and at Federal conferences.

Your Committee recommends that negotiations be commenced with the Federal Government to clarify the responsibilities and jurisdictions as between Federal and Provincial authorities in relation to, *inter alia*,

- (a) the limiting of the number of commercial licences issued;
- (b) the control of quasi sport-commercial fishing activities;
- (c) the unregulated exploitation of British Columbia coast fisheries by non-resident sport fishermen;
- (d) the licensing of tidal sport fishing.

In the event of proper and satisfactory Provincial jurisdiction and authority being established, your Committee recommends

- (1) that there be a Minister of Commercial Fisheries for British Columbia;
- (2) that consideration be given to providing funds for a Provincial Fish Culture Branch within the Provincial Department of Fisheries for the purpose of improving spawning-channels and access to spawning-channels as a means of improving reproduction of fish.

Your Committee recommends that an evaluation of the sport-fishing industry and related interests be undertaken to establish the relative importance of this industry and its position in the economy.

Your Committee also recommends that studies and development of the shellfish and oyster industry be continued and encouraged.

Your Committee further recommends that pollution control should become a prime matter of concern to the Provincial Fisheries Department, and that it should develop close contact with the Pollution-control Board as well as with Provincial and Federal departments to protect Provincial fisheries.

Your Committee recommends that Bill No. 33 be enacted except as it relates to section 25, and that section 25 be stood over for further consideration by Government, and that in considering the question of section 25, the Government study the recommendation of the United Fishermen and Allied Workers.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

WILLIAM SPEARE, *Chairman*.

By leave of the House, the report was taken as read and received.

Mr. *Chabot* presented the Report of the Special Committee to report on matters relating to the *Dental Technicians Act*, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 28, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Special Committee on the *Dental Technicians Act* begs leave to report as follows:—

Pursuant to motion of February 21, 1966, your Committee was ordered convened to study the following matter:—

Resolved, That the Special Committee on the *Dental Technicians Act* be convened to examine, investigate, study, and report on all matters relating to the provisions of the *Dental Technicians Act*.

Eight meetings were held and representations were heard from the following:—

Mr. Porteous, Department of Health and Welfare.

Dr. G. M. Shrum.

Mr. G. T. Ingram, federated legislative council of Elder Citizens Association. Brigadier Underhill, Salvation Army.

Mr. Taylor, old-age pensioners, Sidney.

Mr. D. H. Goard, chairman, Dental Technicians Board, Vancouver.

Mr. McDonald, Dental Mechanics and Public Denturists.

Mr. Lloyd E. English, D.M.D., president of the Council of College of Dental Surgeons of British Columbia.

Mr. W. P. Munsie, D.M.D., past president of the Council of College of Dental Surgeons of British Columbia.

Mr. S. Shaw, Victoria, former owner of Commercial Dental Laboratories.

Mr. William H. Deverell, barrister and solicitor.

Mr. John White, counsel of Dental Laboratories Association of British Columbia.

Dr. Parsitt, Dean of Dentistry, University of British Columbia.

Your Committee found that the working arrangements between the dentists and the axillary services, i.e., dental mechanics and dental technicians, and the public is unsatisfactory and prevents the public from enjoying to the fullest the benefits of these essential services because of the impediments that are contained in present legislation and regulations governing the dentists and the axillary services.

Therefore, your Committee recommends that the recommendations as proposed by Dr. G. M. Shrum be implemented as follows:—

(a) License dental laboratory technicians to work only on requisitions from dentists:

(b) License dental mechanics to work directly for the public, taking impressions and making and fitting whole and partial dentures:

(c) In the case of "immediate full or immediate partial dentures" the dental mechanic would be limited to taking the impression and making the denture. Provision would be made for the fitting and subsequent care of the oral cavity to be carried out by a dentist or physician:

(d) Insist upon suitable educational standards and provide facilities for adequate technical training of both dental laboratory technicians and dental mechanics. All would be required to pass suitable tests of skill and knowledge:

- (e) Provide for rigid inspection of all premises of dental mechanics and for policing the operations of both dental laboratory technicians and dental mechanics to ensure that their operations are in accordance with the provisions of the Act.

And that your Committee further recommends that it is necessary also to amend the *Dentistry Act* in sections 25 and 37 which could permit co-operation between these health services.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

JAMES R. CHABOT, *Chairman.*

By leave of the House, the report was taken as read and received.

Mr. *Jefcoat* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Agriculture, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 29, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Agriculture begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Select Standing Committee on Agriculture was instructed by the Legislature to inquire into the availability of farm labour as requested by farm organizations such as the B.C. Federation of Agriculture with a view to ascertaining what steps might be taken to meet this problem and to report its findings to the House.

It appears from such information as was available to the Committee that farm wages are not able to successfully compete with the generally higher wages paid in other industries, especially in times of high industrial activity.

The ways and means of improving farm income, while beyond the terms of reference of this Committee, would appear to be a necessary approach to the basic fact that as long as farm wages cannot meet the competition of other industries, a continuing problem of undefined magnitude will undoubtedly exist.

Four meetings were held, at which time the Committee heard briefs from the B.C. Federation of Agriculture, representing many farm organizations, the B.C. Federation of Labour, and from Mr. A. C. Carter, representing the Federal-Provincial Manpower Committee. Insufficient evidence was placed before the Committee to indicate that imported labour is the answer to the farm labour problem. It is the opinion of your Committee that the subject as outlined is far too complex to bring in a detailed report at this time. However, the following points should receive consideration:—

- (1) Possibility of bringing farm labour under provisions of the *Minimum Wage Act*;
- (2) Consideration of making provision of Unemployment Insurance Commission facilities available to the agricultural industry on a voluntary basis;
- (3) The Provincial Agricultural Manpower Committee to undertake a continuing study in conjunction with the various agricultural commodity groups to compile an inventory of the available labour for the agricultural industry within the Province. This committee would further ascertain the labour requirements for Provincial agriculture, both on an immediate and long-term basis. The results of these studies to be brought to the immediate attention of the Federal Agricultural Manpower Committee in

Ottawa for their action in the event that requirements may reach beyond this Province's ability to supply the required manpower to harvest this coming season's crop:

- (4) Recommendation that items mentioned above be referred to Agriculture Ministers' and Deputy Ministers' Conference to be held in July for further consideration at that time;
- (5) That the Legislative Standing Committee on Agriculture be reconvened at the next Session of the Legislature to further study and report on this matter if necessary.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

WILLIS J. JEFCOAT, *Chairman.*

By leave of the House, the report was taken as read and received.

20 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. Are there any mentally retarded patients in the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many are there at present and (b) how long have they been patients in the Mental Hospital?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"1. Yes.

"2. (a) On December 31, 1965, 146; and (b) less than 1 year, 18; 1 year to 5 years, 27; 6 years to 10 years, 8; over 10 years, 93; total, 146."

29 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. What was the total number of psychiatrists employed at the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale as at December 31, 1965?

2. What was the total number of patients in the Provincial Mental Hospital at Essondale as at December 31, 1965?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

"1. Psychiatrists, 19½; other medical specialists, 2½; other physicians, 22; medical consultants engaged on a fee for service basis, 13; total, 57.

"2. 2,783."

120 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Public Works:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:—

"See Budget Speech, 1966, page 26, last sentence: 'Each Minister will be available during discussion of his estimates to give information concerning the change to any individual vote, if necessary.'"

130 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Education:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?

2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) \$27,192,150 and (b) *nil*.

“ 2. (a) Vote 89, \$5,000, for inspection service in Indian Affairs Branch schools; Vote 93, \$6,057,698, for operating costs of the various programmes; Vote 93, \$21,000,000, for capital expenditures; Vote 94, \$99,452, for operating costs; and Vote 105, \$30,000, for Canada's share of bursary awards.”

175 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce the following questions:—

1. Is an economic survey of the Cranbrook area being undertaken?

2. If so, when did it commence and when will it be completed?

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No.

“ 2. Answered by No. 1.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.12 p.m.

Tuesday, March 29, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “ Public Bills and Orders.”

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law* a debate arose.

Mr. *Macdonald* moved that the debate be adjourned to the next sitting of the House. Motion negatived.

The debate resumed on the main motion.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—31

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Turner</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Harding</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	

NAYS—1

Mr. Macdonald

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* was committed, reported complete with amendment and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* was committed, reported complete with amendment. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Heritage Life Assurance Corporation* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Southwest Mortgage Indemnity Corporation Limited* was committed, reported complete with amendment and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 60) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the Prince George College of Prince George* was committed, reported complete with amendment and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

215. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$44,404 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

216. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$513,374 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Rights Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

217. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,716 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Inspection of Dykes, to 31st March, 1967.

218. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$103,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, River-bank Protection, to 31st March, 1967.

219. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Assistance to Improvement Districts, to 31st March, 1967.

220. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Canadian Council of Resources Ministers, to 31st March, 1967.

221. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$521,806 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

222. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$600,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations and Hydraulic Surveys and Projects, to 31st March, 1967.

223. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Okanagan Flood Control, to 31st March, 1967.

224. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, *Canada-British Columbia Joint Development Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

225. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, B.C. Hydrometric Stream-gauging, to 31st March, 1967.

226. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$174,729 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Southern Okanagan Lands Project, to 31st March, 1967.

227. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$98,712 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Pollution Control, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.59 p.m.

Wednesday, March 30, 1966

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *Brian Cowan*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

Upon consideration of the report on Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter*, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* moved that the Bill be recommitted.

Motion agreed to.

Bill recommitted, reported complete with further amendment.

Bill as reported to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Order called for "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 102) intituled *An Act to Reimburse Cyril Morley Shelford for Certain Expenses* was read a second time and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill committed forthwith.

Bill reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fisheries Act* was read a second time and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill forthwith committed, amended, reported complete with amendment.

By leave of the House the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

228. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$34,580 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

229. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$259,020 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, General Administration—Titles and Accounts, to 31st March, 1967.

230. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$241,652 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Mineralogical Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

231. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$58,548 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Analytical and Assay Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

232. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$258,900 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Inspection Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

233. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$299,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Petroleum and Natural Gas Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

234. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1967.

235. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Mining Roads and Trails, to 31st March, 1967.

236. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Construction of Mining-roads, to 31st March, 1967.

237. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grub-staking Prospectors, to 31st March, 1967.

238. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Inter-provincial Committee on Mining, to 31st March, 1967.

239. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1967.

240. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Special Mineral Surveys, to 31st March, 1967.

241. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, *Iron Bounty Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

242. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Smith* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,

March 30, 1966.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee held several meetings for examination of vouchers supplied by the Comptroller-General. These vouchers were supplied from 29 individual votes as and when requested by the Chairman and were found to be in order.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. D. SMITH, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

22 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the answer to Question No. 109 recorded in the Journals for 1964, regarding a planning committee to prepare for the construction of additional beds for the mentally retarded:—

1. Have any additional beds been provided at Tranquille or Victoria?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many beds in each place?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Tranquille, 104 beds in final stages of construction, to be ready for occupancy May, 1966. Plans are being advanced by the Department of Public Works for a 400-bed school for the mentally retarded to be constructed in the Royal Oak vicinity of Saanich.”

24 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the extension of The Woodlands School at Tranquille:—

1. How many patients are now in residence?
2. What is the total number of staff employed?
3. With reference to staff, how many (a) resident psychiatrists are employed, (b) psychiatric nurses are employed, and (c) psychiatric aides are employed?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. December 31, 1965, male, 326; female, 240; and total, 566. This includes 115 bed patients in the Greaves Building who require intensive medical and nursing care and 451 patients who are ambulatory and who do not require the same degree of medical care.

“ 2. December 31, 1965, 267.

“ 3. (a) Psychiatric specialist, 1 (acting superintendent); physician Grade 2, 1; (b) psychiatric nurses, 69; registered nurses, 9; total nurses, 78; and (c) 92.”

25 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to The Woodlands School:—

1. Is there a known number of patients awaiting entry?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were known to be waiting as at December 31, 1965?
3. Were there any empty beds in The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1965?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what was the reason?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. 204 require admission in the near future.

“ 3. No, all beds are assigned.

“ 4. Answered by No. 3.

“ NOTE.—104 beds in the final stages of construction at Tranquille will be ready for occupancy in May, 1966, and the Department of Public Works is advancing plans for a 400-bed school for the mentally retarded to be built in Saanich.”

114 Mr. *Price* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

As at February 10, 1966, how many beds were covered by Hospital Insurance under the extended hospital care programme in non-profit facilities?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ There were 1,038 beds approved for British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service coverage.”

116 Mr. *Price* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

As at February 10, 1966, how many beds were available, but unoccupied, in licensed private hospitals for use by old-age pensioners and others for whom the Department of Social Welfare would make payment?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 140 (estimated).”

187 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

1. Is there any money being spent by the Department of Highways at Hells Gate Bluffs, Project 1260?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much money has been spent to date?
3. Is the project completed?
4. What is the name of the contractor?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. \$4,058,152.

“ 3. Yes, except for rock-bolting.

“ 4. Peter Kiewit Sons Company of Canada Limited; rock-bolting by day labour.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6 p.m.

Wednesday, March 30, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

THURSDAY, MARCH 31.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

243. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$39,820 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

244. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$330,549 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

245. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1967.

246. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Winter Works Incentive Programme, to 31st March, 1967.

247. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$41,840 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

248. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$65,224 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

249. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$91,265 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Central Microfilm Bureau, to 31st March, 1967.

250. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$592,472 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Postal Branch, to 31st March, 1967.

251. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$132,734 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Provincial Library, to 31st March, 1967.

252. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,356 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Provincial Archives, to 31st March, 1967.

253. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$247,059 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Public Library Commission, to 31st March, 1967.

254. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$275,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Library and Library Association Grants, to 31st March, 1967.

255. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Queen's Printer, to 31st March, 1967.

256. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$51,704 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Government House, to 31st March, 1967.

257. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,570 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Indian Advisory Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

258. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$555,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Assessment on Class 13 (the Crown)—*Workmen's Compensation Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

259. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$57,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

50 Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to technical and vocational training programmes:—

1. As of December 31, 1965, how much had been contributed by the Government of Canada toward the construction of technical and vocational schools in the Province of British Columbia?

2. In the same period how much had been contributed by the Government of Canada toward operating costs?

The Hon. L. R. Peterson replied as follows:—

“Under terms of the current Federal-Provincial Agreement:—

“1. \$17,128,565.84.

“2. \$7,899,236.65.”

117 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following question:—

With reference to the item “Grants to School Districts” appearing in Public Accounts and Estimates, in each relevant year since 1952, what is the amount included in this item as the Provincial Government’s share of school debt?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“Provincial capital grants on a graduated scale rising from 50 per cent to 75 per cent to 90 per cent are computed on the total expense established in each school district’s annual estimates on a calendar-year basis, inclusive of interest payments, instalments of principal, and capital expenditures from current revenue. Further, the computation of these capital grants is retroactive in effect to include all debt-retirement provisions of school districts regardless of when incurred. Therefore it is not practical to provide a segregation of school-district debt and capital grants related thereto on the basis requested in the question.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o’clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.03 a.m.

Thursday, March 31, 1966

TWO O’CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Canon *J. Rogers*.

Mr. Speaker ordered that the Votes and Proceedings of Wednesday, March 30, 1966, in relation to Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* be corrected as follows:—

By striking out all the words in lines 7 and 8 on the first page, reading as follows: “By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.” and substituting the words “Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.”

By leave of the House, the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Perrault*, was withdrawn:—

With respect to conditional hospital insurance grants, since 1953 how much has been contributed to the Government of British Columbia by the Government of Canada in the form of conditional health grants?

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

260. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Medical Grants Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

261. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grants, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

262. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grant *re* Narcotic Foundation, to 31st March, 1967.

263. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grant *re* Alcoholic Foundation, to 31st March, 1967.

264. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Capital Improvement District Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

265. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Centennial Celebrations, to 31st March, 1967.

266. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$400,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Restoration of Historical Sites, etc., to 31st March, 1967.

267. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grants in Aid of Construction of Homes for Elderly Citizens, to 31st March, 1967.

268. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grants in Aid of Construction of Recreational Centres for Elderly Citizens, to 31st March, 1967.

269. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$752,300 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Civil Defence, to 31st March, 1967.

270. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$84,874 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Provincial Elections Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

271. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Public Inquiries Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

272. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Archæological and Historic Sites Protection Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

273. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$309,335 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

274. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Grants *re* Civil Service—Gratuities under sec. 77 of *Civil Service Act* and other Government employees, to 31st March, 1967.

275. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Retiring Allowances—*Civil Service Act*, sec. 70, and other Government employees, to 31st March, 1967.

276. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$238,716 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

277. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Grants—Retiring Allowances, to 31st March, 1967.

278. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,700,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Civil Service Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

279. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Members of the Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

280. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$900,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Public Services Medical Plan Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

281. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$108,078 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Public Services Group Insurance Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

282. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Death Benefits, to 31st March, 1967.

283. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$65,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Municipal Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

303. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

304. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$109,708 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

305. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,968 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Social Assistance and Rehabilitation Division, to 31st March, 1967.

306. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,995,325 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Field Service, to 31st March, 1967.

307. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$121,011 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Medical Services Division, to 31st March, 1967.

308. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$46,735,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Social Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

309. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, *Residence and Responsibility Act*—Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

310. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$263,120 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, New Denver, to 31st March, 1967.

311. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$221,798 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Child Welfare Division, Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

312. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,705,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Child Welfare Division, Maintenance of Dependent Children and Grants to Homes, to 31st March, 1967.

313. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$216,562 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Provincial Home, to 31st March, 1967.

314. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$492,502 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Brannan Lake School for Boys, to 31st March, 1967.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

8 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to hospital construction:—

1. What was the total sum spent on construction of acute hospital accommodation in British Columbia in the year ended December 31, 1965?
2. How much of that sum was provided by the Provincial Government?
3. How much of that sum was provided by the Federal Government?
4. How much of that sum was provided by municipal governments, hospital improvement districts, and fund-raising?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$6,879,106. A further sum of \$8,482,991 has been committed to complete projects under construction at December 31, 1965, making a total of \$15,362,097.

“ 2. \$3,350,370.

“ 3. \$976,347.

“ 4. \$2,552,389.”

128 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?
2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) \$44,538,075 and (b) \$413,000.

“ 2. By function, as receipts not necessarily related to votes. (a) Government of Canada: Rehabilitation services, \$316,319; cancer control, \$174,419; public health research, \$500,000; general public health, \$2,012,141; mental health, \$785,196; hospital insurance, \$40,750,000; total, \$44,538,075. (b) Municipalities: Local health services, \$413,000.”

185 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

With respect to Federal public health grants:—

Since the establishment of Federal-Provincial National Health Grants (*a*) what is the total amount of money granted by the Federal Government to the Province of British Columbia for public health research and (*b*) of this amount, how much has been applied by this Province to air- and water-pollution research?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“Since the establishment of Federal-Provincial National Health Grants in 1948 (*a*) a total amount of \$1,387,045 has been granted by the Federal Government to the Province of British Columbia for public health research, and (*b*) of this amount \$102,599 has been applied by this Province to air- and water-pollution research.

“NOTE.—The Federal Government does not allocate to each Province specific total amounts under the Public Health Research Grant. Instead, it grants specific amounts to approved individual requests submitted on a project basis by researchers through the Provincial Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance.”

188 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With regard to the Burnaby Vocational School:—

1. How many courses were offered in 1965?
2. What are the courses and their duration of study?
3. What was the total number of per pupil days in 1965?
4. What is the total number of staff?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“1. In school-year 1964/65, 36 different full-day courses, several with many sections; 42 night-school courses, several with many sections.

“2. In school-year 1964/65 (one-month courses in designated trades are for persons on leave from industry): Aeronautics, 19 months; auto mechanics, 1 or 4 months; boat-building, 1 or 4 months; boiler-maker, 1 or 4 months; bricklayer, 1 or 4 months; building-service workers, 3 weeks; carpentry, 1 or 4 months; chef training, 12 or 24 months; chef patissier, 24 months; commercial, general, 4 or 8 or 12 months; commercial, secretarial, up to 12 months; draughting, 11 months; electrical, 1 or 6 months; electrical-appliance repair, 6 months; electronics, 6 or 11 months; heavy-duty mechanics, 1 or 6 months; ironworkers, 1 or 4 months; lathing, 1 or 4 months; meat-cutting, 6 months; mechanical maintenance, up to 6 months; millwright, 1 month; mill-worker and joinery, 1 or 4 months; painting and decorating, 1 or 4 months; plastering, 1 or 4 months; plumbing, 1 or 4 months; pile-driving, 4 months; retail lumber salesman, 3 months; service-station attendant, 6 weeks; sheet metal, 1 or 4 months; sign-painting, 1 or 4 months; sprinkler-fitting, 1 month; taxi-driver, 1 month; welding, general, 5½ or 11 months; up-grading, as required, to one month.

“3. In school-year 1964/65: (*a*) Day school, 88,647 pupil-teacher contact days; and (*b*) night school, 140,108 student night-school hours, each student averaging 2½ hours per night.

“4. As of November, 1965, (*a*) 47 full-time, (*b*) 9 short-term, and (*c*) 112 night school.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 6.03 p.m.

Thursday, March 31, 1966

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

On the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* was read a third time and passed.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

315. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$343,472 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Willingdon School for Girls, to 31st March, 1967.

316. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$367,302 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Old-age Assistance, Blind Persons' Allowances, and Disabled Persons' Allowances Board—Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

317. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,587,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Old-age Assistance, to 31st March, 1967.

318. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$484,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Blind Persons' Allowances, to 31st March, 1967.

319. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Disabled Persons' Allowances, to 31st March, 1967.

286. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,025 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1967.

287. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$241,530 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, General Administration, to 31st March, 1967.

288. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,839,351 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Government Buildings (Maintenance), to 31st March, 1967.

289. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Construction of Provincial Buildings, to 31st March, 1967.

290. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,109,083 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Rentals, to 31st March, 1967.

291. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$860,214 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Safety Inspection Division, to 31st March, 1967.

284. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$172,382 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Utilities Commission, *Public Utilities Act*, *Cemeteries Act*, and *Prearranged Funeral Services Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

285. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$239,684 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Utilities Commission, *Motor Carrier Act*, to 31st March, 1967.

1. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$571,944 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Legislation to 31st March, 1967.

Schedule A. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,613,359 be granted to Her Majesty to make good certain sums expended for the public service for the period ended March 31, 1965, and to indemnify the several officers and persons for making such expenditure.

Department of Agriculture	\$3,690
Department of the Attorney-General	619,808
Department of Education	420,988
Department of Finance	51,554
Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance	2,223
Department of Highways	6,162,077
Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce	47,105
Department of Labour	64,626
Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources	109,205
Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources	4,226
Department of Municipal Affairs	7,352
Department of the Provincial Secretary	43,243
Department of Public Works	1,354,845
Department of Recreation and Conservation	111,381
Department of Social Welfare	611,036
	<hr/>
	\$9,613,359

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered forthwith.

The reports of Resolutions from the Committee of Supply on March 4th, 7th, 9th, 10th, 15th, 16th, 17th, 21st, 22nd, 23rd, 24th, 25th, 28th, 29th, 30th, and 31st were taken as read and received.

On the motion of the Hon. the Minister of Finance, seconded by the Hon. the Attorney-General, the Rules were suspended and the Resolutions from the Committee of Supply were read a second time, taken as read, and agreed to.

Resolved, That the House doth agree with the Committee in the said Resolutions.

On the motion of the Hon. the Minister of Finance, seconded by the Hon. the Attorney-General, the question was put and agreed to,—

That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair for the House to go into Committee of Ways and Means.

Order for Committee of Ways and Means called.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That toward the making good the Supply granted to Her Majesty for the public service of the Province, there be granted from and out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund the following:—

- (1) \$9,613,359 to make good certain sums expended for the fiscal year ended the 31st day of March, 1965.
- (2) \$657,282,398 toward defraying the several charges and expenses for the fiscal year ending the 31st day of March, 1967.

The Committee rose and reported the Resolution.

Resolution read a second time, taken as read, and agreed to.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented Bill (No. 96) intituled *An Act for Granting Certain Sums of Money for the Public Service of the Province of British Columbia*.

Ordered, That the said Bill be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 96) intituled *An Act for Granting Certain Sums of Money for the Public Service of the Province of British Columbia*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a second time.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

The Bill was committed, reported complete without amendment, and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill *Ordered* to be read a third time.

Bill read a third time and passed.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House proceeded to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

Mr. Perrault moved, seconded by *Mr. Macfarlane*,—

Resolved, That the Government consider the advisability of establishing by the 1967 Session of this Legislative Assembly a printed verbatim account of the speeches, remarks, and proceedings of this Legislative Assembly, and that this account be made available daily to those citizens who may wish to subscribe to such a Hansard record as well as to members of this Assembly.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. Calder moved, seconded by *Mr. Eddie*,—

That all correspondence and communications between all departments of the Government and any official of the Yukon Electric Company, the Atlin Board of Trade, and any person with respect to the Yukon Electric Company take-over of the Atlin light and power distribution and administration be filed with this House.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. Calder moved, seconded by *Mr. Stupich*,—

That all correspondence and communications between all departments of the Government and any official of the G. E. Crippen & Associates Limited or any other company with respect to the development of hydro-electric power on the Iskut River be filed with this House.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. Matthew moved, seconded by *Mr. Carnell*,—

Be it Resolved, That this House urge the Federal Government to immediately increase the old-age pension to \$100 per month, and that the principle of automatic increases in the old-age pension predicated on the rising cost-of-living index be adopted.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. Carnell moved, seconded by *Mr. Huhn*,—

Resolved, That the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia strongly urge the Government of Canada to instigate immediate negotiations with the United States of America to determine an equitable sharing of costs with the objective of an early start of a complete paving programme for the entire length of the Alaska Highway within British Columbia and Yukon Territory.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, the following motion standing on the Order Paper in his name was withdrawn:—

That a Special Committee of this House, consisting of eight members, be appointed to examine and inquire into matters affecting the hearing-aid business in British Columbia, these matters to include:—

- (1) The costs of production, maintenance, and repair of hearing-aid devices and parts;
- (2) Sale price thereof to the public;
- (3) The advisability of importing such devices through a Crown agency or otherwise with a view to reducing costs to the public and the feasibility of the manufacture in British Columbia of such devices and parts.

That in making its inquiry the Committee be empowered to examine and inquire into all such matters and things as may be pertinent to the subject and to send for persons, papers, and records as required, and to give due notice of the dates of meetings and allow such representations on the above matters as time will permit.

And that the Committee report to the House.

And that the Committee be composed of such members as may be named by the Special Committee appointed to prepare the list of members to compose the Standing Committees of this House at this Session of the Legislature.

Mr. *Turner* moved, seconded by Mr. *Macdonald*,—

That this House is of the opinion that the Government should take under advisement the calling of a conference, as soon as expedient, of Provincial and municipal officials to consider all phases of Provincial-municipal relations and jurisdictions, and to report their findings to the Government.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Perrault* moved, seconded by Mr. *McGeer*,—

Be it Resolved, By the members of this Legislative Assembly that this Government consider the establishment of a senior citizens' cost-of-living index, increases in which index to be employed as a guide to periodic automatic increases in supplementary allowance payments.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Perrault* moved, seconded by Mr. *McGeer*,—

Be it Resolved, By this Legislative Assembly that this Government should consider the advisability and recommend to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority that free transportation on its transit system be provided during slack hours to those receiving Old-age Assistance, Old-age Assistance with Supplementary Social Allowance, Blind Persons' Allowance, and Disabled Persons' Allowance.

A debate arose.

On a point of order being taken, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it offended Standing Order 67 as interfering with Crown revenue.

Mr. *Perrault* moved, seconded by Mr. *McGeer*,—

Be it Resolved, By the members of this Legislative Assembly that this Government consider the re-establishment of Government-supported high-school driver-training programmes in all British Columbia secondary schools.

A debate arose.

On a point of order being taken, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it offended Standing Order 66 and section 52 of the *Constitution Act* as involving the expenditure of public funds.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Perrault*, the following motion standing on the Order Paper in his name was withdrawn:—

Be it Resolved, By this Legislative Assembly that a Special Committee of this House consisting of nine members—five to be appointed by the President of the Council, three by the Leader of Her Majesty's Loyal Opposition, and one by the Leader of the Liberal Party in British Columbia—be appointed to make appropriate inquiries into the cause of traffic accidents and the fatalities which arise from them, and to report to the Legislature with its observations and any recommendations as to the legislation to be adopted with reference thereto, and that such Committee have power to send for persons, papers, or records.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, on behalf of Mr. *Gargrave*, the following motion standing on the Order Paper in Mr. *Gargrave's* name was withdrawn:—

Resolved, That this House refer to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education the subject of private pension plans:

And be it further Resolved, That the Committee be instructed to invite submissions from members of the public on the subject of private pension plans and consider the following aspects of the subject and report to this House thereon:—

- (a) The eligibility of employees to enter and receive vested rights under private pension plans:
- (b) The solvency of existing pension plans and the advisability of establishing standards to regulate the solvency of existing and future pension plans:
- (c) The advisability of enacting legislation to ensure that participating employees have rights under pension plans which can be enforced at law and free from arbitrary abrogation or loss by bankruptcy, merger, or other event:
- (d) The advisability of enacting legislation to allow employees to transfer pension rights from employer to employer and the effect of such legislation on the mobility of the Province's work force:
- (e) The publication to participating members of the details of a pension plan's (i) benefits, (ii) solvency, and (iii) investment portfolio:
- (f) The degree to which contributors to a pension plan should participate in the management of such pension plan:
- (g) The advisability of protecting pension benefits from the process of execution and seizure by creditors:
- (h) The advisability of providing optional benefits to participants.

Mr. *Strachan* moved, seconded by Mr. *Turner*,—

That this House requests that the Government of Canada provide a full construction subsidy to the British Columbia Ferry Authority.

Mr. *Tisdalle* moved, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*,—

That the preceding motion standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Strachan* be amended by deleting the word “full”, and further by deleting the words “the British Columbia Ferry Authority”, and substituting for this latter deletion the words “Canadian shipyards”, so that the resolution shall read as follows:—

“That this House requests that the Government of Canada provide an unqualified construction subsidy to Canadian shipyards.”

A debate arose.

Amendment agreed to.

Motion as amended agreed to.

71 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Did any social workers resign during 1965?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) how many of these resignations were (i) administrative, (ii) supervisory, (iii) field workers, and (c) of those who resigned, how many were university trained?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

- “1. Yes.
- “2. (a) 63; 15 to accept other employment, 3 to accept municipal employment, 13 to take further education, 20 for domestic reasons, 2 because of ill health, 3 because of unsatisfactory service, 4 to travel, and 3 because of personal reasons; there were two retirements not included; (b) (i) *nil*, (ii) 2, (iii) 61; and (c) 49, 26 with professional social-work training, 23 with Bachelor of Arts degree or equivalent.”

118 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to Estimates of Revenue and Expenditure, 1966/67, for the Department of Social Welfare:—

1. What, if any, is the total amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities?
2. With respect to each relevant vote, what, if any, is the amount estimated to be received from (a) the Government of Canada and (b) the municipalities, and what specific function within the vote is the money to be used for?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

" Department of Social Welfare, Revenue, 1966/67

Vote	Federal Government	Municipal Government	Other
304.....	\$8,700	-----	-----
306.....	119,750	\$198,000	-----
308.....	18,571	3,745,000	\$136,000
310.....	20,000	675,000	15,220
312.....	-----	-----	1,059,000
313.....	45,000	4,000	96,800
314.....	-----	-----	7,995
315.....	-----	-----	4,160
316.....	250	-----	-----
317.....	2,293,500	-----	79,500
318.....	363,000	-----	9,000
319.....	1,065,000	-----	68,000
Totals.....	\$22,486,200	\$4,622,000	\$1,475,675
	33.7 per cent	6.9 per cent	2.2 per cent

" Total revenue, \$28,583,875 or 42.8 per cent of gross estimates of \$66,775,268."

80 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to the social welfare cost-sharing formula between the Government and the municipalities:—

1. What was the total amount paid by the Government under this formula in the fiscal years 1961, 1962, 1963, 1964, and 1965?

2. What was the total amount paid by the municipalities in the fiscal years 1961, 1962, 1963, 1964, and 1965?

3. In the case of each individual municipality, what was the amount paid by (a) the Government and (b) the municipality during the relevant years?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

" 1. 1960/61, \$28,614,045.64; 1961/62, \$32,354,393.13; 1962/63, \$32,432,960.25; 1963/64, \$34,523,008.02; 1964/65, \$35,447,776.56.

" 2. 1960/61, \$3,179,338.40; 1961/62, \$3,594,932.57; 1962/63, \$3,603,662.25; 1963/64, \$3,835,889.78; 1964/65, \$3,938,641.84."

The Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare stated that, in his opinion, the reply to Question No. 80 (3) should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.45 p.m.