

Monday, March 1, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *S. J. B. Parsons*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Medical Grants*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 1, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act Respecting Medical Grants*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sale of Goods Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 1) intituled *An Act to Amend the Evidence Act*.

Bill (No. 13) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Documents Disposal Act*.

Bill (No. 14) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sheep Protection Act*.

Bill (No. 15) intituled *An Act to Amend the Live-stock Public Sales Act*.

Bill (No. 16) intituled *An Act to Amend the Children of Unmarried Parents Act*.

Bill (No. 18) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fur-farm Act*.

Bill (No. 24) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pollution-control Act*.

The Committee on Bill (No. 24) further reported a division in Committee on an amendment to section 3 of the Bill and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*.

Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Drainage and Dyking Statutes*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Dykes Maintenance Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Barrett, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act to Establish the British Columbia Dyking Authority*.

Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Canada-British Columbia Joint Development Act*.

Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Retirement of George Ernest Pascoe Jones* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. Hagen, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. Strachan, the Rules were suspended and it was *Ordered* that the division in Committee on a proposed amendment to section 3 of Bill (No. 24) be recorded in the Journals of the House, as follows:—

YEAS—16

Messieurs

McGeer	Dowding	Perrault	Macdonald
Calder	Gargrave	Macfarlane	Squire
Hartley	Barrett	Nimsick	Harding
Eddie	McKay	Hagen, Mrs.	Strachan

NAYS—27

Messieurs

Lundell	Price	Vogel	Loffmark
Smith	Brothers	MacSorley	Campbell
Carnell	Kiernan	LeCours	Chant
Robinson	Williston	Skillings	Martin
Tisdalle	Bennett	Little	Gaglardi
Matthew	Bonner	Jefcoat	Richter
Bruch	Black	Huhn	

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Gibson	Chabot
Turner	Peterson

By leave, the House reverted to the Order "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth, and Thirteenth Reports of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 10

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 1, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the United Home Life Insurance Company* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

REPORT NO. 11

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 1, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific National Exhibition Incorporation Act* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported without amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

REPORT NO. 12

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 1, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Ratify an Agreement Bearing Date the 16th Day of November, 1964, between the City of Prince Rupert, Canadian National Railway Company, and Her Majesty the Queen in Her Right of Her Province of British Columbia* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman.*

REPORT NO. 13

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 1, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Life & Casualty Company Act, 1958*, has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported without amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The reports were read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the reports adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, March 2, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. C. L. Streight.

The Hon. R. W. Bonner presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
March 1, 1965.*

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, March 2, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The House continued to sit after midnight.

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3.

37. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$33,248 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, Attorney-General's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

38. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$223,436 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

39. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Administration, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1966.

40. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$389,100 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Supreme and County Courts, to 31st March, 1966.

41. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$529,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Administration of Justice, to 31st March, 1966.

42. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$125,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Constitutional Litigation and General Law Costs, to 31st March, 1966.

43. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$65,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Coroners' Inquests and Inquiries, to 31st March, 1966.

44. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$248,098 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Sheriffs' Offices, to 31st March, 1966.

45. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$237,406 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Official Court Reporters, to 31st March, 1966.

46. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,750 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Promotion of Uniformity of Legislation, to 31st March, 1966.

47. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, Magistrates' Conference, to 31st March, 1966.

48. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Court of Appeal Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

49. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Supreme Court Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

50. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *County Courts Act*, to 31st March, 1966

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

142 Mr. Nimsick asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. Does the Crow's Nest Pass Coal Company Limited own any land in the East Kootenay?

2. If the answer is yes, (a) how many acres of land do they own, (b) when was this land purchased, (c) what was the price per acre, and (d) how much taxes were paid in the year 1963/64?

The Hon. R. G. Williston replied as follows:—

"1. This information can only be obtained by a title search of records in the office of the Registrar of Land Titles at Nelson, which is not within the jurisdiction of my Department.

"2. (a), (b), (c), and (d) No information in my Department."

143 Mr. Nimsick asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. Does the Crow's Nest Pass Electric Light and Power Company Limited own any land in the East Kootenay?

2. If the answer is yes, (a) how many acres of land do they own, (b) when was this land purchased, (c) what was the price per acre, and (d) how much taxes were paid in the year 1963/64?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. This information can only be obtained by a title search of records in the office of the Registrar of Land Titles at Nelson, which is not within the jurisdiction of my Department.

“ 2. (a), (b), (c), and (d) No information in my Department.”

144 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

1. Does the Crow's Nest Pass Coal Company Limited hold any coal leases in the East Kootenay?

2. If the answer is yes, (a) how many leases do they hold, (b) when were these leases issued, and (c) for how long were these leases issued?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ This question deals with matters outside the jurisdiction of my Department.”

153 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in the placing of the British Columbia Electric Company under public ownership and the Attorney-General's answer to Question No. 124 recorded in the 1964 Journals of this House:—

1. Have any further costs been paid by the Crown?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the names of the individuals or firms who received the further costs and in what amounts were they paid?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. D. T. Braidwood, Q.C., \$4,321.29.”

154 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to litigation costs involved in the placing of the Peace River Power Development Company under public ownership:—

1. What is the estimated or actual total costs to the Crown?

2. Have any of these costs been paid?

3. If the answer to No. 2 is yes, (a) what is the total amount paid to date and (b) what are the names of the individuals or firms who received these moneys and what amounts were they paid?

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$4,079.65.

“ 2. They have all been paid.

“ 3. (a) See answer to No. 1 and (b) M. M. McFarlane, Q.C., \$3,079.65; D. T. Braidwood, Q.C., \$1,000.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.08 a.m.

Wednesday, March 3, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Capt. *Donald McMillan*.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 2, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 2, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

51. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$205,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Justice and Courts, *Magistrates Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

52. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$910,230 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Land Registry Offices, to 31st March, 1966.

53. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$114,998 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Companies Office, to 31st March, 1966.

54. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,465,802 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Registration, Motor-vehicle Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

55. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$124,358 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Trustees, Public Trustee's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

56. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,308 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Insurance and Real Estate Office, to the 31st March, 1966.

57. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$49,550 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Securities Commission, to 31st March, 1966.

58. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$12,718 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Credit Unions Office, to 31st March, 1966.

59. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$147,312 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Fire Marshal's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

60. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$23,896 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Censor of Moving Pictures, to 31st March, 1966.

61. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$48,380 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Regulation and Inspection, Racing Commission, to 31st March, 1966.

62. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,627,579 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Policing by Royal Canadian Mounted Police, to 31st March, 1966.

63. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$101,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Sundry Incidental Police Expenses, to 31st March, 1966.

64. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Police Services, Grant *re* Police Academy, to 31st March, 1966.

65. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$156,853 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Corrections Office, to 31st March, 1966.

66. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$910,452 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Probation Office, to 31st March, 1966.

67. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,184 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Parole Board, to 31st March, 1966.

68. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$262,760 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Alouette River Unit, to 31st March, 1966.

69. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$69,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Narcotic Drug Treatment, to 31st March, 1966.

70. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,885,032 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Oakalla Prison Farm, to 31st March, 1966.

71. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$344,817 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Women's Gaol and Camp, to 31st March, 1966.

72. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$499,056 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Chilliwack Forest Camps, to 31st March, 1966.

73. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$462,133 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Prince George Gaol and Forest Camp, to 31st March, 1966.

74. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$330,693 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Kamloops Gaol and Forest Camps, to 31st March, 1966.

75. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,114,095 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Haney Correctional Institution (Including Forest Camps and New Haven), to 31st March, 1966.

76. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$558,240 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Attorney-General, Corrections, Vancouver Island Unit and Camps, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

46 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following questions:—

1. What is the total estimate for the construction of the Bull River hatchery?
2. Have any contracts been let and, if so, (a) how many, (b) when were they let, and (c) what was the total amount of each contract?
3. Have any bids been called for any contract and not let?
4. What was the total spent to December 31, 1964, on the Bull River hatchery?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$1,469,647.75.
- “ 2. (a) Three, (b) and (c) April 10, 1963, \$25,044.75; October 7, 1963, \$104,172; October 30, 1964, \$1,340,431.
- “ 3. No.
- “ 4. \$197,875.18 (includes equipment purchase).”

133 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

Regarding Trout Lake in the Lardeau area:—

1. Does a hydro flood reserve exist for Trout Lake?
2. If yes, in whose name or names is it held?
3. When was this reserve established?
4. What is the level of the flood reserve?
5. How much is this above the normal lake-level?
6. Has this flood reserve ever been utilized?
7. What is the annual return to the Province from this reserve?
8. On what tenure basis is this flood reserve held?
9. Is clearing of the foreshore in the flood-reserve area one of the conditions of the lease?
10. If yes, has any clearing been done to date?
11. What acreage along the foreshore is owned by the holder or holders of the hydro flood reserve?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. In the name of Her Majesty the Queen in right of the Province of British Columbia.
- “ 3. February 19, 1953, with a revision to include additional area December 2, 1960, which latter still applies.
- “ 4. Reserve covers all unreserved and unencumbered Crown lands within the watershed of Trout Lake below the 2,425-foot contour of elevation and lying up-stream from the mouth of Mobbs Creek.
- “ 5. Eight-five feet. (The average lake elevation during 20-year period of record is approximately 2,340 feet.)
- “ 6. No; there has been no hydro development requiring storage on this lake.
- “ 7. *Nil*; see answer to No. 2.
- “ 8. Reserve from alienation established by notation on Departmental reference plans.
- “ 9. No lease for flooding in effect.
- “ 10. See answer to No. 9.
- “ 11. See answers to Nos. 2, 4, and 9.”

146 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Agriculture the following questions:—

With respect to the Squamish River redevelopment project:—

1. Was this project submitted to the Government of Canada under the *Agricultural Rehabilitation Act*, Canada, 1961, requesting Federal Government participation?
2. On what date was this project submitted under this Act?
3. What decision was rendered by the Federal Government on this project and on what date was this decision received by the Provincial Government?

The Hon. *F. X. Richter* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Squamish River redevelopment proposal submitted to Federal technical engineers for opinion on qualification as ARDA project.

“ 2. Submitted to meeting with technical engineers, January 11, 1963.

“ 3. Engineers were not of the opinion that such a project as the Squamish River would qualify under ARDA in view of the pertinent facts involved; cost benefits not apparent and low priority would be inevitable.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Thursday, March 4, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Mr. Speaker.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

77. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,850 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

78. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$81,076 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

79. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,170 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Engineering Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

80. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$466,782 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Commercial Transport, Weigh-scale Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General) presented the Final Report of the Honourable Mr. Justice A. E. Lord, the Commissioner appointed to inquire into the allotment of the Doukhobor lands in the Province of British Columbia.

61 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to social workers employed by the Social Welfare Branch as at December 31, 1964:—

1. How many were (a) Grade 1 and (b) Grade 2 social workers?
2. How many Grade 1 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?
3. How many Grade 2 social workers had practical experience of less than (a) one year, (b) two years, (c) three years, (d) four years, and (e) five years in social work?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 126, comprised of 123 full-time and 3 part-time social workers, and (b) 118, comprised of 112 full-time and 6 part-time social workers.

“ 2. (a) 64, (b) 37, (c) 20, (d) 5, and (e) nil.

“ 3. (a) 6, (b) 4, (c) 4, (d) 12, and (e) 11.

“ NOTE. — We now have three direct service positions—Social Worker 1, Social Worker 2, and Social Worker 3. Some of the Grade 2 social workers have been reclassified as Grade 3 in the past few months, and we have included them in with the Grade 2 social workers.”

67 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. How many children, who are wards of the Government, are awaiting adoption?
2. How many children under 1 year, who are in Government care (ward and non-ward), are awaiting adoption and what is the *per diem* cost for such children?
3. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were made last year?
4. How many applications for adoption by prospective new adoptive parents were processed last year, (a) how many of these were accepted as suitable parents and (b) how many of the suitable parents are still awaiting a child?
5. What is the cost per month to care for a child, under age 1 year, while awaiting adoption?

The Hon. W. D. Black replied as follows:—

“ 1. 494 (as of December 31, 1964, and includes hard-to-place children both from age, racial origin, medical handicaps, and legal entanglements).

“ 2. 191 at \$3.61 *per diem*.

“ 3. 762 (last fiscal year).

“ 4. 1,185 (last fiscal year), (a) 661 and (b) 51.

“ 5. \$108.30 (average).”

70 Mr. Barrett asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to recipients of social assistance:—

1. Have any recipients been referred for vocational training or retraining since 1960?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many recipients were referred and (b) how many completed training or retraining?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 1,083 (since 1961) and (b) 415 (1961 to 1963 inclusive; figures for 1960 not available; figures for 1964 not yet finalized).”

77 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following question:—

With reference to medical identity cards issued to recipients of social assistance, excluding supplementary social assistance paid to pensioners, how many persons were eligible for health services as at November 30, 1964, or at the latest date available?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“At November 30, 1964, there were 40,211 persons eligible for health services, excluding those who are eligible by reason of being in receipt of Old-age Assistance, Blind Persons' Allowance, Disabled Persons' Allowance, and Old Age Security plus Supplementary Social Allowance.”

78 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Did any social workers resign during 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations, (b) how many of these resignations were (i) administrative, (ii) supervisory, (iii) field workers, and (c) of those who resigned, how many were university trained?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 49; 17 for domestic reasons, 14 to take further education, 9 to accept other employment, 3 to accept municipal employment, 3 because of unsatisfactory service, 2 because of ill health, and 1 in order to travel; (b) (i) *nil*, (ii) 3, (iii) 46; and (c) 39; 18 with professional social-work training, 21 with Bachelor of Arts degree or equivalent.”

84 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With respect to social welfare services:—

1. Were there any resignations from the Department of Social Welfare in the year ended December 31, 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) what was the total number of resignations and (b) how many of those who resigned possessed university degrees?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 86; includes professional, clerical, and institutional, for reasons as follows: Domestic, 49; further education, 14; to accept other employment, 12; to accept municipal employment, 3; unsatisfactory service, 4; ill health, 3; to travel, 1. (b) 39; 18 with professional social-work training, 21 with Bachelor of Arts degree or equivalent.”

94 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With reference to the Federal Government financial share of the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road:—

1. How much of this money, if any, was expended in the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road in (a) 1963/64 and (b) 1964/65?
2. How much of this money, if any, remains to be expended?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. (a) \$1,118,850.45 and (b) \$153,868.94.
 “ 2. \$1,159,316.62.”

96 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the Stewart-Tide Lake Road contracts let since January 1, 1963:—

1. What is the identification and the amount of each contract let under the highway-building programme?
2. What has been the total amount paid contractors for work completed or under construction?
3. What are the names of the contractors?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources has let no contracts on the Stewart-Tide Lake Road.

“ 2. *Nil*.

“ 3. Not applicable.”

98 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With reference to moneys allocated toward the construction of the Stewart-Cassiar Road for 1964/65:—

1. How much of this money, if any, was expended in the construction of the Stewart-Hyder Road?
2. How much of this money, if any, was expended in the construction of the Stewart-Tide Lake Road?
3. In what sections of the Stewart-Cassiar Road were the moneys spent, and how much?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. None.

“ 2. None.

“ 3. Project 775, surveys, \$2,054.39; Project 1138, Strohn Creek-Bell Irving River, \$266,035.89; Project 1214, Eddontenajon Lake-Burrage River, \$15,176.03; and total, \$283,266.31.”

152 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Attorney-General the following questions:—

With reference to the Liquor Control Board:—

1. Has the Board experienced any (a) losses, (b) breakages, or (c) thefts of or from its shipments via Northland Navigation Company Limited since January 1, 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the total value each month of (a) losses, (b) breakages, or (c) thefts?

3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, has the Board received any recompense from Northland Navigation Company Limited?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what was the total amount received on account of (a) losses, (b) breakages, or (c) thefts?

The Hon. R. W. Bonner replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Total value each month was as follows:—

Month Claim Filed	(a) and (c) Losses and Thefts	(b) Breakages
February, 1964.....	\$19.68	\$3.44
March, 1964.....	-----	53.88
April, 1964.....	25.19	5.20
May, 1964.....	55.48	-----
June, 1964.....	61.87	-----
July, 1964.....	67.30	11.42
August, 1964.....	106.07	12.67
September, 1964.....	-----	1.28
October, 1964.....	41.50	31.01
November, 1964.....	106.27	3.48
December, 1964.....	88.12	28.90
January, 1965.....	-----	-----
February, 1965.....	183.75	2.57
Totals.....	\$755.23	\$153.85

“ 3. Yes.

“ 4. (a) \$545.88, unpaid balance represents claims now in process; (b) \$151.28, unpaid balance represents claims now in process; and (c) included in (a).”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, March 4, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The House continued to sit after midnight.

FRIDAY, MARCH 5.

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 12.07 a.m.

Friday, March 5, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *M. Costello*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the introduction, without notice, of nine Bills, notice of which had been given by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* for Monday next.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Counties Definition Act*.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*.

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Conditional Sales Act, 1961*.

Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Small Debts Courts Act*.

Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mechanics' Lien Act*.

Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Securities Act, 1962*.

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*.

Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act Respecting the Furnishing and Maintenance of Security under Provincial Statutes*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Service Group Insurance Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 5, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Service Group Insurance Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Highway Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 5, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Highway Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Annual Report of the British Columbia Indian Advisory Committee and of the Director, Indian Advisory Act, for the year 1964.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* (Premier) presented the Twenty-sixth Annual Report of the Public Utilities Commission under the *Public Utilities Act* for the year ended December 31, 1964, and a report of activities pursuant to the *Cemeteries Act* and the *Prearranged Funeral Services Act*.

147 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With respect to the Squamish River redevelopment project:—

1. Was this project submitted to the Government of Canada under the *Water Conservation Assistance Act*, Canada, 1953, requesting Federal Government participation?

2. On what date was this project submitted under this Act?

3. What decision was rendered by the Federal Government on this project and on what date was this decision received by the Provincial Government?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. No. The necessary engineering required for the submission of the project has just been completed and has been forwarded to the local authorities for comment. At the same time the local member of Parliament is in communication with the new municipal administration and has suggested the project should be programmed under ARDA. ARDA agreements on water- and soil-conservation projects require local contribution of one-third. The *Water Conservation Assistance Act* would appear to offer more favourable terms for sharing costs.

“ 2. See answer to No. 1.

“ 3. See answer to No. 1.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.20 p.m.

Monday, March 8, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. Hamill*.

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Pharmacy Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
March 8, 1965.*

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pharmacy Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Infirmaries Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

*Government House,
March 8, 1965.*

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Infirmaries Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act to Amend the Podiatry Act*.

Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cremation Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pipe-lines Act*.

Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Interpretation Act*.

On the motion of the Hon. *L. R. Peterson*, Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Notre Dame University of Nelson Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

135. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$47,008 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

136. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$201,562 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1966.

137. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$630,363 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, General Services, to 31st March, 1966.

138. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$340,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Grants *re* Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1966.

139. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$308,681 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Rehabilitation Services, Including Grants for Related Health Agencies, to 31st March, 1966.

140. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$516,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Cancer Control (Including Grants, etc.), to 31st March, 1966.

141. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Public Health Research (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1966.

142. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Other Public Health (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1966.

143. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,972,504 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Local Health Services, to 31st March, 1966.

144. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$449,918 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Laboratories, to 31st March, 1966.

145. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$383,332 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Vital Statistics, to 31st March, 1966.

146. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$105,918 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Division of Venereal Disease Control, to 31st March, 1966.

147. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$114,788 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, Divisional Headquarters, to 31st March, 1966.

148. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$407,303 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, Out-patient Care, to 31st March, 1966.

149. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$919,332 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Public Health Services, Patient Care, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1966.

150. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$281,658 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

151. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$986,670 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Division of Nursing Education, to 31st March, 1966.

152. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,031,249 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Community Services, to 31st March, 1966.

153. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,266,689 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, In-patient Care, to 31st March, 1966.

154. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Mental Health Services, Mental Health Care (Federal Programme), to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* (Minister of Labour) presented the following:—

The Forty-eighth Annual Report of the Workmen's Compensation Board for the year ended December 31, 1964.

The Annual Report of the Department of Labour for the year ended December 31, 1964.

121 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With reference to each of the individual mining claims held by Totem Mining Company Limited, Metot Mining Company Limited, and Julian Mining Company Limited as recorded in the Minister's answer to Question No. 51 of January 26, 1965:—

1. Has work been done on each claim as required under section 51 of the *Mineral Act* in each relevant year since the claims were recorded?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the nature of the work performed on each claim?

3. Were any payments in lieu of work made under section 54 of the *Mineral Act* on any of these claims?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, on what claims were these payments made?

5. Have any mineral leases been issued under section 59 of the *Mineral Act* on any of these claims?

6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, which of the relevant claims have now been made leases?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

" 1. Yes, but since answering Question No. 51 of January 26, 1965, title to 162 claims held by Julian Mining Company Limited and 15 claims held by Totem Minerals Limited has been forfeited. Work has been done as required under section 51 of the *Mineral Act* for the remaining claims.

" 2. Nature of work performed was as follows:—

<i>Liard Mining Division</i>	
Claim Names	Details
Ann 49-96.....	Trenching and road work.
Ann 97-98.....	Trenching and road work.
Ann 100.....	Trenching and road work.
Ann 102.....	Trenching and road work.
Ann 105-110.....	Trenching and road work.
Ann 113-118.....	Trenching and road work.
TNA 5-6.....	Geological survey, drilling, trenching, and road work.
TNA 15-16.....	Geological survey, drilling, trenching, and road work.
TNA 29-30.....	Geological survey, drilling, trenching, and road work.
TNA 37-38.....	Geological survey, drilling, trenching, and road work.
TNA 57-68.....	Geological survey, drilling, trenching, and road work.
TNA 71 Fr.....	Geological survey, drilling, trenching, and road work.
TNA 72-74.....	Drilling, trenching, and road work.
BEE 1-4.....	Drilling, trenching, and geological survey.
Ant 1-4.....	Drilling, trenching, road work, and geological survey.
Star 32-39.....	Drilling.

<i>Atlin Mining Division</i>	
Pit 9-12.....	Drilling.
Bay 13-22.....	Drilling.
Sun 1-8.....	Drilling.
Thorn 1-22.....	Trenching and stripping.

Omineca Mining Division

Claim Names	Details
Deer 1-12	Geochemical, stripping, and drilling.
Dat 401-420	Geophysical and drilling.
Elk 1-12	Geophysical, stripping, drilling, and geochemical.
KO 435-442	Geochemical and trenching.
Bell 1-20	Geophysical, geochemical, drilling, and stripping.
Dis 13-20	Geophysical and drilling.
Dis 22	Geophysical and drilling.
Dis 24	Geophysical and drilling.
Dis 26	Geophysical and drilling.
Dis 28-36	Geophysical and drilling.
Nu 1-10	Stripping and drilling.
Bat 1-34	Staked May 18, 1964, no work recorded yet.
" 3. No.	
" 4. Answered by No. 3.	
" 5. No.	
" 6. Answered by No. 5."	

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, March 9, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. A. Watson*.

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Tuberculosis Institutions Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
February 23, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Tuberculosis Institutions Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of the Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell*, Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Victoria Water District Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Gargrave*, Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Provide Legal Aid and Advice* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

155. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$42,208,570 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Hospital Insurance Service, to 31st March, 1966.

156. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$624,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Infirmiry Services, to 31st March, 1966.

157. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$160,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance, Hospital Insurance Services, Long-term Pædiatric Care, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Report of the Medical Advisory Committee on Rehabilitation, Chronic Treatment, and Convalescent Care, dated May 20, 1964.

106 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the purchase of the Montebello Auto Court and the Minister's answers on the subject published in the 1962 and 1963 Legislative Journals:—

1. Did the purchase price of \$165,000 include equipment and furnishings?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) why was it necessary to purchase equipment and furnishings and (b) what portion of the total purchase price was for equipment and furnishings?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is no, were equipment and furnishings purchased by the Department?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) why was it necessary to purchase equipment and furnishings and (b) what was the purchase price of equipment and furnishings?
5. What was the date of purchase of this auto court?
6. Was the auto court leased by the Department after purchase?
7. If the answer to No. 6 is yes, (a) when was it leased, (b) who was it leased to, (c) what were the financial terms of the lease, and (d) did the lease include equipment and furnishings?

8. Has the Department offered this auto court for sale by tender?

9. If the answer to No. 8 is yes, (a) on what date and (b) were any bids received and, if so, from whom and in what amounts?

10. If the answer to No. 8 is yes, (a) has the auto court been sold and, if so, who was the purchaser and what price did he pay and (b) did the purchase price include equipment and furnishings?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) Equipment and furnishings considered to be part of the business and (b) included in purchase price.

“ 3. Answered by No. 1.

“ 4. Answered by No. 2.

“ 5. Agreement signed on May 30, 1960.

“ 6. Yes.

“ 7. (a) January 1, 1963, (b) Joseph DiMarzo, (c) 10 per cent of total gross income in excess of \$25,000 derived from the operation of the motel and related operations, and (d) yes.

“ 8. Yes.

“ 9. (a) Newspaper ads appeared October 15, 16, and 17, 1964, tenders received up to 2 p.m. on November 16, 1964, and (b) yes, Martin Kester and John P. Kester, \$52,000; E. V. Corbett, \$51,600; Joseph DiMarzo, \$32,500.

“ 10. (a) No and (b) not applicable.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.57 p.m.

Tuesday, March 9, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. W. D. Black presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 9, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Vocational Schools Assistance Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 9, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vocational Schools Assistance Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.53 p.m.

Wednesday, March 10, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon *B. T. Page*.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 10, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

To amend section 6 as follows:—

To strike out the words “This Act” from the first line and to substitute the following “(1) Section 2”.

To add the following to section 6 as subsection (2):—

“(2) Sections 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6 shall be deemed to have come into force and effect on the first day of January, 1965, and are retroactive to the extent necessary to give full force and effect to their provisions from and after that date.”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 73).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

81. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,390 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

82. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$129,072 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

83. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$85,780 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Curriculum Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

84. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$64,550 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Tests, Standards, and Research Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

85. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$118,696 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Adult Education Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

86. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$204,419 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Secondary Correspondence School, to 31st March, 1966.

87. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$83,689 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Elementary Correspondence School, to 31st March, 1966.

88. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of General Educational Services, Textbook Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

89. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$875,904 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Local Educational Services, Inspection of Schools and School Services, to 31st March, 1966.

90. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$475,549 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Special Educational Services, Jericho Hill School, to 31st March, 1966.

91. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$204,722 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Teacher Registration and Examinations, Teacher Registration and Examinations, to 31st March, 1966.

92. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$71,826 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Finance Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

93. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,069,769 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Federal-Provincial Training Programmes, Technical and Vocational Training Agreement, to 31st March, 1966.

94. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,210 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Other Federal-Provincial Agreements, to 31st March, 1966.

95. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$170,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Community Programmes Grants, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

161 Mr. *Squire* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

With reference to the following sources of forest revenue for the fiscal year 1963/64, timber-licence rentals and fees, timber-berth rentals and fees, timber-lease rentals and fees, timber-sale rentals and fees, timber-sale stumpage, timber-sale cruising and advertising, timber royalties, grazing permits and fees, and forest-protection tax:—

1. Did MacMillan, Bloedel and Powell River Limited, British Columbia Forest Products Limited, or Crown Zellerbach Canada Limited contribute to any of these sources of revenue?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much did each company contribute to each source of revenue?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“1 and 2. Departmental records are not maintained to show the forest revenue collected by source for individuals or companies. Therefore, the information is not available.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Wednesday, March 10, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 10, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 10, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 10, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

96. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$77,700,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Grants to School Districts, to 31st March, 1966.

97. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Teachers' Superannuation Fund, to 31st March, 1966.

98. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$150,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Night-school Grants, to 31st March, 1966.

99. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Education of Soldiers' Dependent Children and Expenses, to 31st March, 1966.

100. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Free Textbooks, Maps, etc., to 31st March, 1966.

101. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$128,920 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Fire Protection and Services Grant *re* University of British Columbia, to 31st March, 1966.

102. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$26,500,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Grants to Universities, to 31st March, 1966.

103. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,050 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Incidentals, Grants, and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1966.

104. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$47,390 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1966.

105. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Student-aid and Teacher-training Scholarships and Bursaries, to 31st March, 1966.

106. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Education, Division of Finance and Accounting Services, Advances *re* Rural School and Library Taxes, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.15 p.m.

Thursday, March 11, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *C. D. Blencoe*.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Game Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 11, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act to Amend the Game Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 10, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Shelford*, the following Bills were introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 86) intituled *An Act to Amend the Dental Technicians Act Amendment Act, 1962.*

Bill (No. 85) intituled *An Act to Amend the Dentistry Act.*

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

180. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,744 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

181. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$344,240 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

182. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$57,208 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Factories Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

183. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$835,224 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Apprenticeship Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

184. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$178,948 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Labour Relations Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

185. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Motor-vehicles and Accessories, to 31st March, 1966.

186. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Labour, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave of the House, Mr. *Harding* withdrew Question No. 158 standing in his name on the Order Paper regarding the Anaconda Company and its holdings at Britannia Beach.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, March 11, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

158. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$39,420 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

159. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,246,274 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

160. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$20,300,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, Ferries, Wharves, and Tunnels—Maintenance and Operation, Repairs, Snow and Ice Removal, to 31st March, 1966.

161. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Trans-Canada Highway—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1966.

162. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$47,165,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Roads, Bridges, and Ferries—Capital Construction, to 31st March, 1966.

163. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$650,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highways within Municipal Limits, to 31st March, 1966.

164. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$35,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Vehicle Damage Claims, to 31st March, 1966.

165. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$515,996 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Highway Signs, Signals, Traffic Control, etc., to 31st March, 1966.

166. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$16,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1966.

167. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Highways, Purchase of New Equipment, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

156 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources the following questions:—

Regarding the Anaconda Company and its holdings at Britannia Beach:—

1. How many thousand B.F.M. were removed from the Anaconda Company's holdings for the years (a) 1962 and (b) 1963?

2. What was the rate of royalty paid to the Government for the above years?

3. What was the total return to the Government from the above royalties for the years (a) 1962 and (b) 1963?

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 6,632 M B.F. of logs, 595 lineal feet of pilings, 142,000 shakes, and (b) 637 M B.F. of logs.

“ 2. Royalty and stumpage rates applicable: (a) On material used in mine—no charge, as per Statute, and (b) on material sold on market—(i) 50 cents royalty, 1962, 670 M B.F.; 1963, *nil*; (ii) full royalty (\$3 per M for No. 1, \$2 per M for No. 2, \$1 per M for No. 3), 1962, 5,615 M B.F.; 1963, 219 M B.F.; (iii) piling, full royalty (½ cent per lineal foot under 30 feet, 1 cent per lineal foot 30 feet and over); (iv) shakes, full royalty (⅓ cent each); (v) full stumpage (subject to sliding scale), 1962, 57,856 C.F.; 1963, 69,686 C.F.; 1962, fir, \$8 per C C.F.; 1963, fir, \$9.90 per C C.F.; 1962, hemlock, \$2.40 per C C.F.; 1963, hemlock, \$2.70 to \$4.90 per C C.F.; 1962, other species, \$2.40 per C C.F.; 1963, balsam, \$4.10 per C C.F.; 1963, cedar, \$2.50 per C C.F.

“ 3. (a) \$11,431.43 and (b) \$4,574.96.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.30 p.m.

Friday, March 12, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. Doody*.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 12, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

To amend section 21 as follows:—

To amend by adding to clause (b) of subsection (1) of section 21 the words “, and by striking out the word ‘eight’ from the second line of paragraph (iii) and substituting the word ‘seven’”.

To amend the consolidated clause (a) of subsection (1) of section 181 of the Act set out in this Bill by striking out the word "eight" from the second line of paragraph (iii) and substituting the word "seven".

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 76).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Patients' Estates Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 8, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Patients' Estates Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Probation Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 11, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probation Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Sheriffs Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 11, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sheriffs Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 12, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Regional Parks*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 12, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act Respecting Regional Parks*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 12, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 12, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

On the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Establish the Office of Commissioner for Grievances* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 26) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Aid Act*.

Bill (No. 28) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Drainage and Dyking Statutes*.

Bill (No. 30) intituled *An Act to Establish the British Columbia Dyking Authority* was committed, reported complete without amendment, and, on the motion that the Bill be read a third time now, the House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—48

Messieurs

McGeer	Haggen, Mrs.	Shelford	Chabot
Gibson	Macdonald	Price	Skillings
Stupich	Squire	Brothers	Little
Calder	Harding	Kiernan	Jefcoat
Hartley	Strachan	Williston	Huhn
Eddie	Lundell	Bennett	Loffmark
Gargrave	Speare	Bonner	Campbell
Barrett	Smith	Black	Chant
McKay	Carnell	Vogel	Peterson
Perrault	Tisdalle	MacSorley	Martin
Macfarlane	Matthew	McLeod	Gaglardi
Nimsick	Bruch	LeCours	Richter

NAYS—1

Mr. Dowding

PAIR:

Messieurs

Robinson

Turner

Bill (No. 31) intituled *An Act to Amend the Canada-British Columbia Joint Development Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 32) intituled *An Act to Amend the Water Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Tuberculosis Institutions Act*.

Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Notre Dame University of Nelson Act*.

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act Respecting Medical Grants* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Perrault, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.34 p.m.

Monday, March 15, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *J. M. Alexander*.

Mr. Speaker made the following statement:—

Honourable Members,—The use of intemperate expressions in debate is causing this Chair some concern. While it may be understandable that honourable members from time to time become exercised and heated during debate, nevertheless this House cannot tolerate the use of language which could prove harmful to our parliamentary institution.

As I interpret the functions of the Speakership, they are to not only preserve order and decorum, but to uphold the honour and the dignity of Parliament. This I intend to do.

Such words as “falsehood,” “fraud,” and “hypocritical,” which were used during debate on Friday last, did little to enhance the stature of the offending members but did much to detract from the image of this Legislature. History will record little of what is said here but it will long remember what is done here.

It should, therefore, be the desire and indeed it is the duty of each and every honourable member of this Assembly to refrain from the use of unparliamentary expressions in debate. I, therefore, appeal to all members to use discretion and to observe our Standing Orders in this respect.

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 15, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

To amend by striking out section 8 and by renumbering sections 9 and 10 as sections 8 and 9 respectively.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 38).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

On the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 27) intituled *An Act to Amend the Tuberculosis Institutions Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 33) intituled *An Act to Amend the Notre Dame University of Nelson Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*, was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Small Debts Courts Act*.

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Conditional Sales Act, 1961*.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*.

Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Counties Definition Act*.

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*.

Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Securities Act, 1962*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mechanics' Lien Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Hartley*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act Respecting the Furnishing and Maintenance of Security under Provincial Statutes* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Dowding*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Patients' Estates Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Macfarlane*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Interpretation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sheriffs Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Macdonald, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Barrett, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*.

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Act*.

Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act to Amend the Podiatry Act*.

Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cremation Act*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Highway Act*.

Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Service Group Insurance Act*.

Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Victoria Water District Act*.

Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pipe-lines Act*.

Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Infirmaries Act*.

Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pharmacy Act*.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vocational Schools Assistance Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. McGeer, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Macfarlane, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. McGeer, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act to Amend the Game Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. Nimsick, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave, the House reverted to "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. Matthew presented the Fourteenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT NO. 14

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 15, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

Pursuant to Standing Order 113 your Committee calls attention of the House to the addition of section 32 to the Bill, which section is added to conform with a proposed amendment to the *Municipal Act*.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Monday, March 15, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

By leave, the House reverted to "Presenting Reports by Standing and Special Committees."

Mr. *Matthew* presented the Fifteenth Report of the Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills, as follows:—

REPORT No. 15

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 15, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Standing Orders and Private Bills begs leave to report as follows:—

That the preamble of Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*, has been proved, and the Bill ordered to be reported with amendments.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

A. S. MATTHEW, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.02 p.m.

Tuesday, March 16, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. Calder*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 35) intituled *An Act to Amend the Credit Unions Act, 1961*, was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 39) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Act*.

Bill (No. 40) intituled *An Act to Amend the Podiatry Act*.

Bill (No. 41) intituled *An Act to Amend the Health Act*.

Bill (No. 42) intituled *An Act to Amend the Cremation Act*.

Bill (No. 43) intituled *An Act to Amend the Highway Act*.

Bill (No. 44) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Service Group Insurance Act*.

Bill (No. 45) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Victoria Water District Act*.

Bill (No. 46) intituled *An Act to Amend the Small Debts Courts Act*.

Bill (No. 47) intituled *An Act to Amend the Conditional Sales Act, 1961*.

Bill (No. 48) intituled *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act*.

Bill (No. 49) intituled *An Act to Amend the Counties Definition Act*.

Bill (No. 62) intituled *An Act to Amend the Securities Act, 1962*.

Bill (No. 66) intituled *An Act to Amend the Provincial Infirmaries Act*.

Bill (No. 68) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pharmacy Act*.

Bill (No. 69) intituled *An Act to Amend the Interpretation Act*.

Bill (No. 70) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pipe-lines Act*.

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 75) intituled *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act* was committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 77) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act* was committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed.

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act*.

Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*.

Order called for "Private Bills."

Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific National Exhibition Incorporation Act* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922*, was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 56) intituled *An Act to Amend the British Columbia Life & Casualty Company Act, 1958*, a debate arose.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Ratify an Agreement Bearing Date the 16th Day of November, 1964, between the City of Prince Rupert, Canadian National Railway Company, and Her Majesty the Queen in Her Right of Her Province of British Columbia* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the United Home Life Insurance Company* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House reverted to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act, 1962*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act Respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Dykes Maintenance Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mechanics' Lien Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act Respecting the Furnishing and Maintenance of Security under Provincial Statutes*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Patients' Estates Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vocational Schools Assistance Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—41

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Squire</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Harding</i>			

NAYS—4

Messieurs

*McGeer**Gibson**Perrault**Macfarlane*

PAIRS:

Messieurs

Shelford
*Jefcoat**McKay*
Turner

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sheriffs Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probation Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Retirement of George Ernest Pascoe Jones* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mrs. *Haggen*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act Respecting Medical Grants*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—30

Messieurs

Lundell
Speare
Smith
Robinson
Tisdalle
Matthew
Bruch
*Shelford**Price*
Brothers
Kiernan
Williston
Bennett
Bonner
Black
*Vogel**MacSorley*
McLeod
LeCours
Chabot
Skillings
Little
*Jefcoat**Huhn*
Loffmark
Campbell
Chant
Peterson
Martin
Richter

NAYS—18

Messieurs

McGeer
Gibson
Stupich
Calder
Hartley

Eddie
Dowding
Gargrave
Barrett
McKay

Perrault
Macfarlane
Nimsick
Haggen, Mrs.

Macdonald
Squire
Harding
Strachan

PAIR:

Messieurs

Gaglardi

Turner

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Establish the Universities Real Estate Development Corporation*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 16, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Establish the Universities Real Estate Development Corporation*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.

Report adopted.

Bill introduced and read a first time.

Second reading at the next sitting after today.

The Hon. *W. K. Kiernan* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act to Amend the Game Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 16, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

To amend section 3 by (a) striking out the word "Section" at the beginning of the first line and substituting the words "Subsection (1) of section" and (b) by striking out the figures "13" from the second line and substituting the figure "(1)".

To amend section 4 by (a) striking out the word "Section" at the beginning of the first line and substituting the words "Subsection (3) of section" and (b) by striking out the figures "38" from the second line and substituting the figure "(3)".

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act to Amend the Game Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 80).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

The Hon. R. W. Bonner presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 16, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

To amend section 24 by inserting in clause (b) of the proposed subsection (2) after the word "officer" in the first line the words "or an employee of the Department of Highways".

To amend section 28 by striking out all of the words of the proposed section 150 after the word "unless" in the second line and substituting the words "he can do so in safety."

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 78).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

7 Mr. Strachan asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. With reference to school operating costs, did the Provincial Government pay less than 50 per cent of such costs in any school districts in the calendar year 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what percentages were paid in the relevant school districts in the calendar year 1964?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. In 39 of the 100 school districts, less than 50 per cent was paid in the calendar year 1964 to the following school districts:—

School District	Provincial Operating Grant of Net Budgeted Operating Expenses (Per Cent)
No. Name	
3 Kimberley	48.57
9 Castlegar	28.12
10 Arrow Lakes	43.57
11 Trail	34.81
24 Kamloops	49.56
26 Birch Island	43.21
29 Lillooet	Nil
31 Merritt	49.85
37 Delta	44.05
39 Vancouver	32.36
40 New Westminster	33.38
41 Burnaby	45.80
43 Coquitlam	42.06
45 West Vancouver	31.58
46 Sechelt	13.07
47 Powell River	21.55
48 Howe Sound	.02
49 Ocean Falls	15.87
51 Portland Canal	46.74
52 Prince Rupert	18.06
60 Peace River North	23.73
61 Greater Victoria	48.08
64 Gulf Islands	8.49
65 Cowichan	37.84
66 Lake Cowichan	Nil
67 Ladysmith	48.23
68 Nanaimo	27.18
69 Qualicum	43.55
70 Alberni	24.02
72 Campbell River	2.45
73 Alert Bay	41.44
74 Quatsino	Nil
76 Agassiz	44.17
79 Ucluelet-Tofino	31.23
80 Kitimat	Nil
Unattached—	
McDame Creek	Nil
Tahsis River	42.32
University Hill	24.73
Zeballos	14.73 ”

S Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to expenditure made in the Province for education in the year 1964:—

1. What was the total sum?
2. What portion of the total sum was expended on (a) ordinary expenditure and (b) capital expenditure?
3. What portion of the total sum was contributed by (a) the Provincial Government, (b) the city and district municipalities, and (c) by the Provincial Government on behalf of rural areas of any school district?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$219,128,602 (exclusive of by-law, trust, etc., funds—school districts for the calendar year 1964, other services for the fiscal year 1963/64).

“ 2. (a) \$177,156,464 and (b) \$41,972,138.

“ 3. Exclusive of the application of the home-owner grants: (a) \$99,669,579, (b) \$66,946,295 (inclusive of towns and villages), and (c) \$21,339,630.”

134 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

1. Does the former Kootenay School of Fine Arts in Nelson function as a division of the Nelson Vocational School?

2. If yes, has the Cultural Affairs Branch of Canada withdrawn its recognition of the above Kootenay School of Fine Arts?

3. Are students from the above school now accepted by other schools of fine arts?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, with a similar relationship to that existing between the Vancouver Vocational Institute and the Vancouver School of Art.

“ 2. The Department of Education has no knowledge of any correspondence from the Cultural Affairs Branch of Canada in respect to recognition or withdrawal of recognition.

“ 3. Numerous students have been transferred from the Kootenay School of Art to other schools of fine art and similarly the school has accepted students from other similar institutions. No admittance reciprocity agreements exist between this school and others as student acceptance is usually based on individual merit.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.52 p.m.

Tuesday, March 16, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

168. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,026 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

169. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$68,318 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

170. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$61,422 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Agent-General's Office and British Columbia House, London, England, to 31st March, 1966.

171. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$236,337 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Bureau of Economics and Statistics, to 31st March, 1966.

172. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$414,895 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Mechanical Tabulation Division, to 31st March, 1966.

173. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$68,322 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Industrial and Trade Office, to 31st March, 1966.

174. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,785 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, British Columbia House, San Francisco, to 31st March, 1966.

175. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1966.

176. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Advertising and Publicity, to 31st March, 1966.

177. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Trade Promotion, to 31st March, 1966.

178. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Provincial Exhibits, British Columbia Building, to 31st March, 1966.

179. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$275,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce, Grants to British Columbia Research Council, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. Speaker delivered his reserved decision on a point of order relating to Bill No. 34, as follows:—

Honourable Members,—A point of order was raised this afternoon during the resumed debate on the second reading of Bill No. 34, upon which my decision was reserved. The point of order taken was that Bill No. 34 is out of order for the reason that the *Purchasing Commission Act* already provides a method by which a member of the Commission may be removed from office, namely, by address of the Legislative Assembly. In support the following authorities were cited: May, 16th edition, page 255, and Parliamentary Debates, House of Commons, 1675, page 351, dealing with the appointment and removal of Parliamentary officers at Westminster. It was also pointed out that our Standing Order No. 1 provides that in all cases not provided for by our Rules, the usages and customs of the House of Commons of the United Kingdom and Northern Ireland are applicable.

We are not here considering a procedural matter not provided for in our Standing Orders. What is in issue is the right of this House to consider further legislation touching upon a subject-matter already provided for in our Statutes. The undoubted right of this House so to do is confirmed by section 23 (*h*) of the *Interpretation Act*, chapter 199, R.S.B.C. 1960, which reads as follows: "Every Act shall be construed as to reserve to the Legislature the power of repealing or amending it and of revoking, restricting, or modifying any power, privilege, or advantage thereby vested in or granted to any person or party whenever such repeal, amendment, revocation, restriction, or modification is deemed by the Legislature to be required for the public good."

In my opinion any Bill is in order subject only to constitutional limitations and those arising from the prerogatives of the Crown. Accordingly Bill No. 34 is in order and I so rule.

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 10.49 p.m.

Wednesday, March 17, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *A. G. MacLeod*.

The Hon. *R. R. Loffmark* (Minister of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce) presented a copy of a letter regarding the price of asbestos-cement pipe.

On the motion of Mr. *Macdonald*, Bill (No. 91) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 2) intituled *An Act Respecting Proceedings against the Crown* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order for the reason that, in the hands of a private member, a Bill interfering with Crown prerogatives must have the sanction of the Crown, which sanction had not been obtained. (*See Speaker's rulings, Journals, 1881, pages 22 and 23, and Journals, 1962, page 190.*)

Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Purchasing Commission Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act Respecting Privacy* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. R. W. Bonner, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fireworks Regulation Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. W. K. Kiernan, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Equal Pay Act* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of the Hon. W. D. Black, for the Hon. L. R. Peterson, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. W. A. C. Bennett, the House reverted to "Committee of Supply."

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

The Hon. D. L. Brothers presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act Respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 17, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

To amend section 2 (a) by striking out the words "not defined as petroleum" from the second line of the definition of "natural gas" and substituting the words "which are not defined as petroleum, and includes hydrogen sulphide contained therein", (b) by inserting before the word "land" in the second line of clause (a) of the definition of "occupant" the words "surface of the", and (c) by inserting before the word "land" in the second line of clause (b) of the definition of "occupant" the words "surface of the".

To amend section 3 by striking out the words "Drilling and Production" following the word and figure "Division (3).—" under Part VI and substituting the words "Drilling Requirements".

To insert the following as section 18A:—

"18A. (1) Subject to section 18, the holder of a location is liable to make compensation to the owner or the lawful occupant of the land, or to both, for any loss or damage caused by reason of his entry or operations; but where the surface of a location issued under this Act is on Crown land, the surface rights to which,

subsequent to the issuance of the location, are disposed of by the Crown, the holder of the location is liable to compensate the transferee of the surface rights for unimproved land required for his operation to an amount per acre not greater than the amount per acre which he would be required to pay to the Crown for unimproved land, and to compensate the transferee for improved land to an amount which the Board deems just.

“(2) In the case of Crown land, no unnecessary damage shall be done, and where such damage is done, the Board, on complaint by the Minister, may determine compensation payable to the Crown.”

To amend section 19 (a) by striking out the words “each person who has an interest in the land” from the first and second lines of clause (b) of subsection (2) and substituting the words “the occupant or his agent” and (b) by inserting after the word “Province” in the third line of clause (b) of subsection (2) the words “and such other persons as the Board may direct”.

To amend section 28 by striking out subsection (3).

To amend section 48 by striking out the words “or licence” from the third line of subsection (1) and substituting the words “, or a licence where a boundary of a permit and licence coincide”.

To amend section 49 by striking out the word “before” from the first line of subsection (1) and substituting the word “when”.

To amend section 51 by striking out the words “that he has undertaken under clause (b) of subsection (1) to do or” from the second and third lines of subsection (6).

To amend section 62 by striking out the word “Minister” from the second line of clause (b) of subsection (2) and substituting the word “Commissioner”.

To amend by striking out the words “and Production” from the heading preceding section 64 and substituting the word “Requirements”.

To amend section 69 (a) by striking out all of the words of subsection (2) following the words “under clause (a)” in the third line and substituting the words “by the depth of the deepest commercial well drilled under the licence” and (b) by adding the following as subsection (3):—

“(3) A lease issued under subsection (2) shall not include any zone, the development of which is authorized by the licence, in which a commercial gas well has not been completed.”

To amend section 77 by striking out the word “Division” from the first line of subsection (1) and substituting the word “Act”.

To amend section 78 by striking out the word “an” from the third line and substituting the words “a royalty”.

To amend section 83 by striking out the word “Department” from the first line of clause (a) and substituting the word “Commissioner”.

To amend section 85 by striking out the words “the surveyed section” from the third line of clause (b) and substituting the words “each section and the boundaries of each spacing area for a petroleum well shall coincide with the boundaries of each quarter-section”.

To amend section 88 (a) by striking out the word “normal” from the second line of subsection (1) and from the fourth line of subsection (1), (b) by striking out the word “normal” from the second, fifth, and seventh lines of subsection (2), (c) by striking out the word “normal” from the first line of clause (a) of subsection (5), and (d) by striking out the word “normal” from the second and fifth lines of clause (a) of subsection (7).

To amend section 89 by inserting after the word “Majesty” in the second line the words “the Queen”.

To amend section 91 by striking out the word "If" at the beginning of the first line and substituting the words "Notwithstanding section 90, if".

To amend section 98 by inserting after the word "fee" in the first line of clause (a) the words "and drilling deposit".

To amend section 113 (a) by inserting after the word "or" in the second line of clause (a) the word "other" and (b) by inserting after the words "disposition of" in clause (aa) the words "drilling or other".

To amend section 152 (a) by striking out the figure "(1)" following the word "subsection" from the second line of subsection (3) and substituting the figure "(2)" and (b) by striking out the word "thereof" from the second line of subsection (3) and substituting the words "of the former Act".

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act Respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 19).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

The Hon. *R. G. Williston* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith a Bill intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act*, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 17, 1965.

Ordered, That the said Message, and the Bill accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.
Second reading at the next sitting after today.

19 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the nursing staff at The Woodlands School:—

1. What was the total number of nurses employed as at December 31, 1964?
2. (a) How many are graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many are registered nurses?
3. Did any nurses resign during the year 1964?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many were graduate psychiatric nurses and (b) how many were registered nurses?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 275.
- “ 2. (a) 260 and (b) 15.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. (a) 41 and (b) one.”

41 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to Ginter Contracting Company, Midwest Construction Company, Atlas Construction Company, Western Sand & Gravel Limited, Ben Ginter Construction Company (1958) Limited, View Construction Company, and Ben Ginter Construction Company:—

1. Have any moneys been paid to any of these companies for equipment rental during 1964?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, which companies were so paid and, in the case of each company, what is the total amount they have received?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. Atlas Construction Company, \$45,268.18; View Construction Company, \$55,857.05; and Ben Ginter Construction Company, \$183,361.79.”

120 Mr. *Calder* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Stewart-Hyder Road:—

1. Has any work been done on the road since January 1, 1963?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the total cost to date of the work?
3. Have any private contractors been paid for work on this road?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) what are the names of the contractors and (b) what amount was each contractor paid?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. \$106,884.76.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. Ben Ginter Construction Company Limited, \$67,636.62; A. C. McEachern Company Limited, \$1,507; Stewart-Cassiar Distributors Limited, \$4,032; Granby Construction Company Limited, \$104; and N.B.C. Power Company Limited, \$2,156.19.”

160 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following question:—

Is the Highways Department building a highway from Cassiar to Stewart and, if so, (a) how many miles have been built, (b) how many miles remain to be completed, and (c) how many miles of this road are in operation for traffic at the present time?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“Yes; (a) 301, (b) 93, and (c) 301.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.58 p.m.

Wednesday, March 17, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

The Committee rose and reported progress.

Report to be considered at the next sitting.

Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.13 p.m.

Thursday, March 18, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon C. H. Butler.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

187. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,564 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

188. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$103,218 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

189. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Grant to British Columbia Natural Resources Conference, to 31st March, 1966.

190. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$70,218 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Accounting Division, to 31st March, 1966.

191. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,890 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Land Settlement Board, to 31st March, 1966.

192. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Investigation of Doukhobor Lands, to 31st March, 1966.

193. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$213,504 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Land Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

194. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Pre-servicing Crown Lands Proposed for Sale or Lease, to 31st March, 1966.

195. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$383,002 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Lands Branch, Inspection Division, to 31st March, 1966.

196. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$30,920 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

197. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$3,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Special Commitments, to 31st March, 1966.

198. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$483,840 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Legal Surveys Division, to 31st March, 1966.

199. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$177,055 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Geographic Division, to 31st March, 1966.

200. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$388,589 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Topographic Division, to 31st March, 1966.

201. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$276,928 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, Surveys and Mapping Branch, Air Division, to 31st March, 1966.

202. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Lands Service, *University Endowment Lands Administration Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

203. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$9,511,337 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, General Administration, Protection, and Management of Forests, to 31st March, 1966.

204. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$653,462 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Reforestation and Forest Nursery, to 31st March, 1966.

205. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$249,912 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Research, to 31st March, 1966.

206. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$117,702 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Public Information and Education, to 31st March, 1966.

207. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$118,662 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Service Training-school, to 31st March, 1966.

208. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$17,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grant to Canadian Forestry Association, to 31st March, 1966.

209. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,977,832 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Engineering Services and Forest-development Roads, to 31st March, 1966.

210. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,400,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Fire Suppression, to 31st March, 1966.

211. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$877,660 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Forest Surveys, to 31st March, 1966.

212. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Scaling Fund, to 31st March, 1966.

213. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,682,150 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Silviculture, to 31st March, 1966.

214. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Grazing Range Improvement Fund, to 31st March, 1966.

215. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Community Pastures, to 31st March, 1966.

216. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Forest Service, Peace River Power Timber Salvage, to 31st March, 1966.

217. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$41,362 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

218. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$474,645 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Rights Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

219. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$335,248 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

220. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$251,100 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Water Investigations and Hydraulic Surveys and Projects, to 31st March, 1966.

221. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Okanagan Flood Control, to 31st March, 1966.

222. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$720,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, *Canada-British Columbia Joint Development Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

223. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,478 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Southern Okanagan Lands Project, to 31st March, 1966.

224. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Assistance to Improvement Districts, to 31st March, 1966.

225. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$90,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, B.C. Hydrometric Stream-gauging, to 31st March, 1966.

226. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$18,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, Canadian Council of Resources Ministers, to 31st March, 1966.

227. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$25,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources, Water Resources Service, *Pollution-control Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

18 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to hospital construction:—

1. What was the total sum spent on construction of acute hospital accommodation in British Columbia in the year ended December 31, 1964?
2. How much of that sum was provided by the Provincial Government?
3. How much of that sum was provided by the Federal Government?
4. How much of that sum was provided by municipal governments, hospital improvement districts, and fund raising?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The total sum spent on construction of acute hospital accommodation in British Columbia in the year ended December 31, 1964, was \$7,345,400.

“ 2. \$3,546,072 of that sum was provided by the Provincial Government.

“ 3. \$1,011,965 of that sum was provided by the Federal Government.

“ 4. \$2,787,363 of that sum was provided by municipal governments, hospital improvement districts, fund raising, and church organizations.

“ NOTE. — Funds expended reflect only the actual amount of construction accomplished by the hospitals concerned and do not indicate either the amount of money made available by the Provincial Government for actual construction within the year or the total value of the projects under construction. The hospitals determine the rate of progress of their hospital-construction projects and, therefore, the release of Provincial Government grants committed to projects is governed by this factor.”

21 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to The Woodlands School:—

1. Is there a known number of patients awaiting entry?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many were known to be waiting as at December 31, 1964?
3. Were there any empty beds in The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1964?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, (a) how many and (b) what was the reason?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. 228 require admission in the near future.

“ 3. No, all beds are assigned.

“ 4. Answered by No. 3.

“ NOTE.—In the first three months of 1965 there were 70 patients transferred from The Woodlands School to The Tranquille School, thereby releasing this number of beds in The Woodlands School for admissions.”

25 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the extension of The Woodlands School at Tranquille:—

1. How many patients are now in residence?
2. What is the total number of staff employed?
3. With reference to staff, how many (a) resident psychiatrists are employed, (b) psychiatric nurses are employed, and (c) psychiatric aides are employed?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. As of February 12, 1965, male, 327; female, 194; and total, 521.

“ 2. As of December 31, 1964, 219.

“ 3. (a) Resident psychiatrists, 1; (b) psychiatric nurses, 61; registered nurses, 4; and (c) psychiatric aides, 76.

“ NOTE.—An additional transfer of 50 patients from The Woodlands School to The Tranquille School was effected March 16, 1965, while 20 patients were transferred between January 1, 1965, and February 12, 1965.”

34 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

How many male and how many female patients were admitted to The Woodlands School during the calendar year 1964?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 145 male patients and 84 female patients, total, 229.

“ NOTE.—In the first three months of 1965 another 70 patients were transferred from The Woodlands School to The Tranquille School, thereby releasing this number of beds in The Woodlands School which are being filled by admissions from the community.”

36 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With reference to the answer to Question No. 109, recorded in the Journals for 1964, regarding a planning committee to prepare for the construction of additional beds for the mentally retarded:—

1. Have any additional beds been provided at Tranquille or Victoria?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many beds in each place?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Tranquille, 70 beds provided and now in use and a further 100 beds have gone to tender; Victoria, planning for a 400-bed unit for Victoria is advancing.

“ NOTE.—The provision of new beds at The Tranquille School will permit a transfer of patients from The Woodlands School, thereby releasing beds at The Woodlands School for admissions from the community.”

86 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to The Woodlands School:—

1. How many applications for admission were received in the year ended December 31, 1964?

2. Of these, how many were accepted for admission on (a) a permanent basis and (b) other than a permanent basis?

3. How many applicants were awaiting admission as of December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 101.

“ 2. (a) 9 and (b) 15.

“ 3. 228 awaiting admission in the near future.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.51 p.m.

Thursday, March 18, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

228. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,076 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

229. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$232,898 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, General Administration—Titles and Accounts, to 31st March, 1966.

230. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$231,164 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Mineralogical Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

231. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$54,864 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Analytical and Assay Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

232. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$232,456 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Inspection Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

233. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$270,414 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Petroleum and Natural Gas Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

234. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1966.

235. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$325,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grants in Aid of Mining Roads and Trails, to 31st March, 1966.

236. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,900,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Construction of Mining-roads, to 31st March, 1966.

237. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Grubstaking Prospectors, to 31st March, 1966.

238. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Inter-provincial Committee on Mining, to 31st March, 1966.

239. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1966.

240. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Special Mineral Surveys, to 31st March, 1966.

241. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, *Iron Bounty Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

242. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$14,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources, Temporary Assistance, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.25 p.m.

Friday, March 19, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *W. Z. Van Druten*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. D. Black*, it was *Ordered* that the Rules be suspended to permit the moving, without notice, of a motion concerning the payment of remuneration to *Arthur James Turner*, Esquire.

Moved by the Hon. *W. D. Black*, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*,—

Whereas *Arthur James Turner*, Esquire, member for Vancouver East, has been unable to attend all the meetings of the present Session owing to illness:

And whereas *Arthur James Turner*, Esquire, has for many years been a member of the Legislative Assembly of British Columbia and it is just and reasonable that he should be paid the full sessional allowance:

Therefore be it *Resolved*, That pursuant to section 68 of the *Constitution Act* and all other powers thereunto enabling, the full sessional allowance, expenses, and other allowances payable to a member of the Legislative Assembly for the current Session be paid to *Arthur James Turner*, Esquire, without any deduction by reason of any non-attendance of the said *Arthur James Turner*, Esquire, during the Session.

Motion agreed to *nemine contradicente*.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 19, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2 (a): To amend by adding the following as subsection (3) of the proposed section 7c:—

“(3) Debenture debts under subsection (2) include indebtedness of The Corporation of the District of Powell River incurred under section 247 of the *Municipal Act* and section 13 of the *Powell River Incorporation Act*, being chapter 108 of the Statutes of 1955, which are not chargeable to a specified area under the latter Act.”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 88).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 19, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 8: To amend by striking out the words “benefit or” from the first line of clause (b) of the proposed section 135A and substituting the words “financial benefit or financial”.

Section 11 (2): To amend the proposed subsection (4) of section 138 by inserting the words “or bonuses, or both” after the word “salaries” in the fourth line.

Section 13: To strike out section 13 and substitute the following:—

“ 13. Subsection (2) of section 140 is amended (a) by inserting after the word ‘salaries’ in the fourth line the words ‘or bonuses, or both,’ (b) by striking out the word ‘fixed’ from the fourth line and substituting the word ‘established’, and (c) by inserting after the word ‘salaries’ in the fifth line the words ‘or bonuses, or both,’ so that the subsection shall read as follows:—

“(2) The award of a Salary Arbitration Board is final and binding on the Board of School Trustees and the teacher or teachers in respect of whom arbitration is invoked, and shall not be affected by another arbitration award made under this Act; and where salaries or bonuses, or both, have been established by the Board, such salaries or bonuses, or both, shall be adjusted in accordance with the award.’ ”

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 76).

Resolution reported.

Report adopted.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Public Bills and Orders.”

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 38) intituled *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Water District Act*.

Bill (No. 73) intituled *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act*.

Bill (No. 7) intituled *An Act to Amend the Income Tax Act* was committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act Respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendments, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 23) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Act*.

Bill (No. 29) intituled *An Act to Amend the Dykes Maintenance Act*.

Bill (No. 36) intituled *An Act Respecting Medical Grants* was committed, reported complete without amendments.

On the motion for the third reading of Bill (No. 36) a debate arose. The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—28

Messieurs

<i>Speare</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—15

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Gaglardi</i>	<i>Turner</i>
<i>LeCours</i>	<i>McGeer</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Gibson</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>

Bill read a third time and passed.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKE,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 19, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 2: To amend by adding after the word "Act" in the last line the words "and the constituted Regional Planning Board is deemed to have been established on such date by Order of the Lieutenant-Governor in Council pursuant to subsection (3) or the said section 720."

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 88).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

Mr. Shelford presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 19, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries begs leave to report as follows:—

Pursuant to motion of February 2, 1965, your Committee was ordered convened to study the following matter:—

Resolved, That the listed reports requested last Session from the Forest Service be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries to consider

- (a) a report on the operation of the 30–50-per-cent contract clause in tree farm licence documents;
- (b) a report on the changes in quota during 1964;
- (c) a report regarding alleged blackmail practices as they relate to bidding for Crown timber;

and to study

- (a) proposed amendments to Parts IV and V of the *Forest Act* in the matter of timber leases and special timber licences designed to bring legislation in line with modern conditions;
- (b) the position of the sawlog operator within public sustained-yield units in a developing pulp harvest economy;
- (c) the management techniques to be followed in the sale of timber in the non-regulated area east and west of Mission City.

Eighteen meetings were held and representations were heard from the following:—

Forestry officials, Mr. McKee, Deputy Minister, Mr. Knight, Mr. Stokes, Mr. Swannell, and Mr. McKinnon.

Mr. M. Riley on behalf of Albas Timber Company Limited.

Mr. R. H. Thurston on behalf of McMahon Lumber Company, Totem Pole and Piling Limited, and Anaconda Company (Canada) Limited.

Mr. Davis on behalf of Doman Enterprises.

Mr. Moore on behalf of the Truck Loggers' Association.

Mr. Frantz on behalf of Cariboo-P.G.E. Lumber Manufacturers' Association.

Mr. Wood on behalf of Weldwood Lumber Company.

Mr. Frewer on behalf of Independent Timber Converters' Association.

Mr. D. Franks on behalf of Tyee Forest Products Limited.

Mr. P. J. Brennan on behalf of Independent Squamish Logging Operators Limited.

Mr. Bennett on behalf of group of companies operating in the Kamloops region.

Mr. Perkins on behalf of Independent Logging and Sawmill Operators of Pemberton.

Canadian Forest Products Limited.

Western Canadian Regional Council No. 1 of the International Woodworkers of America.

Council of Forest Industries of B.C.

Canadian Collieries Resources Limited.

MacMillan, Bloedel and Powell River (B.C.) Limited.

Netherlands Overseas Mills Limited.
Northern Cedar Company Limited.
Crown Zellerbach Canada Limited.
British Columbia Federation of Labour.
Cottonwood Forest Association.

1. The Forestry Committee appreciates the manner in which the Forestry Department collected and presented three reports which had been requested by the Committee last year in regard to

- (a) a report on the operation of the 30-50-per-cent contract clause in tree-farm licence documents;
- (b) a report on the changes in quota during 1964;
- (c) a report regarding alleged blackmail practices as they relate to bidding for Crown timber.

(1) Your Committee recommends a continual review of the 30-50-per-cent cutting clause in tree-farm licences, and requests copies of the proposed written contracts and newspaper advertisements for contractors in cases where companies are not using contractors for reason.

(2) Evidence was presented that in some Interior sustained-yield units ownership of cutting rights was confined to but one or two operators. Under such conditions the Forest Service should require additional management responsibilities from these quota-holders since the area can most closely be compared with a tree-farm. Your Committee also recommends a study of the economic effects of quota exchanges on manpower use, on the costs of production, and on community development.

(3) There was no evidence presented to the Committee in respect to blackmail practices as they relate to bidding for Crown timber.

2. Your Committee recognizes the problem of timber supply affecting the land-based operators in the unregulated area of Mission and recommends that the Forest Service review the possibility of creating a special sale area, as requested, just east of Mission.

3. (a) Your Committee gives approval to amendments to Parts IV and V of the *Forest Act* as finally submitted, as set out in Bill 79, *An Act to Amend the Forest Act, 1965*.

(b) Your Committee observes that some companies holding temporary tenure such as timber leases, licences, and pulp leases will have a serious problem of assured timber supply when their holdings revert to the Crown. The Committee recommends a study of this problem since it is a fact that many of these tenures are being managed on an intensive sustained-yield basis and it may be in the public interest to encourage such types of management.

4. The Committee heard a number of briefs on the possible effects of establishing a pulp harvesting area over an established sawlog economy. It was felt that it is too early to make any firm recommendations since no pulp-mill based on such an area is yet in production. However, it is recommended that for better utilization as much small wood as possible be processed in sawmills, provided they are equipped to see that waste is converted to chips. It is recommended a continuing study be made as it affects all phases of economy and community, particularly when some of these pulp-mills come into production. The Committee wants to be assured that waste and small wood are being utilized to best advantage.

5. Your Committee accepts the fact that industry and other interested parties do not have sufficient time to investigate properly problems proposed for study when the terms of reference come in after the start of each Session. As a result of study and experience, it is recommended that industry and any other interested parties send suggestions for Committee examination at the next Session to the Minister or

to the Chairman of the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries for the 1965 Session. Following consultation between these two, the Minister should be empowered to indicate to industry and members of the Committee by September 1st of each year proposed terms of reference which would be subject to approval by the Legislature when it next meets, and notices of all official public hearings be sent to all members of the Select Standing Committee on Forestry and Fisheries.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

CYRIL M. SHELFORD, *Chairman.*

The report was taken as read and received.

82 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any children who are wards of the Superintendent of Child Welfare awaiting entry to The Woodlands School as at December 31, 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how are these retarded children cared for, (b) for what length of time have these retarded children been awaiting entry, and (c) how many of these children were awaiting entry at December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) In general hospitals, foster or boarding homes, (b) for varying periods of time, and (c) 17.”

83 Mr. *Perrault* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

With respect to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway:—

1. In the years ended December 31, 1963, and December 31, 1964, did any rolling-stock derailments occur on the Pacific Great Eastern Railway?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) by years, what was the damage in terms of dollars, (b) how many lives were lost, if any, and (c) what was the cost of equipment replacement and repairs to equipment and right-of-way?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) The Uniform Classification of Accounts for Class 1 Common Carriers by the Railway Board of Transport Commissioners for Canada, which is the basis of accounting for the Pacific Great Eastern Railway, does not separate derailment costs as such, (b) two, and (c) the answer to No. 2 (a) also applies to No. 2 (c).”

118 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

With reference to A. L. Williamson Limited and A. L. Williamson:—

1. Has any department of the Government or any Crown agency paid moneys to this company or this person since 1952?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) on what date was each payment made, (b) what was the amount of each payment, and (c) for what services was each payment made?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes, to A. Williamson Limited.

“ 2. (a), (b), and (c) By the Government: May 29, 1958, \$653.35, expenses *re* Royal visit; July 23, 1958, \$297.80, expenses *re* Royal visit; August 27, 1958, \$6,188.53, expenses *re* Royal visit; October 17, 1958, \$166.55, expenses *re* Royal visit; October 28, 1958, \$25, expenses *re* Royal visit; May 15, 1959, \$510.23, expenses *re* Royal visit; June 1, 1959, \$105, expenses *re* Industrial and Trade Conference; June 22, 1959, \$655.91, expenses *re* Royal visit; August 31, 1959, \$4,396.23, expenses *re* Royal visit; October 1, 1959, \$1,048.42, expenses *re* Royal visit; October 2, 1959, \$167.31, expenses *re* Royal visit; October 21, 1959, \$3.30, expenses *re* Royal visit; November 20, 1959, \$3.30, expenses *re* Royal visit.

“ By the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company: (a) A monthly retainer fee as public relations consultant commencing June, 1956, and terminating as at October 31, 1964, and thereafter a service fee until a permanent staff position was filled on February 15, 1965, (b) \$500 per month plus invoice costs for printing, photography, typesetting, press clipping services, and other miscellaneous expenses paid on behalf of the company, related to public relations work, and (c) various public relations services, including the employee magazine, annual report, press and radio releases.”

128 Mr. *Dowling* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

When invitations were issued by the Premier on his letterhead on the occasion of the bond-issue breakfast held in July, 1964:—

1. Did the Hon. the Premier personally sign each invitation?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is no, (a) by what means or method were the said signatures affixed to the said invitations, (b) who supervised the equipment or means used to affix the said signatures, (c) how many invitations were sent out, (d) in whose custody were the master plates or plates used for the invitations kept, (e) what disposal was made of the said master plates used to print the said invitations, and (f) was there a separate master plate used for signatures?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1 and 2. These invitations bore the signature of W. C. Budd, Executive Assistant to the Premier.”

132 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding British Columbia Hydro activity in the Duncan Dam area:—

1. How much money was spent by British Columbia Hydro on the Lardeau-Duncan Dam Road in 1964?

2. How much money has been allocated by British Columbia Hydro for road improvements and paving from Kaslo to Lardeau?

3. How much of the Kaslo to Lardeau allocation for roads was spent in 1964 by British Columbia Hydro?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$476,351.

“ 2. \$200,000 for road improvements.

“ 3. Work costing approximately \$125,000 was undertaken for the Authority by the Department of Highways on this road in 1964.”

135 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What was the cost to the British Columbia Power Commission of the clearing that was done around Buttle Lake?
2. How many acres were involved?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$8,249,204.
- “ 2. 7,969 acres.”

136 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What is the estimated cost to British Columbia Hydro of clearing and beautifying the reservoir area behind the Duncan Dam?
2. How many acres in the Duncan basin will be flooded?
3. Will the entire reservoir area be cleared of debris prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, how many acres will be cleared prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?
5. If the answer to No. 3 is no, what are the reasons?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Still under study.
- “ 2. Estimated, 10,400 acres.
- “ 3. No.
- “ 4. Estimated, 2,100 acres.
- “ 5. The clearing specifications did not require complete clearing of the reservoir. In lieu of complete clearing British Columbia Hydro is required to construct a 40-mile access road from Argenta to the head of the reservoir above flood level to enable logging of timber below flood level prior to flooding and development of resources in the Duncan watershed after flooding.”

137 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What is the estimated cost to British Columbia Hydro of clearing and beautifying the reservoir area behind the Arrow Dam?
2. How many acres in the Arrow reservoir basin will be flooded?
3. Will the entire reservoir area be cleared of debris prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?
4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, how many acres will be cleared prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?
5. If the answer to No. 3 is no, what are the reasons?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Still under study.
- “ 2. Estimated, 24,700 acres.
- “ 3. Complete clearing of the reservoir area prior to flooding is being scheduled.”

138 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. What is the estimated cost to British Columbia Hydro of clearing and beautifying the reservoir area behind the Mica Dam?
2. How many acres in the Mica basin will be flooded?
3. Will the entire basin be cleared of debris prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is no, how many acres will be cleared prior to the initial filling of the reservoir?
5. If the answer to No. 3 is no, what are the reasons?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Still under study.
- “ 2. Estimated, 86,000 acres.
- “ 3. Clearing specifications in regard to the Mica reservoir area have not yet been issued.”

139 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Will British Columbia Hydro relocate the Robson–Deer Park Road which will be flooded by the Arrow Dam?
2. If yes, have relocations surveys been made?
3. When were these surveys made?
4. What is the estimated cost?
5. If the answer to No. 1 is no, what reasons are given for this decision?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. No.
- “ 2, 3, and 4. See No. 1.
- “ 5. (a) Almost all of the people served by the road will be displaced by the new water-levels, (b) after flooding there will not be enough suitable waterfront to warrant construction of a road, upland areas can be obtained elsewhere in the Castlegar-Trail-Nelson area more economically, (c) any relocated road would be subject to hazards caused by wave erosion, recurrent bank sloughing, and continued accumulation of rockfall and talus piles, and (d) the construction of even a low-standard road would be extremely costly, the benefits realized would not justify the costs.”

140 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

1. Have the cemetery-sites at Burton, East Arrow Park, and Fauquier been relocated by British Columbia Hydro?
2. If yes, how many acres were set aside for each new cemetery?
3. Has the clearing been done on these sites?
4. Were tenders called for the above clearing?
5. If yes, how many tenders were submitted for each individual site?
6. If answer to No. 4 is no, on what basis was clearing done?
7. Who obtained the contract for the clearing of each site?
8. What was the total cost paid for clearing each site?
9. If clearing not already done, when will projects be completed?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The cemeteries at Burton, East Arrow Park, and Fauquier have not been relocated by British Columbia Hydro but a new cemetery-site has been opened at Burton. Other new cemeteries will be established as necessary to meet resettlement requirements.

“ 2. At Burton, about 2 acres are being developed for cemetery purposes.

“ 3. The clearing has been completed at the new Burton cemetery.

“ 4 and 5. Proposals for clearing the Burton cemetery were obtained from two local residents.

“ 6. Not applicable.

" 7. Mr. Lloyd Parkyn, of Burton, performed the clearing at the Burton cemetery.

" 8. The cost of clearing and levelling the Burton cemetery, including disposal of all trees and brush excepting cedar poles (cedar was stockpiled for later use in constructing fences), was \$1,400.

" 9. Not applicable."

141 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Finance the following questions:—

1. Did the Government burn any Provincial or Provincial-guaranteed bonds during 1965?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) on what date or dates were they burned, (b) what were the series numbers of the bonds, (c) in what amounts and for what purposes were the moneys raised when the bonds were issued, (d) on what dates were the moneys borrowed, (e) what was the due date for repayment of these moneys, (f) did any part of the moneys used to retire these bonds come, directly or indirectly, from the general revenues of the Province and, if so, in what amounts and in what years were these amounts paid to the Crown corporation, and (h) what was the total value of the bonds?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. No.

" 2. Not applicable."

145 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Executive Council the following questions:—

1. What persons, excluding the Premier and members of the Executive Council and the Lieutenant-Governor, arriving by automobile are given priority over regular patrons waiting in line to drive aboard British Columbia Ferry vessels?

2. If any other persons are given priority as aforesaid, who are they, by name?

3. Do any such persons referred to in No. 2 above have passes?

4. If the answer to No. 3 is yes, what are their names?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. Three British Columbia Ferry Authority management personnel (Messrs. Aldous, Worley, Weston) and emergency cases. Executive Council not given priority.

" 2, 3, and 4. *See* No. 1."

148 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Columbia River Treaty:—

1. What is the return to Canada per kilowatt-hour for the power sold to American interests under the treaty?

2. What part of this return is due to the " at site " sale of power?

3. What part of this return is due to flood-control benefits?

4. What part of this return is due to the difference in exchange between American and Canadian currency?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. The return to Canada per kilowatt-hour on the agreed Canadian entitlement for downstream benefits is 5.6 mills Canadian.

" 2. Of this 5.6 mills, the downstream power benefit payments account for 4.7 mills.

" 3. Of this 5.6 mills, the flood-control benefit payments account for 0.9 mill.

" 4. The figures quoted above are based on \$1 United States equals \$1.08 Canadian."

151 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Columbia River Treaty:—

1. What period of time is involved in the sale of our downstream benefits to American interests under the treaty?

2. Will all the downstream benefits from our storage dams under the treaty be sold to American interests for the above stipulated period?

3. What is the estimated total amount of kilowatt-hours that will be sold to the American interests from downstream benefits for the above period of time?

4. What is the maximum annual amount of kilowatt-hours from downstream benefits which will be sold under the treaty?

5. What is the minimum annual amount of kilowatt-hours from downstream benefits which will be sold under the treaty?

6. What is the annual amount, in kilowatt-hours, that will be available for Canadian use or sale at the conclusion of the sale period outlined in No. 1?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. The downstream power benefits have been sold (a) from Duncan for a period of 30 years commencing April 1, 1968, (b) from Arrow for a period of 30 years commencing April 1, 1969, and (c) from Mica for a period of 30 years commencing April 1, 1973.

" 2. Yes.

" 3. The agreement on the sales of the downstream power benefits was not based primarily on a certain number of kilowatt-hours. Because there was no way of working out in advance the exact amounts of power, it was agreed by both sides for computation purposes that the total number of kilowatt-hours in the Canadian entitlement would be 130,000 million kilowatt-hours.

" 4. In the agreed entitlement the number of kilowatt-hours was estimated to be approximately 6,600 million kilowatt-hours in 1973/74 and approximately 800 million kilowatt-hours in 2002/03.

" 5. See No. 4 above.

" 6. The estimated annual number of kilowatt-hours available for Canadian use or sale at the conclusion of the sales agreement will be 1,800 million kilowatt-hours."

155 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

1. What sum is paid by coach lines for every bus passenger provided by them to the British Columbia Ferry Authority for the Departure Bay–Horseshoe Bay run?

2. How many such passenger fares were there in the last fiscal year?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

" 1. The coach lines are dealt with on a quantity discount basis which works out to \$1.40 per adult passenger and 70 cents per half-fare passenger.

" 2. Adult passengers, 195,799; half-fare passengers, 9,207."

157 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Anaconda Company and its holdings at Britannia Beach:—

1. How many acres of land were owned by the Anaconda Company in the Britannia Beach area in the year 1964?
2. What is the total assessed value of (a) the land and (b) the improvements on the above property in 1964?
3. What is the total tax levied on the above property for (a) the land and (b) the improvements for the year 1964?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. 2,226.69 acres.
- “ 2. (a) \$149,708 and (b) \$1,511,207.
- “ 3. (a) \$5,236.87 and (b) \$32,841.27.”

162 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Public Utilities Commission and the West Kootenay Light and Power Company:—

1. What is the annual maximum percentage rate of earnings allowed for a private utility under the *Public Utilities Act*?
2. What was the annual percentage rate of earnings for the West Kootenay Light and Power Company for the years (a) 1962, (b) 1963, and (c) 1964?
3. What were the net earnings of the West Kootenay Light and Power Company for the years (a) 1962, (b) 1963, and (c) 1964?
4. Was any rate reduction made in the West Kootenay Light and Power Company's franchise area in 1964?
5. If the answer to No. 4 is yes, where were the rate reductions made?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. A public utility is allowed to earn a fair and reasonable return upon the appraised value of its property used or prudently and reasonably acquired to enable the public utility to furnish the service. The term ‘ private utility ’ is not used in the Act.

“ 2. See answer to No. 3.

“ 3. The Public Utilities Commission reported that in 1962 West Kootenay Power and Light Company Limited had an earned return of \$1,025,831, which constituted 8.31 per cent of the appraised value of its property. In 1963 the Commission reported an earned return of \$987,193, which constituted 7.37 per cent of the appraised value of the property. For 1964, figures are not yet available. West Kootenay Power and Light Company Limited has disputed the Commission's figures on two grounds, which are still under discussion. Details appear at page 10 of the Report of the Public Utilities Commission for the year ended December 31, 1963, and at page 6 of the typescript of the Commission's Report for the year ended December 31, 1964.

“ 4. Yes.

“ 5. The residential ‘ minimum charge ’ for the Slocan Valley was reduced from \$7 to \$5 per month.”

163 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Public Utilities Commission and the West Kootenay Light and Power Company:—

1. Did the Public Utilities Commission hold a public hearing in Penticton in 1964 to discuss rural extensions and rate reductions in the West Kootenay Light and Power Company's franchise area?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what was the date of the hearing?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, was a rate reduction for the Slocan Valley discussed at the hearing?
4. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, has any reduction in residential rates taken place in the Slocan Valley since the hearing?
5. What is the present residential minimum rate in the Slocan Valley and how many kilowatt-hours are covered by this minimum rate?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. On September 18th and 19th.
- “ 3. Yes.
- “ 4. The ‘ minimum charge ’ in the Slocan Valley has been reduced from \$7 to \$5 per month, effective December, 1964.
- “ 5. The ‘ minimum charge ’ is \$5 per month. The number of kilowatt-hours covered by this ‘ minimum charge ’ is 144.”

164 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the British Columbia Hydro Whatshan plant and the West Kootenay Light and Power Company:—

1. Does an agreement exist between the British Columbia Hydro and the West Kootenay Light and Power Company for the purchase of a fixed amount of power from the West Kootenay Light and Power Company by British Columbia Hydro?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what is the minimum amount in kilowatt-hours that must be purchased?
3. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how much money, if any, was paid to the West Kootenay Light and Power Company by British Columbia Hydro in the years (a) 1962, (b) 1963, and (c) 1964?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. Yes.
- “ 2. There is no minimum amount in kilowatt-hours required under the agreement.
- “ 3. (a) \$40,806, (b) \$46,603, and (c) \$56,175.”

165 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the British Columbia Hydro Whatshan power plant:—

1. What is the maximum annual output in kilowatt-hours of the Whatshan plant?
2. What per cent of this maximum annual output was utilized in 1964?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The maximum annual output of the Whatshan plant depends on the amount of useable water available from the watershed, which varies considerably from year to year. Under average conditions the maximum annual output is 105,000,000 kilowatt-hours.

“ 2. In 1964 the water availability was better than average, and 114.7 per cent of this average maximum annual output was utilized.”

166 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Premier the following questions:—

Regarding the Public Utilities Commission and the West Kootenay Light and Power Company:—

1. What is the minimum residential electrical rate in the City of Trail, who retails this power, and what is the source of this power?
2. What is the minimum residential electrical rate in the City of Penticton, who retails this power, and what is the source of this power?
3. What is the minimum residential electrical rate in the City of Princeton, who retails this power, and what is the source of this power?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$1.25 per month net. West Kootenay Power and Light Company Limited retails this power. The source is, in part, the company's generating plant and, in part, purchase by the company from the Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company of Canada Limited.

“ 2. The minimum residential electrical rate is set by the City of Penticton and is not regulated by the Public Utilities Commission. The city retails the power and its source is West Kootenay Power and Light Company Limited.

“ 3. \$1.25 per month net. Power is retailed by Princeton Light and Power Company Limited and its source is West Kootenay Power and Light Company Limited.”

168 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With respect to the Pacific Great Eastern Railway Company “ Road and Equipment Property ”:—

1. How are the depreciable assets included in “ Road and Equipment Property ” classified?
2. What rates of depreciation are provided for?
3. Is depreciation provided for on the straight-line or on the reducing-balance method?
4. To what extent were “ additions during 1963 year ” actual additions, improvements to existing lines, or upgrading of equipment?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. In accordance with the Uniform Classification of Accounts for Class 1 Common Carriers by the Railway Board of Transport Commissioners for Canada.

“ 2. On the same basis as the major railways for each classification, exclusive of abandonment.

“ 3. Railway accounting straight-line.

“ 4. The additions during 1963 are actual additions.”

169 Mr. *Dowding* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Executive Council the following questions:—

1. What law firms have been retained by British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority in the years 1962/63 and 1963/64, by name?
2. What payments have been made in the said years to the said firm or firms?
3. What law firms have received public moneys granted to Simon Fraser University to date?
4. What payments have been made to the said firm or firms referred to in No. 3?
5. Have any of such firms referred to in Nos. 1 and 3 received money from the Government directly in the years 1962/63 and 1963/64 and, if so, what firm or firms, and what amounts?

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Davis, Hossie, Campbell, Brazier & McLorg; Douglas, Symes & Brissenden; Farris, Stultz, Bull & Farris; Harman, McKenzie & Murphy; MacLaren, Laidlaw & Corlett; Paine, Edmonds, Mercer, Smith & Williams; and Stikeman, Elliott, Tamaki, Mercier & Turner.

“ 2. See *British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority Public Bodies Financial Act* returns for 1962/63 and 1963/64.

“ 3. Robertson, Liddle & Shrum.

“ 4. 1963/64, \$7,103.30; 1964/65 to date, \$8,859.66.

“ 5. See Public Accounts of the Province for 1962/63 and 1963/64.”

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Stupich*:—

167 Mr. *Stupich* asked the Hon. the Premier and President of the Council the following questions:—

With respect to the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority “Property Account”:—

1. How are the depreciable assets included in the “Property Account” classified?

2. What rates of depreciation are provided for?

3. Is depreciation provided for on the straight-line or on the reducing-balance method?

The Hon. the Premier and President of the Council stated that, in his opinion, the reply to Nos. 1 and 2 should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

“ 3. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. on Monday next.

And then the House adjourned at 5.55 p.m.

Monday, March 22, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Brig. *A. Smith*.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 19, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 21: To amend by adding to subsection (2) of the proposed section 106A the following words: "except, subject to the other provisions of this Act, where and to the extent that the other person is not at fault in respect of the damage."

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 78).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Establish the Universities Real Estate Development Corporation*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 19, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 6, subsection (3): To amend by inserting after the word "municipality" in the third line the words ", and Lots A to H, inclusive, Block 1 of Lot 6494, Plan 11469, Group 1, New Westminster District, except highways, roads, and road allowances,".

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Establish the Universities Real Estate Development Corporation*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 90).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, Bill (No. 93) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Insurance Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 63) intituled *An Act to Amend the Mechanics' Lien Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 64) intituled *An Act Respecting the Furnishing and Maintenance of Security under Provincial Statutes* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 67) intituled *An Act to Amend the Patients' Estates Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 71) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The Committee further reported that upon consideration of Bill (No. 71) the Committee divided on Mr. *Strachan's* proposed amendment to add as section 5 of the Bill, the following:—

To add the following as section 5:—

"5. Paragraph 12 (2) of the Statutory Conditions is repealed and the following substituted therefor:—

"(2) This policy may be cancelled at any time by the insurer giving to the insured named in the policy fifteen days' notice in writing of cancellation personally served on the insured, and refunding the excess of paid premium beyond the pro rata premium for the expired time. Repayment of excess premiums may be made by money, post-office order, postal note, or cheque. Such repayment shall accompany

the notice, and in such case the fifteen days above mentioned shall commence to run from the day of service. The Rules of the Supreme Court for substituted service shall apply when it is made to appear to the Court or Judge that prompt personal service cannot be effected.”

and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded as follows:—

YEAS—19

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Matthew</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>	

NAYS—26

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>		

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Williston</i>
<i>Turner</i>	<i>LeCours</i>

The following Bills were committed, report complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 72) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vocational Schools Assistance Act*.

Bill (No. 81) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sheriffs Act*.

Bill (No. 82) intituled *An Act to Amend the Probation Act*.

Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 19) intituled *An Act Respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas* was read a third time and passed.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order “Private Bills.”

The following Bills were committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 52) intituled *An Act to Ratify an Agreement Bearing Date the 16th Day of November, 1964, between the City of Prince Rupert, Canadian National Railway Company, and Her Majesty the Queen in Her Right of Her Province of British Columbia.*

Bill (No. 53) intituled *An Act to Amend the Pacific National Exhibition Incorporation Act.*

Bill (No. 54) intituled *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922.*

Bill (No. 55) intituled *An Act to Incorporate the United Home Life Insurance Company.*

Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* was read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House reverted to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a second time, and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today:—

Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act.*

Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law.*

Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act Respecting Regional Parks.*

22 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

With respect to the waiting-list referred to in Reg. No. 1953 (1) relating to applicants to The Woodlands School for Mental Defectives:—

1. How many of the persons on the present waiting-list are (a) idiots—that is to say, persons to such a degree defective in mind from birth or from an early age as to be unable to guard themselves against common physical dangers; (b) imbeciles—that is to say, persons in whose case there exists from birth or from an early age mental defectiveness not amounting to idiocy, yet so pronounced that they are incapable of managing themselves or their affairs, or, in the case of children, of being taught to do so; and (c) morons—that is to say, persons in whose case there exists from birth or from an early age defectiveness not amounting to imbecility, yet so pronounced that they require care, supervision, and control for the protection of themselves and others, or, in the case of children, that they appear to be permanently incapable by reason of such defectiveness of receiving proper benefit from the instruction in schools?

2. How many of the persons referred to in (a), (b), and (c), respectively, have been assessed by medical experts of the school aforesaid?

3. How many of the persons on the present waiting-list are (a) over 12 years of age, (b) over 9 years of age, (c) over 6 years of age, (d) over 4 years of age, and (e) over 2 years of age?

4. How many of the persons on the present waiting-list, aforesaid, have been on the list for (a) eight years or more, (b) six years or more, (c) four years or more, and (d) two years or more?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. As at December 31, 1964, a review of all applications received indicated that 228 persons were on a waiting-list for admission when the Medical Superintendent, The Woodlands School, determines that it is possible to admit the patient. A breakdown of this waiting-list indicates (a) 110 were severely retarded (idiots), (b) 85 were moderately retarded (imbeciles), (c) 6 were mildly retarded (morons), and (d) 27 not known.

“ 2. All.

“ 3. (a) Over 12, 86; (b) 9 to 11, 18; (c) 6 to 8, 45; (d) 4 to 5, 41; (e) 2 to 3, 31; (f) under 2, 7; and total, 228.

“ 4. (a) 8 years or more, 23; (b) 6 to 7 years, 18; (c) 4 to 5 years, 40; (d) 2 to 3 years, 55; (e) under 2 years, 92; and total, 228.”

27 Mr. *Hartley* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following questions:—

1. How many beds are there in the George Pearson Hospital?
2. How many beds in the said hospital are now in actual use?
3. What is the total capacity of the George Pearson Hospital when fully utilized?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ 1. 216.

“ 2. 149.

“ 3. 304.

“NOTE.—The Public Works Department has let a contract to add certain facilities which will, when completed, permit the transfer of all patients in the Marpole Infirmary to the George Pearson Hospital.”

159 Mr. *Nimsick* asked the Hon. the Minister of Public Works the following question:—

Is any construction contemplated for the George Pearson Hospital in Vancouver and, if so, (a) what is the total estimated cost, (b) has any contract been let for this construction, and (c) when will the construction be completed?

The Hon. *W. N. Chant* replied as follows:—

“(a) \$250,000, (b) yes, and (c) August 31, 1965.”

170 Mr. *Matthew* asked the Hon. the Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance the following question:—

When will a start be made on the construction of a chronic unit to the Vancouver General Hospital?

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* replied as follows:—

“ Since the question does not define whether ‘ chronic ’ means reactivation or rehabilitation care, nursing-home type care, or custodial care, it is not possible to answer. Such information should be obtained directly from the board of management of the Vancouver General Hospital.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Monday, March 22, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

The Hon. *D. R. J. Campbell* presented to Mr. Speaker a Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, which read as follows:—

GEORGE R. PEARKES,
Lieutenant-Governor.

The Lieutenant-Governor transmits herewith amendments to Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, enclosed herewith, and recommends the same to the Legislative Assembly.

Government House,
March 22, 1965.

(ENCLOSURE)

Section 22: To amend by striking out subsection (2) of the proposed section 766 and substituting and adding the following as subsections (2) and (2a):—

“(2) Before making a recommendation under subsection (1), a copy of such recommendation shall be forwarded to the Council or Trustees, as the case may be, of each municipality within the proposed regional district, and the Council or the Trustees, upon receiving the recommendation,

“(a) may by by-law provide for the obtaining by referendum within sixty days the opinion of the owner-electors of the municipality or the owners of land if the municipality is an improvement district upon the question of the sharing by the municipality of the cost of any designated function or functions of the regional district, whereupon provision shall be made in the Letters Patent of the regional district to give effect to the opinion as expressed by the majority of the votes cast on the referendum; or

“(b) may within thirty days request the Minister in writing to appoint a Commission to inquire into and report on the question of whether such prospective member municipality should be included in the proposed regional district or be included therein for a designated function or designated functions, whereupon the Minister shall appoint the Commission and subsections (3) to (7), inclusive, apply.

“(2a) Where the Minister receives a sufficient petition, signed by not less than one-tenth of the number of owners of land in any area proposed to be included in a regional district but not within a municipality, requesting the provision of a referendum within that area under clause (a) of subsection (2), the Minister shall exercise and perform the powers and duties of the Council of a municipality for that purpose, and in that case that area shall be deemed to be a municipality and subsection (5)

of section 10 applies *mutatis mutandis*. Upon the taking of the referendum, provision shall be made in the Letters Patent of the regional district to give effect to the opinion as expressed by the majority of the votes cast on the referendum."

Ordered, That the said Message, and the amendments accompanying the same, be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the proposed amendments to Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*, a draft of which is annexed to the Message from His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor, be referred to the Committee having in charge Bill (No. 83).

Resolution reported.
Report adopted.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Establish the Universities Real Estate Development Corporation* a debate arose, which was, on the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Strachan*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11 p.m.

Tuesday, March 23, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Father *W. Hill*.

On the motion of Mr. *Squire*, Bill (No. 94) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hours of Work Act* was introduced, read a first time, and *Ordered* to be placed on the Orders of the Day for second reading at the next sitting after today.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 61) intituled *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act*.

Bill (No. 65) intituled *An Act to Amend the Companies Act*.

Bill (No. 88) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act*.

Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments. Bill as reported to be considered at the next sitting after today.

Bill (No. 84) intituled *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The Committee further reported that upon consideration of section 3 of Bill (No. 84) a debate arose, the Committee divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded as follows:—

YEAS—33

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Gagliardi</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Matthew</i>			

NAYS—13

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Robinson</i>
<i>Eddie</i>			

Bill (No. 87) intituled *An Act Respecting Regional Parks* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Private Bills."

Bill (No. 51) intituled *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House reverted to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—42

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Skillings</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Smith</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Squire</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Harding</i>	<i>Price</i>		

NAYS—4

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>
---------------	--------------	-----------------	-------------------

PAIRS:

Messieurs

<i>Williston</i>	<i>Gibson</i>
<i>Little</i>	<i>Turner</i>

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act Respecting Parks*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—31

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Williston *Turner*

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Retirement of George Ernest Pascoe Jones*.

The debate continued.

On the motion of Mr. *Nimsick*, the debate was adjourned to the next sitting of the House.

By leave of the House, the Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Attorney-General) presented a Report on the Statutory Declaration of George Ernest Pascoe Jones dated March 12, 1965.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Tuesday, March 23, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

Bill (No. 78) intituled *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act* was read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 79) intituled *An Act to Amend the Forest Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

The Committee further reported that upon consideration of section 8 of Bill (No. 79) a debate arose, the Committee divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded as follows:—

YEAS—18

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>		

NAYS—30

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>		

PAIR:

Messieurs

<i>Turner</i>	<i>Williston</i>
---------------	------------------

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Establish the Universities Real Estate Development Corporation*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Retirement of George Ernest Pascoe Jones*.

The debate continued.

The House divided.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—30

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Gaglardi</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Vogel</i>	<i>Huhn</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>		

NAYS—5

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>
<i>Gibson</i>			

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act to Amend the Game Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act*.

The debate continued.

Motion agreed to.

Bill read a second time and *Ordered* to be committed at the next sitting after today.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.22 p.m.

Wednesday, March 24, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. Dr. N. S. Noel.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

243. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$37,896 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

244. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$114,792 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Municipal Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

245. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$176,964 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Regional Planning Division, to 31st March, 1966.

246. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$75,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Grants and Subsidies, to 31st March, 1966.

247. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Municipal Affairs, Winter Works Incentive Programme, to 31st March, 1966.

248. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$40,688 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

249. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$60,084 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

250. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$85,574 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Central Microfilm Bureau, to 31st March, 1966.

251. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$555,696 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Postal Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

252. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$123,232 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Provincial Library, to 31st March, 1966.

253. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$71,888 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Provincial Archives, to 31st March, 1966.

254. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$217,058 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Public Library Commission, to 31st March, 1966.

255. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$265,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Library and Library Association Grants, to 31st March, 1966.

256. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Queen's Printer, to 31st March, 1966.

257. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$49,520 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Government House, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Wednesday, March 24, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

258. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$18,370 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Indian Advisory Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

259. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$435,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Assessment on Class 13 (the Crown)—*Workmen's Compensation Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

260. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$55,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Incidentals and Contingencies, to 31st March, 1966.

261. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$6,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Medical Grants, to 31st March, 1966.

262. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$550,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grants, etc., to 31st March, 1966.

263. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$140,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grant *re* Narcotic Foundation, to 31st March, 1966.

264. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$160,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grant *re* Alcoholic Foundation, to 31st March, 1966.

265. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Capital Improvement District Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

266. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$275,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Historical Sites, to 31st March, 1966.

267. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grants in Aid of Construction of Homes for Elderly Citizens, to 31st March, 1966.

268. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Grants in Aid of Construction of Recreational Centres for Elderly Citizens, to 31st March, 1966.

269. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$250,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, Civil Defence, to 31st March, 1966.

270. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$93,430 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Provincial Elections Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

271. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$10 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Public Inquiries Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

272. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$8,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Provincial Secretary, *Archaeological and Historic Sites Protection Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

273. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$273,909 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

274. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$15,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Grants *re* Civil Service—Gratuities under sec. 77 of *Civil Service Act* and other Government employees, to 31st March, 1966.

275. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$50,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Civil Service Commission, Retiring Allowances—*Civil Service Act*, sec. 70, and other Government employees, to 31st March, 1966.

276. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$200 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

277. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$27,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Grants—Retiring Allowances, to 31st March, 1966.

278. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,100,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Civil Service Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

279. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$36,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Members of the Legislative Assembly Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

280. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$850,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Public Services Medical Plan Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

281. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$97,964 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Public Services Group Insurance Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

282. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,300 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, Death Benefits, to 31st March, 1966.

283. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$68,800 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of the Provincial Secretary, Superannuation Branch, *Municipal Superannuation Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

—
The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

92 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Mines and Petroleum Resources the following questions:—

With reference to payments under section 2 of the *Iron Bounty Act*:—

1. Have any payments been made under this section to Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company?
2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, what are the amounts and dates of payment to date?

The Hon. *D. L. Brothers* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. Statement of payments made under the *Iron Bounty Act*, R.S.B.C. 1960, chapter 200, to Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company of Canada Limited was as follows:—

	1960/61 Fiscal Year
February 13, 1961.....	\$4,955.82
March 7, 1961.....	6,191.40
April 12, 1961.....	7,268.40
Sub-total.....	<u>\$18,415.62</u>

	1961/62 Fiscal Year
May 10, 1961.....	\$8,003.10
June 7, 1961.....	7,179.00
July 6, 1961.....	9,533.70
August 8, 1961.....	9,598.80
September 7, 1961.....	9,528.90
October 5, 1961.....	9,070.50
November 7, 1961.....	8,983.65
December 6, 1961.....	6,921.00
January 9, 1962.....	8,911.50
February 6, 1962.....	10,010.40
March 13, 1962.....	8,495.70
Sub-total.....	<u>\$96,236.25</u>

	1962/63 Fiscal Year
April 6, 1962.....	\$1,810.20
May 7, 1962.....	5,083.50
June 6, 1962.....	9,240.60
July 10, 1962.....	8,559.00
August 8, 1962.....	9,178.80
September 10, 1962.....	8,602.20
October 10, 1962.....	7,255.20
November 15, 1962.....	9,147.00
December 10, 1962.....	7,696.80
January 9, 1963.....	9,242.10
February 13, 1963.....	9,476.10
March 6, 1963.....	8,386.80
Sub-total.....	<u>\$93,678.30</u>

	1963/64 Fiscal Year
April 9, 1963.....	\$8,875.20
May 8, 1963.....	8,352.90
June 6, 1963.....	9,368.10
July 5, 1963.....	9,060.60
August 8, 1963.....	10,001.70
September 6, 1963.....	10,094.70
October 4, 1963.....	9,847.20
November 7, 1963.....	9,931.80
December 10, 1963.....	9,718.50
January 8, 1964.....	9,921.00
February 12, 1964.....	9,891.30
March 9, 1964.....	9,196.50
Sub-total.....	<u>\$114,259.50</u>

	1964/65 Fiscal Year
April 7, 1964.....	\$10,335.90
May 7, 1964.....	9,282.90
June 9, 1964.....	9,482.70
July 7, 1964.....	10,021.80
August 10, 1964.....	7,529.40
September 9, 1964.....	9,991.80
October 7, 1964.....	8,914.50
March 23, 1965.....	80,059.80
Sub-total.....	<u>\$145,618.80</u>
Grand total.....	<u>\$468,208.47 "</u>

116 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to the Federal Government's *Technical and Vocational Training Assistance Act, 1961*:—

1. What is the total sum of Federal money available to the Province of British Columbia under this Act?
2. What was the total money paid by the Federal Government to the Province of British Columbia under this Act as of (a) December 31, 1962, (b) December 31, 1963, and (c) December 31, 1964?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

" 1. Not known since the only type of agreement that Canada is prepared to enter into under the Act provides that (a) Canada contributions are subject to the annual appropriation of funds by Parliament and (b) Canada contributions are determined in varying percentages of Provincial expenditures as defined in the agreement or at the discretion of the Federal Minister, these contributions being further restricted by ceiling amounts established annually, or for the period covered by the agreement, or by other factors.

" 2. (a) \$4,113,807.10, (b) \$14,314,201.64, and (c) \$22,286,323.48 (inclusive of \$3,066,246.91 in unpaid claims as of this date)."

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. tomorrow.

And then the House adjourned at 11.14 p.m.

Thursday, March 25, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by Canon *H. J. Jones*.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

On consideration of Resolution No. 303, Mr. *Dowding* moved "That Vote 303 be tabled until the matter of increases in rates for social assistance are settled by the Executive Council."

The Chairman ruled the motion out of order on the ground that the Committee had no power to table the vote.

Mr. *Dowding* appealed the ruling of the Chairman.

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported the matter to the House.

Mr. Speaker put the question: "Shall the ruling of the Chairman be sustained?"

The Chairman's ruling was sustained.

303. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

304. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$95,736 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, General Administration, to 31st of March, 1966.

305. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,986 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Social Assistance and Rehabilitation Division, to 31st March, 1966.

306. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,334,684 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Field Service, to 31st of March, 1966.

307. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$76,282 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Medical Services Division, to 31st of March, 1966.

308. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$22,933,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Social Assistance, to 31st of March, 1966.

309. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$500 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, *Residence and Responsibility Act*—Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

310. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$175,780 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, New Denver, to 31st March, 1966.

311. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$181,960 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Child Welfare Division, Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

312. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$4,257,100 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Child Welfare Division, Maintenance of Dependent Children and Grants to Homes, to 31st March, 1966.

313. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$64,692 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Provincial Home, to 31st March, 1966.

314. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$492,883 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Brannan Lake School for Boys, to 31st March, 1966.

315. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$341,214 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Willingdon School for Girls, to 31st March, 1966.

316. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$349,206 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Old-age Assistance, Blind Persons' Allowances, and Disabled Persons' Allowances Board—Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

317. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,750,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Old-age Assistance, to 31st March, 1966.

318. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$110,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Blind Persons' Allowances, to 31st March, 1966.

319. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$885,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Social Welfare, Disabled Persons' Allowances, to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered at the next sitting.
Committee to sit again at the next sitting.

Mr. *Smith* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 24, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Public Accounts begs leave to report as follows:—

Your Committee held several meetings for examination of vouchers supplied by the Comptroller-General. These vouchers were supplied from 43 individual votes as and when requested by the Chairman and were found to be in order.

Your Committee summoned Mr. Willard E. Ireland, Chairman of the Public Documents Committee, established by the *Public Documents Disposal Act (Revised Statutes of British Columbia, 1960, c. 134)*, and, having heard the submission on behalf of the Public Documents Committee, recommends that, in accordance with the provisions of the *Public Documents Disposal Act*, approval be given for the destruction of various public documents as listed in the submission to the Public Documents Committee for 1965 in so far as the following departments of Government are concerned: Agriculture; Commercial Transport; Finance; Health Services and Hospital Insurance (Division of Laboratories, Division of Venereal Disease Control, Division of Tuberculosis Control, Division of Vital Statistics, Health Branch); and Social Welfare.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. D. SMITH, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

Mr. *Spear* presented the Report of the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education, as follows:—

REPORT

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE ROOM,
March 25, 1965.

MR. SPEAKER:

Your Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education begs leave to report as follows:—

That the Committee duly convened and at its initial meeting dealt with organization matters and a review of the Committee's terms of reference.

That the Committee received and considered a Resolution of the House "That this House authorize the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education to examine and study a proposal entitled *An Act to Amend the Protection of*

Children Act, 1965, and related proposal entitled *An Act to Amend the Adoption Act, 1965*, and to report to the Legislature its observations thereon, and to recommend as to the desirability of placing this legislation before the House for consideration."

That the Committee heard reports from senior officials of the Department of Social Welfare and the Department of the Attorney-General.

That the Committee also had presented to it written submissions from The Children's Aid Society of Vancouver; The Children's Aid Society of the Catholic Archdiocese of Vancouver; The Association of Chest Employees, Local 881, Canadian Union of Public Employees, Vancouver; The Family and Children's Service, Victoria; Chairman, Foster Parents' Committee of Family and Children's Service, Victoria; and The British Columbia Association of Social Workers, Vancouver.

That submissions were made from all child-caring agencies recognized under the *Protection of Children Act*.

Your Committee has duly deliberated on the various submissions and representations made, and after giving careful study to the material submitted to it at departmental level is of the following opinions:—

The Committee recommends that the proposed amendments to the *Protection of Children Act* be further amended to read:—

Protection of Children Act

Proposed amendments to be further amended to read as follows:—

Section 2:

" ' Judge ' includes any Judge of a Family and Children's Court or any Magistrate."

Section 8:

" 8. (1) Any child apprehended under section 7 shall, within seven days, be brought before a Judge for examination. ' The person who apprehended the child shall present to the Judge a complaint in writing on oath.'

"(2) Where a child is brought before a Judge under subsection (1) the Judge shall fix the date for a hearing to determine whether the child is in need of protection.

"(3) It is the duty of the person who apprehended the child to give ' notification in writing ' to the following persons of the time and place of the hearing:—

"(a) The parents ' and ' person having the actual custody of the child, ' where their whereabouts are known ';

"(b) The Superintendent; and

"(c) The clerk of any local authority sought to be made liable for any payment under section 32 in respect of the child.

"(4) The notification of a hearing under subsection (2) shall be given at least five clear days before the date fixed for the hearing.

"(5) Should the persons required to be given notice under subsection (3) attend at the time a child is brought before a Judge under subsection (1) and consent to waive notification under subsection (3), the Judge may, in his discretion, hold the hearing forthwith.

"(6) The Judge has the power to compel the attendance of witnesses.

"(9) If the Judge finds that the child is in need of protection, he shall set out such findings in an order in that behalf, and shall also include one of the following provisions in the order:—

- “(a) That the child be returned to his parent or other person having actual custody at the time of apprehension, subject to inspection and supervision for a period not exceeding twelve months, by a society or by the Superintendent:
- “(b) That the child be committed temporarily to the care and custody of a society or of the Superintendent for a period not exceeding twelve months as in the circumstances of the case he considers advisable; or
- “(c) That the child be committed permanently to the care and custody of a society or of the Superintendent.

“(2) An order for permanent committal may be made at any time where

“(a) the application is not opposed; or

“(b) consent is obtained.

“(3) An order for a permanent committal which is opposed or not consented to shall not be made effective or operative until six months has elapsed from the time such child was apprehended.

“(11) Where a child has been committed temporarily to the care and custody of a society or the Superintendent, the society or the Superintendent may at any time during the period of temporary commitment, on giving like notification as is prescribed by subsections (3) and (4), bring the case again before a Judge for further consideration and action under this section; and if temporary commitment has not been earlier terminated, the case shall, at the expiration of the specific period, again be brought before a Judge, and the Judge shall thereupon further inquire and determine whether the circumstances justify an order returning the child to the parent or other person having actual custody at the time of apprehension, or a further order under subsection (9), but in no case shall an order be made at any time that results in the temporary commitment of a child for a period of more than twenty-four months from the date of the first order for temporary commitment of the child, unless the Judge for special reasons, shall ‘order that the temporary commitment be extended from time to time.’”

Section 11:

“11. (1) Where a child has been committed permanently to the care and custody of a society or the Superintendent, the society or the Superintendent may, on giving like notification as is prescribed by subsections (3) and (4) of section 8, bring the case before a Judge ‘of the Supreme Court;’ and if the Judge ‘of the Supreme Court’ is satisfied that the termination of the permanent commitment is in the best interest and welfare of the child, he shall terminate the commitment.

“(2) Where a child has been committed permanently to the care and custody of a society or the Superintendent, any person upon obtaining leave may give thirty days’ notice to the society or the Superintendent, to apply to a Judge of the Supreme Court for an order that the committal permanently to the care and custody of the society or the Superintendent be terminated; and if the Judge of the Supreme Court is satisfied that the termination of the order is in the best interest and welfare of the child, he may terminate the order and may make any provision that is deemed appropriate for the care and custody of the child. Thereafter, proceedings may be continued or initiated before a Judge having jurisdiction under section 8, and in the manner therein provided.’

“(3) Where a child has been committed to a society or to the Superintendent and the order was made before the coming into force of the *Protection of Children Act Amendment Act, 1965*, a Judge, upon application by the society or the Superintendent or the parent, and like notification as is prescribed by subsections (3) and (4) of section 8 having been given, may upon investigation order

“(a) that the order be rescinded; or

“(b) make any order that is permitted under subsection (9) of section 8.”

Section 12:

“12. (1) An appeal from any decision of the Judge under this Act to a Judge of a County Court in the county in which the decision was given, and the provisions of the *Summary Convictions Act* respecting appeals shall, *mutatis mutandis*, apply to appeals under this Act.

“(2) The Court which hears the appeal ‘ may award costs to the parent whether or not the appeal is allowed, unless in the opinion of the Court there are special reasons ’ for denying such costs.”

The Committee proposed no further revision of amendments to the *Adoption Act*.

The Committee further recommends that a continuing study be made by the Minister of Social Welfare of the effects of this Act, and that a report on the number of permanent orders granted or refused be submitted to a Legislative Committee at the next Session of the Legislature with a view to further revision of the Act, should it prove to be in the best public interests.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

W. C. SPEARE, *Chairman*.

The report was read and received.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the report adopted.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) presented the Detailed Statement of Receipts and Disbursements of the Capital Improvement District Commission for the year ended March 31, 1964.

The Hon. *E. C. F. Martin* (Minister of Health Services and Hospital Insurance) presented the Ninety-second Report on Vital Statistics in the Province of British Columbia for the year 1963.

In answer to the following question standing on the Order Paper in the name of Mr. *Harding*:—

40 Mr. *Harding* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to highway contracts let since January 31, 1964:—

1. What is the identification and the amount of each contract let under the highway-building programme?

2. What has been the total amount paid contractors for work completed or under construction?

The Hon. the Minister of Highways stated that, in his opinion, the reply should be in the form of a Return and that he had no objection to laying such Return upon the table of the House, and thereupon presented such Return.

10 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With reference to vocational schools:—

1. How many persons applied for entry in 1963 and 1964 and how many were accepted and undertook training in each year?

2. Were any applicants rejected as unsuitable for training in 1963 and 1964 and, if so, how many in each year?

3. Were any applicants rejected because of a lack of training facilities in 1963 and 1964 and, if so, how many in each year?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. (a) 1963, 7,780 persons applied; 1964, 10,394 persons applied; and (b) 1963, 4,161 persons were accepted and undertook regular full-time day training; 1964, 5,820 persons were accepted and undertook regular full-time day training.

“ 2. Information not readily available.

“ 3. Vocational schools have not been able to accommodate all applicants in some courses, but in most courses the present facilities could accommodate a much larger enrolment. Advisory committees normally determine intake in line with job vacancies.”

71 Mr. *Barrett* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

1. Were any cases referred to the Rehabilitation Co-ordinator of the Public Health Branch by the Social Welfare Branch during the calendar year 1964?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, (a) how many and (b) how many of these cases were successfully rehabilitated during the year?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“ 1. Yes.

“ 2. (a) 214 cases dealt with by local rehabilitation committees, 22 of these referred for personal attention to the Rehabilitation Co-ordinator and (b) of 22 referred to the Rehabilitation Co-ordinator, 7 have been successfully rehabilitated to date.”

149 Mr. *Turner* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Oak Street Bridge and Deas Island Tunnel:—

1. What was the total construction cost of both these projects?

2. What percentage of construction cost was collected in tolls from each of these projects?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

“ 1. \$35,817,560.

“ 2. 33.3 per cent.”

150 Mrs. *Haggen* asked the Hon. the Minister of Social Welfare the following questions:—

With reference to grants for school supplies to families receiving social assistance and the Minister's answer to Question No. 81 on this subject on February 17, 1965:—

1. Were all parents with school-age children notified that they could claim a grant toward school supplies?

2. If the answer to No. 1 is yes, how many parents were so notified?

The Hon. *W. D. Black* replied as follows:—

“Answered by part 2 (b) of Question No. 81 of February 17, 1965.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8.30 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Thursday, March 25, 1965

HALF-PAST EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order for Committee of Supply called.

Pursuant to Order, the House again resolved itself into the Committee of Supply.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

286. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$28,665 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

287. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$220,212 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

288. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$5,888,379 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Government Buildings (Maintenance), to 31st March, 1966.

289. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,000,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Construction of Provincial Buildings, to 31st March, 1966.

290. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$900,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Rentals, to 31st March, 1966.

291. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$800,656 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Public Works, Safety Inspection Division, to 31st March, 1966.

The House continued to sit after midnight.

FRIDAY, MARCH 26.

292. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$29,490 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Minister's Office, to 31st March, 1966.

293. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$92,948 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, General Administration, to 31st March, 1966.

294. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$122,460 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Provincial Museum, to 31st March, 1966.

295. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$31,556 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Commercial Fisheries Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

296. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$1,469,155 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Fish and Game Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

297. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$950,254 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, Travel Division, to 31st March, 1966.

298. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$41,019 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, California Office, to 31st March, 1966.

299. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$181,600 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Tourist Traffic, Photographic Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

300. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$2,128,644 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Parks Branch, to 31st March, 1966.

301. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$325,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Grants in Aid of Regional Parks Development, to 31st March, 1966.

302. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$90,000 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Recreation and Conservation, Youth Training Programme, to 31st March, 1966.

284. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$149,060 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Utilities Commission, *Public Utilities Act*, *Cemeteries Act*, and *Prearranged Funeral Services Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

285. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$227,740 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Public Utilities Commission, *Motor Carrier Act*, to 31st March, 1966.

115. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$338,226 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Department of Finance, Purchasing Commission, to 31st March, 1966.

Schedule A. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$7,271,160 be granted to Her Majesty to make good certain sums expended for the public service for the period ended March 31, 1964, and to indemnify the several officers and persons for making such expenditure.

Department of Agriculture	\$2,938
Department of the Attorney-General	442,482
Department of Education	384,584
Department of Finance	35,456
Department of Health Services and Hospital Insurance	9,698
Department of Highways	3,714,501
Department of Industrial Development, Trade, and Commerce	36,279
Department of Labour	96,177
Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources	32,497
Department of Mines and Petroleum Resources	732
Department of Municipal Affairs	1,505
Department of the Provincial Secretary	23,578

Department of Public Works	\$392,454
Department of Recreation and Conservation	82,765
Department of Social Welfare	2,015,514
	<hr/>
	\$7,271,160

1. *Resolved*, That a sum not exceeding \$564,640 be granted to Her Majesty to defray the expenses of Legislation to 31st March, 1966.

The Committee reported the Resolutions.
Report to be considered forthwith.

The reports of Resolutions from the Committee of Supply on February 24th and 25th and on March 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 8th, 9th, 10th, 11th, 16th, 18th, 24th, 25th, and 26th were taken as read and received.

On the motion of the Hon. the Minister of Finance, seconded by the Hon. the Attorney-General, the Rules were suspended and the Resolutions from the Committee of Supply were read a second time, taken as read, and agreed to.

Resolved, That the House doth agree with the Committee in the said Resolutions.

On the motion of the Hon. the Minister of Finance, seconded by the Hon. the Attorney-General, the question was put and agreed to,—

That Mr. Speaker do now leave the chair for the House to go into Committee of Ways and Means.

Order for Committee of Ways and Means called.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That toward the making good the Supply granted to Her Majesty for the Public Service of the Province, there be granted from and out of the Consolidated Revenue Fund the following:—

- (1) \$7,271,160 to make good certain sums expended for the fiscal year ended the 31st day of March, 1964.
- (2) \$446,001,326 toward defraying the several charges and expenses for the fiscal year ending the 31st day of March, 1966.

The Committee rose and reported the Resolution.
Resolution read a second time, taken as read, and agreed to.

The Hon. the Minister of Finance presented Bill (No. 92) intituled *An Act for Granting Certain Sums of Money for the Public Service of the Province of British Columbia*.

Ordered, That the said Bill be referred to a Committee of the Whole House forthwith.

(IN THE COMMITTEE)

Resolved, That the Committee rise and report to the House, recommending the introduction of a Bill (No. 92) intituled *An Act for Granting Certain Sums of Money for the Public Service of the Province of British Columbia*, a draft of which is annexed to this Resolution.

Resolution and Bill reported.
Report adopted.
Bill introduced and read a first time.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a second time.

By leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill referred to a Committee of the Whole House to be considered forthwith.

The Bill was committed, reported complete without amendment, and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill *Ordered* to be read a third time at the next sitting today.

117 Mr. *McGeer* asked the Hon. the Minister of Education the following questions:—

With respect to the *Vocational Schools Assistance Act*, chapter 67, Statutes of British Columbia, 1962:—

1. Which School Boards have applied for assistance under this Act?
2. In each case, what was the date of application and what was the total contribution required of the local School Board?

The Hon. *L. R. Peterson* replied as follows:—

“ 1. The following 31 school districts have made application in respect of more than 50 vocational projects: School District No. 2, Cranbrook; School District No. 3, Kimberley; School District No. 7, Nelson; School District No. 9, Castlegar; School District No. 11, Trail; School District No. 15, Penticton; School District No. 20, Salmon Arm; School District No. 22, Vernon; School District No. 23, Kelowna; School District No. 24, Kamloops; School District No. 33, Chilliwack; School District No. 34, Abbotsford; School District No. 35, Langley; School District No. 36, Surrey; School District No. 37, Delta; School District No. 38, Richmond; School District No. 39, Vancouver; School District No. 40, New Westminster; School District No. 41, Burnaby; School District No. 42, Maple Ridge; School District No. 43, Coquitlam; School District No. 44, North Vancouver; School District No. 47, Powell River; School District No. 52, Prince Rupert; School District No. 57, Prince George; School District No. 61, Victoria; School District No. 63, Saanich; School District No. 65, Cowichan; School District No. 68, Nanaimo; School District No. 70, Alberni; School District No. 72, Campbell River.

“ 2. Preliminary general and final specific applications are made for each individual project, not a single application on a school district basis. The school district is required to contribute 10 per cent of approved project costs but may provide features in excess of those approved. Total contribution of the district is therefore not known until each project is finalized.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 2 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 1.50 a.m.

Friday, March 26, 1965

TWO O'CLOCK P.M.

Prayers by the Rev. *H. G. Walker*.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett*, the House proceeded to the Order "Public Bills and Orders."

The following Bills were read a third time and passed:—

Bill (No. 5) intituled *An Act to Amend the Succession Duty Act*.

Bill (No. 92) intituled *An Act for Granting Certain Sums of Money for the Public Service of the Province of British Columbia*.

Bill (No. 6) intituled *An Act to Amend the Revenue Act* was committed, reported complete without amendment, read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 20) intituled *An Act Respecting Parks* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

The Committee further reported that upon consideration of section 7 of Bill (No. 20) a debate arose, the Committee divided, and recommended that the division be recorded in the Journals of the House.

By leave of the House, it was *Ordered* that the report be adopted and the division be recorded as follows:—

YEAS—17

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Hagen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>			

NAYS—28

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Turner**Gaglardi*

On the motion that Bill (No. 20) be read a third time now, the House divided.

Resolved in the affirmative on the following division:—

YEAS—29

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Shelford</i>			

NAYS—17

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

*Gaglardi**Turner*

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 34) intituled *An Act to Provide for the Retirement of George Ernest Pascoe Jones* was committed, reported complete without amendment.

Upon consideration of section 2 of Bill (No. 34) Mr. *Dowding* moved that the Chairman leave the chair and report progress.

The Chairman ruled that, in his opinion, the motion was an abuse of the Rules and privileges of the House, and, in accordance with Standing Order 44, declined to propose the question to the Committee. His ruling was appealed.

Without the Committee rising, the Chairman reported the matter to the House.

Upon the question being put, the ruling of the Chairman was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—28

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Bruch</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Shelford</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>

NAYS—18

Messieurs

McGeer
Gibson
Stupich
Calder
Hartley

Eddie
Dowding
Gargrave
Barrett
McKay

Perrault
Macfarlane
Nimsick
Haggen, Mrs.

Macdonald
Squire
Harding
Strachan

PAIR:

Messieurs

Gaglardi

Turner

On the motion that Bill (No. 34) be read a third time now, the House divided.
Resolved in the affirmative on the following division:—

YEAS—29

Messieurs

Lundell
Speare
Smith
Carnell
Robinson
Tisdalle
Bruch
Shelford

Price
Brothers
Kiernan
Williston
Bennett
Bonner
Black

MacSorley
McLeod
LeCours
Chabot
Skillings
Little
Jefcoat

Huhn
Loffmark
Campbell
Chant
Peterson
Martin
Richter

NAYS—18

Messieurs

McGeer
Gibson
Stupich
Calder
Hartley

Eddie
Dowding
Gargrave
Barrett
McKay

Perrault
Macfarlane
Nimsick
Haggen, Mrs.

Macdonald
Squire
Harding
Strachan

Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 76) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 80) intituled *An Act to Amend the Game Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 83) intituled *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act* was committed, reported complete with amendments and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

Bill (No. 90) intituled *An Act to Establish the Universities Real Estate Development Corporation* was committed, reported complete with amendments and, by leave of the House, the Rules were suspended and the Bill read a third time and passed.

By leave of the House, on the motion of the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, the House reverted to the Order "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

Mr. *Strachan* moved, seconded by Mr. *Gargrave*,—

Resolved, That the Government consider the advisability of establishing a printed verbatim account of the speeches, remarks, and proceedings of this Legislative Assembly and that this account be made available daily to the members of this Legislative Assembly and to those outside this Assembly who may wish to subscribe to such a Hansard record.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Nimsick* moved, seconded by Mrs. *Haggen*,—

Resolved, That the House refer to a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of reviewing the Acts of the Province generally referred to as "those dealing with certain professions," and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council or any professional society for the purpose of

- (a) ascertaining the powers and duties contained therein;
- (b) considering whether the exercise of such powers and the discharge of such duties by professional societies is in the public interest;
- (c) considering whether the affairs of such societies are carried on in the public interest;
- (d) considering the possibility of securing uniformity in the provisions and administration of such Acts;
- (e) making such recommendations as the Committee of the Whole may deem to be expedient and advisable, and reporting same to the House.

A debate arose.

Motion negatived.

Mr. *Calder* moved, seconded by Mr. *Stupich*,—

That all correspondence and communications between all departments of the Government and any official of the Westcoast Transmission Company with respect to construction of a pipe-line westward from Prince George to Prince Rupert for the distribution of natural gas to the communities of Highway No. 16 and including Kitimat be filed with this House.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

The Hon. *R. W. Bonner* (Minister of Commercial Transport) presented copy of correspondence referred to in the preceding motion.

Mr. *Macdonald* moved, seconded by Mr. *Calder*,—

Resolved, That this House is of the opinion that the Senate of Canada should be abolished.

On a point of order being raised by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order as tending to dictate Government policy.

An appeal was taken from the ruling of the Chair.

The House divided.

The ruling of the Chair was sustained on the following division:—

YEAS—34

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>		

NAYS—13

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Eddie</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Gaglardi *Turner*

Mr. *Macdonald* moved, seconded by Mr. *Calder*,—

Resolved, That the Attorney-General should initiate discussions with the Minister of Justice and the Attorney-Generals of the Provinces with a view to amendments to the *British North America Act* to abolish the Senate of Canada.

A debate arose.

Mr. *Harding* moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. *Squire*,—

That the preceding motion be amended by adding after the word “should” the words “consider the advisability of” before the word “initiate”.

The amendment was negatived.

The motion was ruled out of order as tending to dictate Government policy.

Mr. *Little* moved, seconded by Mr. *Chabot*,—

Resolved, That consideration be given by the Department of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources to the use of aerial photography and available topographic information in the unsettled parts of the Province, to enable the issuance of leases over Crown land, both foreshore and grazing, without a survey by a British Columbia land surveyor being a prior requisite as is the Department's present policy, subject, however, to the incorporation into any lease so issued the stipulation that the lessee shall have the leased land surveyed within six months from the receipt of notice of necessity by the Minister of Lands, Forests, and Water Resources or within such further period of time as may be authorized by the Minister.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *Strachan* moved, seconded by Mr. *Eddie*,—

That this House ask the Government to consider the advisability of negotiating with local transportation companies for the provision of bus passes at a nominal fee to all recipients of Old Age Security, Old-age Assistance, Disabled Persons' Allowance, Blind Persons' Allowance, and Social Allowance.

A debate arose.

On a point of order being taken, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it would interfere with revenue of the Crown.

Mr. *Matthew* moved, seconded by Mr. *Shelford*,—

That the deposit of three hundred dollars (\$300) paid by the petitioner for a proposed Private Bill intituled *An Act to Incorporate The Society of Independent Public Accountants and Auditors of British Columbia* be refunded to the petitioner.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. *LeCours* moved, seconded by Mr. *Matthew*,—

That the deposit of three hundred dollars (\$300) paid by the petitioner for a proposed Private Bill intituled *An Act Respecting Embalmers and Funeral Directors* be refunded to the petitioner.

Motion agreed to.

The Hon. *W. A. C. Bennett* moved, seconded by the Hon. *R. W. Bonner*,—

Resolved, That this House record its approval of the proposed *Act to Provide for the Amendment in Canada of the Constitution of Canada* contained and described in the White Paper tabled in the House of Commons on March 2, 1965.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to on the following division:—

YEAS—35

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bennett</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>	

NAYS—13

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Eddie</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Gaglardi *Turner*

Mr. Dowding moved, seconded by Mr. Gargrave,—

Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly recommends to the Parliament of Canada that the *British North America Act* and the *Divorce Act* be amended to permit the Provinces so desiring it to make laws relating to divorce and the grounds for divorce.

A debate arose.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. Harding moved, seconded by Mr. Nimsick,—

Resolved, That as the East and West Kootenay power companies are not genuine free-enterprise companies, but are cost-plus monopolies supplying power with a secured profit and paying corporation tax, the Government consider placing these companies under public ownership under the terms of the *Power Act*.

A debate arose.

On a point of order being taken, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it offended Standing Order 66 as involving the expenditure of public funds.

Mr. Hartley moved, seconded by Mr. Eddie,—

Resolved, That the Government give consideration to making ambulance services available as a benefit under the British Columbia Hospital Insurance Service.

A debate arose.

On a point of order being taken, Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it offended Standing Order 66 as involving the expenditure of public funds.

102 Mr. Perrault asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With respect to the Stewart-Cassiar Highway:—

1. What was the original estimated cost of this highway?
2. What is the present estimate of the cost of completing this highway?
3. In dollars, as of December 31, 1964, how much had been expended on this highway?
4. Of the amount noted in No. 3, how much was contributed by the Federal Government?

The Hon. P. A. Gaglardi replied as follows:—

“ 1. Do not have this information. The Department of Highways has supervised construction on the section south of Sawmill Point only.

“ 2. Approximately \$18 million as of December 31, 1964. (This is the estimated cost to finish the section between Sawmill Point and the end of a road extending 12 miles north of Stewart, and to up-grade some of the existing road.)

“ 3. \$13,034,000 (on the section between Sawmill Point and 12 miles north of Stewart).

“ 4. \$6,340,683 (on same section).”

110 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to completed Contract No. 1023, Department of Highways Annual Report, 1962/63:—

1. What was the date of completion of the construction on this contract?
2. What was the total cost of the project?
3. On what date was final payment made to the contractors?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. May 25, 1962.
- “ 2. \$1,764,245.29 (includes contract, materials, engineering, etc.).
- “ 3. Final payment not yet made.”

171 Mr. *Strachan* asked the Hon. the Minister of Highways the following questions:—

With reference to the Montebello Auto Court and the Minister's previous answers to questions on this subject:—

1. What was the precise amount paid by the Government for the auto court itself?
2. What was the precise amount paid by the Government for the equipment and furnishings?
3. What is the total amount received by the Government in each year under the terms of the lease?
4. What is the total amount expended on this auto court by the Government since its purchase?
5. Were the equipment and furnishings ever evaluated?
6. If the answer to No. 5 is yes, (a) who made the evaluation and (b) what was the amount of the evaluation?
7. Did the Government pay for the evaluation of the auto court or any other evaluations made?
8. If the answer to No. 7 is yes, what amounts were paid for each evaluation?
9. What are the “ related operations ” referred to by the Minister in his answer to Order Paper Question No. 106, 1965?
10. Has the Government paid taxes to the town of Hope in each and every year since the purchase on this property?
11. If the answer to No. 10 is yes, what amounts have been paid each year?
12. If the answer to No. 10 is no, (a) what is the total amount of taxes for the period since purchase and (b) what is the total amount unpaid to date?

The Hon. *P. A. Gaglardi* replied as follows:—

- “ 1. \$165,000 for the auto court, including all equipment and facilities.
- “ 2. No separate payment, *see* No. 1.
- “ 3. 1963, \$444.67; 1964, awaiting statement of returns.
- “ 4. Approximately \$6,000, including transfer of cabins from right-of-way.
- “ 5. Yes.
- “ 6. (a) and (b) H. M. Ballard and E. J. Russell, jointly, \$10,000; (a) and (b) Department of Highways, \$20,000.
- “ 7. Yes.
- “ 8. \$600.
- “ 9. Restaurant and service-station sales, if any.
- “ 10. No.
- “ 11. *See* No. 10.
- “ 12. (a) \$4,179.53 (1962, 1963, and 1964) and (b) unknown.”

Resolved, That the House, at its rising, do stand adjourned until 8 o'clock p.m. today.

And then the House adjourned at 5.59 p.m.

Friday, March 26, 1965

EIGHT O'CLOCK P.M.

Order called for "Motions and Adjourned Debates on Motions."

Mr. *Stupich* moved, seconded by Mr. *Nimsick*,—

Resolved, That this Legislative Assembly recommends to the Government consideration of the advisability of applying to the Government of Canada to have control of the British Columbia Telephone Company Limited and its subsidiaries, within the Province of British Columbia, revert to Provincial jurisdiction and then consider the advisability of placing the said telephone system under public ownership.

A debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it offended Standing Order 66 as involving the expenditure of public funds.

Mr. *Barrett* moved, seconded by Mr. *Dowding*,—

Be it *Resolved*, That this House urge the Federal Government to remove nuclear weapons from Canadian soil.

A debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—13

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Eddie</i>			

NAYS—32

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>
<i>McKay</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Richter</i>

Mr. *Gargrave* moved, seconded by Mr. *Barrett*,—

Resolved, That this Government give consideration to dispensing with the necessity of obtaining a fiat from the Crown before a citizen can proceed to take Court action against the Crown.

A debate arose.

Motion negatived.

Mr. *Stupich* moved, seconded by Mr. *Calder*,—

That this Government give consideration to the placing of all pipe-lines, as defined in the *Pipe-lines Act*, under public ownership.

A debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it offended Standing Order 66 as involving the expenditure of public funds.

Mr. *Hartley* moved, seconded by Mr. *Calder*,—

Be it Resolved, That this House give consideration to implementing a basic automobile accident insurance plan which would provide compensation to every victim of an automobile accident, and which insurance would be supplied at cost through a Government automobile insurance agency.

A debate arose.

Mr. *Shelford* moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. *Price*,—

That the preceding motion be amended by deleting all the words after “that” in the first line, and substituting the following: “this Legislative Assembly ask the Government to study automobile insurance rates and gather comparative data with a view to possible examination of this material by a House Committee at the next sitting of the Legislature.”

Motion as amended agreed to.

By leave of the House, and with the consent of the members in whose names the notices of motion were standing, the following notices of motion were allowed to stand on the Order Paper:—

Mr. *Turner* to move,—

Be it Resolved, That the matter of contributions by the public to charities and more particularly, and without restricting the generality of the foregoing, the effect of the provisions of the *Contributions for the Blind Act*, being chapter 12, Statutes of British Columbia, 1964, be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education for study, investigation, and report, with power to call witnesses and require production of books, documents, and records, and to report recommendations, if any, to the Legislative Assembly with respect to said matter.

Mr. *Eddie* to move,—

Be it Resolved, That the question of the effect of automation and mechanization upon the people and the economy of the Province be referred to the Select Standing Committee on Labour, for study and investigation and to report with recommendations, if any, to the Legislative Assembly with respect to the said question.

Mr. *Gargrave* to move,—

Resolved, That this House refer to the Select Standing Committee on Social Welfare and Education the subject of private pension plans:

And be it further Resolved, That the Committee be instructed to invite submissions from members of the public on the subject of private pension plans and consider the following aspects of the subject and report to this House thereon:—

- (a) The eligibility of employees to enter and receive vested rights under private pension plans:
- (b) The solvency of existing pension plans and the advisability of establishing standards to regulate the solvency of existing and future pension plans:
- (c) The advisability of enacting legislation to ensure that participating employees have rights under pension plans which can be enforced at law and free from arbitrary abrogation or loss by bankruptcy, merger, or other event:
- (d) The advisability of enacting legislation to allow employees to transfer pension rights from employer to employer and the effect of such legislation on the mobility of the Province's work force:
- (e) The publication to participating members of the details of a pension plan's (i) benefits, (ii) solvency, and (iii) investment portfolio:
- (f) The degree to which contributors to a pension plan should participate in the management of such pension plan:
- (g) The advisability of protecting pension benefits from the process of execution and seizure by creditors:
- (h) The advisability of providing optional benefits to participants.

Mr. *Dowding* to move,—

That all correspondence passing between employees of the Provincial Mental Health Services and the Attorney-General's Department relating to one Jerry Kleinys be tabled in the House.

Mr. *Strachan* to move,—

That all correspondence and documents from any and every Government department involved relating to the Montebello Auto Court, both prior to and since its purchase by the Department of Highways, be tabled in this House forthwith.

Order called for "Public Bills in the Hands of Private Members."

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 11) intituled *An Act Respecting the Standard Display of Prices* a debate arose.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

By leave of the House, on the motion of Mr. *Barrett*, Bill (No. 17) intituled *An Act Respecting the Rehabilitation of Prisoners* standing on the Order Paper under his name, was withdrawn.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 21) intituled *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act* a debate arose.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 22) intituled *An Act for the Recognition and Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms* a debate arose.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 25) intituled *An Act to Establish a Human Rights Commission* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order on the ground that it involved the expenditure of public funds and thereby offended Standing Order 66. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 37) intituled *An Act to Amend the Sale of Goods Act* a debate arose.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 74) intituled *An Act to Provide Legal Aid and Advice* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the Bill out of order on the ground that it involved the expenditure of public funds and thereby offended Standing Order 66. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 89) intituled *An Act to Establish the Office of Commissioner for Grievances* a debate arose.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 91) intituled *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act* a debate arose.

Mr. Speaker ruled the motion out of order on the ground that it offended Standing Order 67. Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 93) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hospital Insurance Act* a debate arose.

The House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—18

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>		

NAYS—29

Messieurs

<i>Lundell</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Speare</i>	<i>Price</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Brothers</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Tisdalle</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Matthew</i>	<i>Black</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Bruch</i>			

PAIR:

Messieurs

Turner *Bennett*

Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

On the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 94) intituled *An Act to Amend the Hours of Work Act* a debate arose.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 3) intituled *An Act to Amend the Purchasing Commission Act*.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 8) intituled *An Act Respecting Privacy*.

Motion negatived and Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 9) intituled *An Act to Amend the Fireworks Regulation Act*.

The House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—21

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>McKay</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>	<i>Tisdalle</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Squire</i>	<i>Matthew</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Harding</i>	<i>Price</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Lundell</i>	<i>MacSorley</i>
<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Speare</i>	<i>Loffmark</i>
<i>Barrett</i>			

NAYS—26

Messieurs

<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Bruch</i>	<i>McLeod</i>	<i>Huhn</i>
<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Shelford</i>	<i>LeCours</i>	<i>Campbell</i>
<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Broihers</i>	<i>Chabot</i>	<i>Chant</i>
<i>Strachan</i>	<i>Kiernan</i>	<i>Skillings</i>	<i>Peterson</i>
<i>Smith</i>	<i>Williston</i>	<i>Little</i>	<i>Martin</i>
<i>Carnell</i>	<i>Bonner</i>	<i>Jefcoat</i>	<i>Richter</i>
<i>Robinson</i>	<i>Black</i>		

PAIR:

Messieurs

Turner *Bennett*

Bill *Ordered* dropped from the Order Paper.

The House resumed the adjourned debate on the motion for the second reading of Bill (No. 10) intituled *An Act to Amend the Equal Pay Act*.

The House divided.

Motion negatived on the following division:—

YEAS—18

Messieurs

<i>McGeer</i>	<i>Eddie</i>	<i>Perrault</i>	<i>Macdonald</i>
<i>Gibson</i>	<i>Dowding</i>	<i>Macfarlane</i>	<i>Squire</i>
<i>Stupich</i>	<i>Gargrave</i>	<i>Nimsick</i>	<i>Harding</i>
<i>Calder</i>	<i>Barrett</i>	<i>Haggen, Mrs.</i>	<i>Strachan</i>
<i>Hartley</i>	<i>McKay</i>		

- (No. 39) *An Act to Amend the Greater Vancouver Sewerage and Drainage District Act.*
- (No. 40) *An Act to Amend the Podiatry Act.*
- (No. 41) *An Act to Amend the Health Act.*
- (No. 42) *An Act to Amend the Cremation Act.*
- (No. 43) *An Act to Amend the Highway Act.*
- (No. 44) *An Act to Amend the Public Service Group Insurance Act.*
- (No. 45) *An Act to Amend the Greater Victoria Water District Act.*
- (No. 46) *An Act to Amend the Small Debts Courts Act.*
- (No. 47) *An Act to Amend the Conditional Sales Act, 1961.*
- (No. 48) *An Act to Amend the Summary Convictions Act.*
- (No. 49) *An Act to Amend the Counties Definition Act.*
- (No. 51) *An Act to Amend the Vancouver Charter.*
- (No. 52) *An Act to Ratify an Agreement Bearing Date the 16th Day of November, 1964, between the City of Prince Rupert, Canadian National Railway Company, and Her Majesty the Queen in Her Right of Her Province of British Columbia.*
- (No. 53) *An Act to Amend the Pacific National Exhibition Incorporation Act.*
- (No. 54) *An Act to Amend the Shaughnessy Heights Building Restriction Act, 1922.*
- (No. 55) *An Act to Incorporate the United Home Life Insurance Company.*
- (No. 61) *An Act to Amend the Land Registry Act.*
- (No. 62) *An Act to Amend the Securities Act, 1962.*
- (No. 63) *An Act to Amend the Mechanics' Lien Act.*
- (No. 64) *An Act Respecting the Furnishing and Maintenance of Security under Provincial Statutes.*
- (No. 65) *An Act to Amend the Companies Act.*
- (No. 66) *An Act to Amend the Provincial Infirmaries Act.*
- (No. 67) *An Act to Amend the Patients' Estates Act.*
- (No. 68) *An Act to Amend the Pharmacy Act.*
- (No. 69) *An Act to Amend the Interpretation Act.*
- (No. 70) *An Act to Amend the Pipe-lines Act.*
- (No. 71) *An Act to Amend the Insurance Act.*
- (No. 72) *An Act to Amend the Vocational Schools Assistance Act.*
- (No. 73) *An Act to Amend the Constitution Act.*
- (No. 75) *An Act to Amend the Wives' and Children's Maintenance Act.*
- (No. 76) *An Act to Amend the Public Schools Act.*
- (No. 77) *An Act to Amend the Mineral Act.*
- (No. 78) *An Act to Amend the Motor-vehicle Act.*
- (No. 79) *An Act to Amend the Forest Act.*
- (No. 80) *An Act to Amend the Game Act.*
- (No. 81) *An Act to Amend the Sheriffs Act.*
- (No. 82) *An Act to Amend the Probation Act.*
- (No. 83) *An Act to Amend the Municipal Act.*
- (No. 84) *An Act to Amend and Repeal Certain Provisions of the Statute Law.*
- (No. 87) *An Act Respecting Regional Parks.*
- (No. 88) *An Act to Amend the Municipalities Enabling and Validating Act.*
- (No. 90) *An Act to Establish the Universities Real Estate Development Corporation.*

His Honour was pleased in Her Majesty's name to give assent to the said Bills.

The said assent was announced by *E. K. DeBeck*, Esq., Clerk of the House, in the following words:—

“In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor doth assent to these Bills.”

Then Mr. Speaker addressed the Lieutenant-Governor as follows:—

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR HONOUR:

We, Her Majesty's most dutiful and loyal subjects, the Legislative Assembly of the Province of British Columbia, in Session assembled, approach Your Honour at the close of our labours with sentiments of unfeigned devotion and loyalty to Her Majesty's person and Government and humbly beg to present for Your Honour's acceptance Bill (No. 92) intituled *An Act for Granting Certain Sums of Money for the Public Service of the Province of British Columbia*.

To this Bill the Clerk of the Legislative Assembly, by His Honour's command, did thereupon say:—

“In Her Majesty's name, His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor doth thank Her Majesty's loyal subjects, accept their benevolence, and assent to this Bill.”

Then His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor was pleased to deliver the following gracious Speech:—

Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly:

In closing this, the Second Session of the Twenty-seventh Parliament of British Columbia, I desire to express my thanks for the earnestness and attention you have shown. Your deliberations and labours have been long and arduous. During this Session, the Legislature has considered and given its approval to numerous fundamental and far-reaching legislation enactments.

You are to be commended in enacting the *Medical Grants Act*, which makes provision for an appreciable amount of assistance to those with low incomes for the purpose of medical care as well as making provision whereunder all residents of the Province, regardless of age, financial status, or state of health, will be able, at fair premium levels, to obtain protection from the impact of medical expenses.

Of real interest and concern to every citizen of this Province will be amendments to the *Public Schools Act*, amendments to the *Vocational Schools Assistance Act*, and the enactment of the *Universities Real Estate Development Corporation Act*, all of which are designed to promote and, particularly with regard to financial matters, assist and develop the educational facilities and opportunities at all levels within the Province.

A new *Petroleum and Natural Gas Act* has been formulated for the encouragement and regulation of the petroleum and natural-gas industry in the interests of the development and conservation of the natural resources of the Province and the furtherance of its economic progress.

Amendments to several Statutes dealing with drainage and dyking and the enactment of a Statute establishing a Dyking Authority and the consequent repeal of 84 separate Statutes dealing with this subject-matter will enable direct action to be taken by the new Authority in the interest of those faced with dyking problems.

I note that under the amendments made to the *Pharmacy Act*, new controls will be instituted with respect to the sale and distribution of agricultural pesticides and veterinary drugs.

The recreational requirements of the people of the Province and its visitors will receive added attention as the result of the enactment of the *Parks Act* and of the *Regional Parks Act*.

By your approval of amendments made to various Provincial Statutes, a large number of persons employed by the Crown but who were not formerly within the Civil Service will now come within this Service and acquire the status and protective measures which Civil Servants have for so long enjoyed in the Commonwealth.

You are to be commended in enacting an important amendment to the *Revenue Act*.

These and many other measures which you have carefully considered and authorized should contribute substantially to the well-being of our citizens.

Members of the Legislative Assembly, I thank you for your earnest deliberations and labours and for the supplies which you have granted for the Public Service.

Honourable Members, I now relieve you of your legislative duties and I trust that the blessing of Divine Providence will accompany you to your respective homes.

The Hon. *W. D. Black* (Provincial Secretary) then said:—

Mr. Speaker and Members of the Legislative Assembly:

It is His Honour the Lieutenant-Governor's will and pleasure that the Legislative Assembly be prorogued until it shall please the Lieutenant-Governor to summon the same for dispatch of business, and this Provincial Legislative Assembly is hereby prorogued accordingly.

W. H. MURRAY, *Speaker*.